#### From Population Growth to Firm Demographics: Implications for Concentration, Entrepreneurship and the Labor Share

Hugo Hopenhayn UCLA Julian Neira

**Rish Singhania** University of Exeter University of Exeter

September 12, 2020

#### Motivation

Puzzling aggregate trends in the US since 1980s

- Decline in the firm entry rate (14% to 8%)
- Decline in firm exit rate (9.7% to 7.7%)
- Increase in average firm size (20 to 24 employees)
- Increase in (employment) concentration (51% to 58%)
- Decline in the (corporate) labor share (66% to 60%)

#### Motivation

Puzzling aggregate trends in the US since 1980s

- Decline in the firm entry rate (14% to 8%)
- Decline in firm exit rate (9.7% to 7.7%)
- Increase in average firm size (20 to 24 employees)
- Increase in (employment) concentration (51% to 58%)
- Decline in the (corporate) labor share (66% to 60%)

#### What explains this?

We look at population growth + firm demographics

# Motivating Evidence





# Firms are Aging



# Firms are Aging



# Firms are Aging



#### Entry Rates: An Accounting Identity



#### The Rise and Fall of Population Growth Come



Source: BLS Current Population Survey

#### Is This Driving Force Enough?





# Is This Driving Force Enough?

Qualitatively yes, quantitatively no.

Cannot explain decline in exit rate

Cannot explain increase in average size

# Is This Driving Force Enough?

Qualitatively yes, quantitatively no.

Cannot explain decline in exit rate

Cannot explain increase in average size

In the data

$$\underbrace{\Delta\lambda}_{6\%} = \underbrace{\Delta\hat{N}}_{2\%} - \underbrace{\Delta\hat{e}}_{2\%} + \underbrace{\Delta\xi}_{2\%}$$

Long Run Feedback and Transitional Dynamics

$$\lambda = \hat{N} - \hat{e} + \xi$$

#### Long run effect

endogenous response of exit rates

• multiplier 1.5 so additional 1% decline

Transitional dynamics:

Baby Boom + Slowdown

▶ additional 3%

## Theory: Model of Firm Dynamics

Key Elements:

- S<sub>a</sub>: Survival function.
  e<sub>a</sub>: Average firm size
- Dynamic Entry Equation:

$$m_t = \frac{N_t - \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} m_{t-a} S_a e_a}{e_0}$$

#### Quantitative Analysis

$$m_{t} = \frac{N_{t} - \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} m_{t-a} S_{a} e_{a}}{e_{0}}$$

- Can changes in labor force growth quantitatively generate the secular changes experienced by the US economy?
- Role of the feedback mechanisms?
- Role of transitional dynamics (baby boom/adjustment path)?

# Entry Rate



Fall of entry rate: 6%

- 1. Decrease in labor force growth: 2%
- 2. Decrease in long run exit: 1%
- 3. Baby boom effect: 1.5%
- 4. Adjustment to new steady state: 1.5%

# Labor share: Autor et al (2017) + Firm Aging



# Job Reallocation (1/2)

Back



Percent explained by aging from 1977 to 2014:

- Job Reallocation: 35%
- Job Creation: 47%
- Job Destruction: 40%

# Job Reallocation (2/2)



#### **Final Remarks**

- Change in Firm Demographics:
  - explains much of the rise in concentration
  - fall in labor share
  - important part of slowdown in reallocation
- Accounted for by fall in entry rates
- Changes in Labor Force growth: big driving force for changes in entry rates
- Too big a source of variation to omit
- Feedback effects of firm demographics and transitional dynamics play a major role