Published in final edited form as:
Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am. 2011 November ; 22(4): 683–700. doi:10.1016/j.pmr.2011.08.009.

Long Term Consequences: Effects on Normal Development Profile after Concussion

Daniel H. Daneshvar, MA[a], **David O. Riley**[b], **Christopher J. Nowinski**[c,d], **Ann C. McKee, MD**[c,e], **Robert A. Stern, PhD**[c,f], and **Robert C. Cantu, MD**[c,d,g,h,i,j,k]

[a]MD / PhD Graduate Student, Center for the Study of Traumatic Encephalopathy (CSTE), Department of Neurology, Boston University School of Medicine (BUSM), Boston, MA

[b]Research Assistant, CSTE, Department of Neurology, BUSM, Boston, MA

[c]Co-Director, CSTE, Department of Neurology, BUSM, Boston, MA

[d]Co-Founder, Sports Legacy Institute, Waltham, MA

[e]Associate Professor of Neurology and Pathology, BUSM, Boston, MA

[f]Associate Professor of Neurology, BUSM, Boston, MA

[g]Co-Director, Neurologic Sports Injury Center, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA

[h]Chief of Neurosurgery Service, Emerson Hospital, Concord, MA

[i]Chairman, Department of Surgery, Emerson Hospital, Concord, MA

[j]Director of Sports Medicine, Emerson Hospital, Concord, MA

[k]Clinical Professor, Department of Neurosurgery, BUSM, Boston, MA

Abstract

Each year in the United States, approximately 1.7 million people are diagnosed with a traumatic brain injury (TBI); an estimated 75% of these injuries are classified as mild TBIs (mTBI) or concussions. The symptoms of such injuries include a variety of somatic, cognitive, and behavioral deficits. While these symptoms typically resolve in a matter of weeks, both children and adults may suffer from Post-Concussion Syndrome (PCS) for months or longer. Suffering from PCS-related symptoms for an extended time may delay an individual's return to work, adversely affect one's quality of life, and result in additional social and economic costs. Though a

Daniel H. Daneshvar, MA, CSTE, Boston University, 72 East Concord St, B7800, Boston, MA 02118, (617) 414-8382, (617) 414-1197 (fax), ddanesh@bu.edu
David O. Riley, CSTE, Boston University, 72 East Concord St, B7800, Boston, MA 02118, (617) 414-8389, (617) 414-1197 (fax), doriley@bu.edu
Christopher J. Nowinski, CSTE, Boston University, 72 East Concord St, B7800, Boston, MA 02118, (617) 414-1197 (fax), nowinski@bu.edu
Ann C. McKee, MD, CSTE, Bedford VA Hospital, 200 Springs Rd, 182-B, Boston, MA 01730, (781)687-2913, (781)687-3515 (fax), amckee@bu.edu
Robert A. Stern, PhD, CSTE, Boston University, 72 East Concord St, B7800, Boston, MA 02118, (617)638-5678, (617) 414-1197 (fax), bobstern@bu.edu
Robert C. Cantu, MD, CSTE and Department of Neurosurgery, Boston University, John Cuming Building, Suite 820, 131 ORNAC, Concord, MA 01742, (978) 369-1386, (978) 287-0047, rcantu@emersonhosp.org

The authors have nothing to disclose

consensus has not been reached on the cause of long-term PCS, it is likely that biological, physiological, psychological, and social elements all play a role in symptom persistence. Additionally, persistent PCS may adversely affect one's developmental trajectory. The enduring effects of head trauma are not limited to PCS-related effects, however. A progressive tauopathy, chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) is believed to stem from repeated brain trauma. While CTE was originally associated with boxing, it has recently been found in other cases of repetitive head injury including former football and hockey players, and professional wrestlers. In addition to this observed pathology, repetitive brain trauma is also associated with Alzheimer's-like dementia, Parkinsonism, and motor neuron disease including Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS). With these significant long-term effects of head injuries, there is a clear need to develop effective diagnoses, treatments, and education plans to reduce future burden and incidence.

Keywords

concussion; development; chronic traumatic encephalopathy; postconcussion syndrome; youth

INTRODUCTION

In the United States, approximately 1.7 million people sustain a traumatic brain injury (TBI) annually; these injuries account for 1.365 million emergency room visits and 275,000 hospitalizations each year.[1] The majority of these TBIs are minor, with 75% of these injuries classified as mild TBIs (mTBI) or concussions.[2] These numbers may, however, vastly underestimate the total incidence of concussion, as many individuals suffering from mild or moderate TBI do not seek medical advice, especially in the 81-92% of cases when the concussion is not accompanied by loss of consciousness.[1, 3-5] Beyond the burden of the injury itself, these TBIs have significant direct and indirect economic consequences, estimated at over $60 billion annually in the United States alone.[6]

A concussion is a brain injury caused by a force transmitted to the head from a direct or indirect contact with the head, face, neck, or elsewhere, which results either in a collision between the brain and skull or in a strain on the neural tissue and vasculature.[7, 8] This impact or strain is believed to cause the symptoms of concussion through a cascade characterized by abrupt neuronal depolarization, release of excitatory neurotransmitters, ionic shifts, altered glucose metabolism and cerebral blood flow, and impaired axonal function.[8] Although these injuries are known to cause short-term deficits, the long-term effects of this neuropathologic cascade are less defined.[9-14]

Clinically, the acute signs and symptoms of a concussion are similar in children and adults and can include physical signs (e.g., loss of consciousness, amnesia), behavioral changes (e.g., irritability), cognitive impairment (e.g., slowed reaction times), sleep disturbances (e.g., drowsiness), somatic symptoms (e.g., headaches), cognitive symptoms (e.g., feeling "in a fog"), and/or emotional symptoms (e.g., emotional lability).[15] These deficits are observed in the absence of structural brain damage in diagnostic MRI. [16, 17] While the vast majority of these symptoms resolve spontaneously, many others may linger.[9] Additionally, no two concussions have the same presentation or identical outcomes.[18]

The specific mechanism underlying neural tissue damage, however, appears to be different in the adult versus the developing brain.[19, 20] While severe TBI has been shown to have both serious and long-term consequences on personality, mood, and cognition, the precise effect of concussions on development has yet to be fully elucidated.[21-27] Furthermore, immature neural tissue differs from mature tissue in response to injury, both in terms of plasticity and in terms of altered developmental trajectory.[28] The structure of the brain, in relation to the

skull and its musculature, is also dissimilar in adults and children, leading to different biomechanics and thus different injury profiles.[29, 30] As a result, different presentations and outcomes would be expected in response to a concussion experienced in youth as compared to one experienced as an adult.

LONG TERM EFFECTS OF POST CONCUSSION SYNDROME

The symptoms of a concussion may take some time to resolve, resulting in significant long-term burden. When the symptoms of concussion persist as a variety of cognitive, somatic, and behavioral changes, these lingering deficits comprise Post-Concussion Syndrome (PCS).[17, 31-33] PCS is defined by the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) as the occurrence within one month of injury of at least 3 of the 8 symptom categories listed in Table 1.[34] The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV-R) requires the presence of symptoms in at least 3 of 6 categories for at least 3 months after injury in addition to evidence of neuropsychological dysfunction, as outlined in Table 2.[35] Whether PCS is experienced following an mTBI appears to be dependant on a combination of factors, including premorbid vulnerability, postinjury psychological adjustment, and postinjury changes in brain function.[36] While most of these symptoms typically resolve within a few days or weeks following mTBI or other head injury, some individuals suffer from PCS for months or longer and, although studies remain conflicting, it is believed that as many as 15% of people with a history of mTBI still suffer from deficits one year after injury.[17, 37, 38]

Adults with PCS often initially present with physical symptoms such as dizziness and headache in the first weeks following injury, with psychosocial symptoms such as depression and irritability first appearing up to a month later.[39] These findings mimic those of rodent models, which have reported both impaired learning and depressive-like behavior in mice following mTBI, perhaps mediated by apoptotic cell death.[40-42] Additionally, single and repeated concussions in adults have been shown to be correlated with cognitive deficits months following the injury.[43] Executive functioning impairment also appears to persist, with adults who had experienced an mTBI six months prior found to have significantly decreased information processing speed.[44] At one year post-injury, the most common symptoms appear to be a combination of the physical, the psychosocial, and the cognitive, with reports of headaches, dizziness, disturbances of senses, light and noise sensitivity, and various psychiatric symptoms, including depression, anxiety, coping issues, and psychosocial disability.[45, 46] Additionally, studies have suggested that women are more likely to develop PCS, both in terms of more symptoms reported and a longer duration of impairment.[47]

Some adults continue to show motor deficits, functional deficits, and persistent depressive symptoms over one year post-injury.[48-50] The data here is conflicting, however, with other studies indicating that neuropsychological deficits appear to resolve by one year post-injury. However, these latter studies tend to include all individuals who had been exposed to any mTBI rather than just those who experienced PCS symptoms; as a result, the sample is overly dilute and the resulting lack of association is not surprising.[51-54]

Interestingly, several studies have looked at how beliefs regarding expected outcome in response to brain injury might influence an individual's risk of developing PCS. One study found that individuals who had suffered an mTBI and who indicated that they believed their symptoms would have serious negative consequences on their lives were significantly more likely to experience PCS at three months following the injury. In fact, these beliefs regarding the perceived severity at the time of injury were more predictive of PCS symptoms at three months than were the total number of PCS symptoms reported

immediately following the injury.[45] Another study evaluating attitudes surrounding injury recovery found that self-ratings of PCS symptoms were positively related to emotion-focused coping strategies and negatively related to problem-focused coping in adults who had experienced an mTBI.[55] These findings suggest that one's attitudes can influence the extent to which a concussion has long-term, persistent effects.

Although PCS is considered to be fully recoverable with proper treatment, suffering from PCS-related symptoms for an extended time may delay an individual's return to work, adversely affect one's quality of life, and result in additional social and economic costs.[17, 31, 45] The deficits caused by these symptoms may also have an indirect long-term effect by exacerbating a pre-existing depression or impairing the ability to adequately cope with stress.[56-58] Additionally, there is some evidence that concussions result in chronic motor and neuropsychological changes over three decades following injury;[59] however, these findings may be due to an early stage neurodegenerative disease associated with concussion as discussed below.

While studies and diagnostic criteria of PCS initially reported dissimilar symptoms for adult and pediatric populations, it is now acknowledged that children and young adults report a PCS that is similar to adults and may suffer from the same behavioral, emotional, and somatic difficulties following mTBI.[36, 60] Many of these initial studies of youth concussions focused on athletes, as they tend to be at increased risk of experiencing concussions.[5] Although college football athletes report a higher incidence of concussion than high school athletes, it has been reported that high school athletes take longer to recover based on neuropsychological testing.[61] This finding of increased youth susceptibility to PCS has been extended to other sports and activities, and is perhaps explained by the fact that the frontal lobes do not fully develop until late adolescence.[43] Rodent models have also suggested that the immature brain is more susceptible than the adult brain to apoptosis following mTBI.[62, 63] In fact, one prospective cohort study found that age at the time of injury and extent of extracranial injury were the two strongest independent predictors of functional outcome at six months post-injury.[64] Even in young adults well enough to enroll and continue in college, there is evidence that PCS symptoms may last for years, and that there may be gender differences in PCS resolution with women reporting more lingering mood and anxiety symptoms.[65]

Children differ significantly from adults and adolescents, not only in size, but also biomechanically, pathophysiologically, neurobehaviourally, and developmentally.[28] Because the developing brain is more plastic than the mature brain, younger age at the time of injury was originally thought to have a beneficial effect on recovery and expected outcome.[66] However, current literature indicates that this is not the case; the developing brain appears, in fact, to be more vulnerable to diffuse brain injury. Traumatic injury to the immature brain results in a prolonged period of pathogenesis in both cortical and subcortical structures, leading to progressive neurodegeneration, hyperactivity, and sustained cognitive impairments.[67, 68]

Although early studies showed either a small or no effect of head injuries on the developing brain, many of these studies had flawed designs; less than half of the 56 studies reviewed by Satz and colleagues from 1970 through 1998 met even four of the six following recommendations for methodologically sound studies: (1) the inclusion of control groups (either with no injury or with other body injury); (2) the use of a longitudinal design with follow-up assessment post-injury; (3) a clear definition of mild injury, without the inclusion of children with more severe injuries; (4) the inclusion of at least 20 children with mTBI; (5) the use of standardized tests to measure outcomes; and (6) controls for pre-injury risk factors.[69, 70]

The most methodologically sound studies have found that children report worse cognitive symptoms over one year after concussion than adults. These deficits are first reported months after the original injury and affect the child's school work or abilities to function at home.[71] Children aged 6-12 years with mTBI have impaired executive functioning and attention one year post-injury as compared to non-injured controls.[72] An mTBI may also cause linguistic changes which adversely impact Verbal IQ and expressive language. Interestingly, although these deficiencies improved by six months post injury, no additional improvement was observed between six and 24 months.[73] In such cases where symptoms persist, PCS may adversely affect a child's conduct and personality, and can lead to extended school absence and limitations on athletic play. In fact, one study reported that children who showed greater PCS symptoms displayed worse overall adjustment as compared to children with fewer PCS symptoms.[36] It has also been suggested that individuals with higher cognitive ability have better outcomes following head injury because they may be able to recruit alternate and additional neural substrates to compensate for tissue damage.[71, 74, 75]

Although animal models have elucidated the general pathophysiology responsible for acute concussive symptoms, the underlying cause of sustained PCS remains a matter of debate. However, several pathologic mechanisms have been proposed. Some make the pathophysiological case that a contributing factor for sustained PCS is the microstructural damage of the brain from head injury. Given that the acute injury causes the aforementioned pathophysiologic cascade, it is not unlikely that some of the resulting microstructural damage can persist in some cases and result in the persisting symptoms of PCS sometimes observed.[31] However, as mentioned above with mTBI in adults, the correlation between increased risk of sustained PCS in children with negative coping strategies or beliefs about their mTBI symptoms indicate that there may also be a psychopathological cause to this long-term PCS.[45, 55]

This matter is further complicated by various methodological shortcomings in mTBI and PCS research.[76] These limitations may include retrospective, cross-sectional designs, a lack of appropriate control groups, and a failure to separate different degrees of PCS.[36, 39] Additionally, studies rely heavily on the self-report of post-concussive symptoms by patients; this has a history of being unreliable, and studies show that a patient's self-report may be the result of simple malingering, an involvement in litigation, or recall biases such as the "good old days" bias, the idea that individuals who sustain an injury often underestimate problems pre-injury.[17, 77] Finally, while PCS is an acknowledged condition, there is a disagreement between ICD-10 and DSM-IV diagnostic criteria; this disagreement emphasizes the confusion over the underlying cause of long-term PCS. While the ICD-10 criteria classifies PCS as symptoms without neuropsychological impairment and focuses instead on pre-morbid conditions and post-injury psychological adjustment, the DSM-IV criteria does require this neuropsychological impairment and seems to assume that PCS and related symptoms are at least partially caused by an underlying brain trauma.[78] The variability in diagnostic criteria, and the assumptions about PCS that this variation implies, result in different incidence estimates and limited diagnostic agreement when dealing with PCS patients.[79]

The enduring effects of PCS appear to be a combination of the biological, the physiological, the psychological, and the social. For both the pediatric and adult population, future research that incorporates genetics, advanced neuroimaging, refined cognitive and postconcussive symptom measures, and social environment research can help to achieve an integrated "biopsychosocial" model that may aid in the management of long-term PCS.[78] This, along with effective education and rehabilitation of patients, will work to reduce the incidence and burden of long-term PCS in TBI patients.

EFFECTS ON BEHAVIOR

Having sustained a previous concussion may alter a child's long-term developmental trajectory years after the symptoms of PCS subside. Studies of PCS typically only follow children for up to one year post-injury, potentially before the full effects of the injury have manifested themselves. As a result, these studies are unable to measure the extent of the long-term detrimental effects of an mTBI on the developing brain. To properly evaluate the long-term consequences of youth concussion, studies must examine cognitively mature individuals who previously experienced a concussion in their youth.[80]

Because the prefrontal cortex is one of the last brain structures to mature, it is not surprising that parents report attention deficits, hyperactivity, or conduct disorder following a head injury to their child.[60] Additionally, it has been shown that the number of long term neurobehavioral symptoms in children is related to the severity of the initial mTBI as well as the child's neuropsychological functioning, academic performance, emotional adjustment, and adaptive functioning.[36, 81]

The majority of previous studies examining the effects of brain injuries on development years after the injury have focused on more severe injuries.[82-85] However, these studies of moderate and severe TBI suggest a specific window of time during which the brain may be more vulnerable to injury; TBI experienced in middle childhood and later appears to be less detrimental than injuries sustained earlier.[86-91]

One cohort study of 490 children who experienced an mTBI before age 14 and who had no prior history of psychiatric illness found that these children were significantly more likely to have psychiatric issues in the three years following injury than were uninjured controls. The children most commonly presented with attentional problems in the first year following injury. However, there was no difference observed in children who had already had prior history of psychiatric illness in the year preceding the injury.[92]

These studies are complicated by the fact that the children more likely to experience concussions were also more likely to have undiagnosed psychiatric issues. To circumvent this issue, some studies have utilized detailed retrospective questionnaires to assess pre-injury psychiatric status. One prospective longitudinal study found that children who experienced an mTBI requiring hospitalization before age 10 displayed increased hyperactivity/inattention and conduct disorder between the ages of 10 to 13 compared to children who had not experienced an mTBI, as rated both by their mothers and their teachers.[89] This cohort has been followed through age 16, and these issues have been shown to persist.[93] However, these children did not display any deficits in intelligence or academic skills. Additionally, children whose injuries did not require hospitalization showed no differences from control children without a history of mTBI. Although there were differences observed in hyperactivity/inattention and conduct disorder based on age at the time of injury (those injured between 0-5 years old and those injured between 5-10 years old), these differences were not statistically significant.[89] A later study confirmed these findings and also reported that children injured in pre-school had progressively worsening parent and teacher ratings of hyperactivity/inattention and conduct disorder when followed longitudinally from age 7-13 years.[80] Another study of 45 adults, with an average age at injury of 8.9 years (standard deviation of 3.3), found that those who experienced post traumatic amnesia for at least 30 minutes had statistically significant decreases in measures of attention and memory over two decades later.[94] These attentional findings are not surprising as the prefrontal cortex does not fully develop until late adolescence. Additionally, these deficits were not observed in individuals injured as adults.[95]

The effects of mTBI on children does not always end with the resolution of PCS, but may have long term effects on cognitive processing, mood and behavior. These delayed behavioral impairments suggest the need for continued monitoring and intervention in children, even years after initial concussion.

WHEN TO RETIRE AFTER A CONCUSSION

Following a concussion, the absolute contraindications to return to a contact/ collision practice or competition sport include:

- **a.** Abnormal neurological assessment
- **b.** Symptomatic of post concussion signs/symptoms at rest or exertion
- **c.** If done, neuropsychological battery not baseline or above
- **d.** If done head CT or MRI shows a lesion placing the athlete at increased risk of head injury (edema, hemorrhage, hydrocephalus, cavum septum pellicidum, arachnoid cyst)

The relative contraindications to return to collision practice or competition include:

- **a.** Post concussion symptoms symptoms that last many months and not days
- **b.** Mild or indirect blows (whiplash) that produce significant and lengthy post concussion symptoms.

Thus there are absolute and relative indicators for retirement. Neither are based on a particular number of concussions, but rather on the athlete's response, including duration of symptoms and ease of being concussed. Keeping in mind the increased vulnerability of the developing brain, it is suggested that one might be even more conservative in the under 18 age group as compared with adults.[96]

DEGENERATIVE DISEASE

Brain trauma has long been thought to play a role in initiating or accelerating the molecular cascade involved in several degenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's disease (AD), Parkinson's disease (PD), and Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS).[97-99] Additionally, repetitive concussive and subconcussive brain trauma has been implicated as the primary risk factor for developing the progressive neurodegenerative disease Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy (CTE), as well as the motor neuron disease variant Chronic Traumatic Encephalomyelopathy (CTE-M).[100, 101]

Alzheimer's Disease

Several epidemiological studies have found a relationship between head trauma and AD in later life.[102-108] However, all of these studies based their analyses on a clinical diagnosis of possible or probable AD. Without neuropathologic confirmation of disease, it is possible that other neurodegenerative diseases, such as CTE, were in fact partially or fully responsible for the observed clinical dementia.[109] However, one retrospective study found that individuals with a history of TBI had a higher than expected prevalence of AD pathology observed at autopsy.[110] This result could in part be explained by the fact that beta-amyloid precursor protein (APP) is shown to temporarily accumulate in response to acute TBI in genetically susceptible mice; the cleavage of APP results in the beta-amyloid (Aβ) characteristic of AD.[111, 112] More research is certainly needed, both to elucidate the relationship between AD and mTBI, and to disambuguate potential cases of CTE from clinically diagnosed cases of probable and possible AD.

Parkinson's Disease

TBI has also been implicated as a risk factor for PD.[98, 113] While the nature of the relationship is poorly understood, animal models suggest that TBI results in alpha-synuclein deposition, a protein shown to be the primary building block of the Lewy bodies in PD.[112, 114, 115] However, neither animal models nor human studies have conclusively shown a relationship between concussions and the development of PD.

Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy

CTE is a progressive neurodegenerative tauopathy caused by repeated concussive and subconcussive impacts. Because repeated impacts are thought to be necessary to initiate the disease process, CTE is typically found in those at high risk for experiencing repetitive head trauma, including athletes, those with exposure to injury due to military service or occupation, and individuals who exhibit seizures and/or head-banging behavior.[100, 109] In fact, CTE was initially named dementia pugilistica due to its association with the repetitive head trauma experienced by boxers in the ring.

However, head trauma alone is not sufficient to initiate the neuropathologic cascade of CTE. There are many potential risk factors that likely play a role in determining which individuals develop CTE and which individuals do not, given similar head trauma histories. There is some evidence that the APOE E4 allele may be associated with CTE development.[100, 116] Additionally, the relationship between the development of CTE and the number or severity of head injuries is not yet clear, as some athletes diagnosed with CTE had no reported concussion history despite a history of repetitive subconcussive head trauma.[100, 116] Additionally, the age at which an individual begins experiencing head injury, and the time interval between concussions, may play a role in the development of CTE.

Although the disease process likely starts at the time of injury, the initial signs of CTE do not typically manifest until decades later. Therefore, the time course for the presentation of CTE symptoms distinguish it from the cumulative effects of multiple injuries or a form of prolonged PCS. CTE can only be diagnosed post-mortem at this time, and as such the precise clinical presentation and the cascade of events preceding it are not yet known.[117] Additionally, because the diagnosis of CTE relies on post-mortem tissue analysis, the precise epidemiology of CTE is not yet known. Although the majority of professional and collegiate athletes examined for CTE have in fact been found to have had the disease, this represents a biased sample in that families who suspect their loved ones may be impaired are more likely to agree to brain donation for research purposes.

However, CTE is believed to be characterized clinically by a progressive decline of memory and executive functioning; mood and behavioral disturbances that include depression, apathy, impulsivity, anger, irritability, suicidal behavior, and aggressiveness; gait changes that resemble Parkinsonism; and, eventually, progression to dementia. Once this disease process is initiated, the neurodegeneration typically progresses slowly, with a mean survival duration of 18 years following the onset of symptoms.[100, 118-120]

Neuropathologically, CTE is characterized by a distinctive pattern of extensive tau-immunoreactive inclusions scattered throughout the cerebral cortex in a patchy, superficial distribution, with focal epicenters at the depths of sulci and around the cerebral vasculature; extensive tau-immunoreactive inclusions in limbic and paralimbic regions as well as brainstem nuclei; and a relative absence of Aβ deposits. On gross examination, CTE is characterized by generalized atrophy and enlarged ventricles, specific atrophy of the frontal and medial temporal lobes, degenerations of white matter fiber bundles, cavum septum pellucidum often with fenestrations, thinning of the hypothalamic floor, and shrinkage of the mammillary bodies.[100]

Although CTE may have similarities with AD, they are two quite distinct diseases. Clinically, CTE typically presents with age of onset in the 40s and 50s as opposed to onset after age 65 in sporadic AD. Additionally, the clinical progression of disease is much slower, often lasting decades, and is characterized by a subtle deterioration in personality and behavior.[100] Neuropathologically, both diseases share tau immunoreactivity, but the widespread distribution of neurofibrillary and glial tangles in the frontal, insular and temporal cortices, white matter, diencephalon, brainstem and spinal cord is considerably more extensive in CTE than in AD. Furthermore, the pattern of the neurofibrillary abnormalities is entirely distinct from AD or any other tauopathy, especially when considering the absence of the neuritic Aβ deposits characteristic of AD.[100, 121] However, additional research is needed to better understand the mechanism underlying these changes.

Motor Neuron Disease

Although genetic mutations have been identified that cause ALS, 90-95% of ALS cases are sporadic.[122, 123] Many risk factors have been identified as possibly contributing to these sporadic cases, but trauma specifically has been implicated as a risk factor which may initiate the molecular cascades resulting in ALS.[99, 124] In one case-control study, researchers found that injuries that had occurred in the previous 10 years had the strongest association with diagnosis of ALS.[99] Another case-control study also found that risk of ALS increased when the last head injury occurred closer to the time of diagnosis.[125] However, other studies have disputed this association.[126] On the whole, these findings suggest that head injury may play a role in triggering the onset of motor neuron disease.

Motor neurons in sporadic ALS are often found with TDP-43 immunoreactive inclusion bodies that appear either as rounded hyaline inclusions or as skein-like inclusions; as a result, TDP-43 has been implicated in the pathogenesis of motor neuron disease.[127, 128] Widespread TDP-43 positive inclusions have also been found in the vast majority of cases of CTE, and are typically found in the brainstem, basal ganglia, diencephalon, medial temporal lobe, frontal, temporal, and insular cortices, and subcortical white matter. A subset of individuals with CTE also develop a progressive motor neuron disease characterized by profound weakness, atrophy, spasticity and fasciculations. In these individuals, both tau neurofibrillary pathology and extensive TDP-43 immunoreactive inclusions and neurites were found in the motor cortex of the brain and in the spinal cord in a distribution not characteristic of sporadic ALS.[101] Although these initial findings merit further investigation, the co-occurence of widespread TDP-43 and tau proteinopathies in CTE suggests that repetitive head injury might be associated with the deposition of two abnormally phosphorylated, misfolded proteins, and that in some individuals, the TDP-43 proteinopathy is associated with the development of a motor neuron disease.

IMPLICATIONS ON ATHLETIC PARTICIPATION

As a result of these potential long-term consequences, both the incidence and the severity of youth concussion must be reduced. There are several approaches worth evaluating towards this end.

One potential solution involves the development and introduction of better equipment (e.g. helmets and mouth guards) that are specifically designed to attenuate the forces associated with concussions. However, although helmets have been shown to decrease the incidence of facial injury as well as moderate and severe TBI, and mouth guards help protect against dental and orofacial injury, there has been no evidence to date that the newest equipment reduces the incidence of concussions or severity of concussion symptoms.(Daneshvar et al. 2011).

Additionally, when new equipment requirements are introduced into a sport, oftentimes athletes' behavior changes, resulting in a riskier style of play reflecting their increased feeling of protection (Hagel and Meeuwisse, 2004). In some cases, this has been associated with a paradoxical increase in concussion incidence within an activity.(Dick et al. men's lacrosse, 2007)

A potentially more fruitful approach would be to limit an athlete's exposure to the impacts that might result in concussion. This could be accomplished through several means, such as decreasing the number of contact practices an athlete participates in each week; practice alone is responsible for up to 1500 impacts of 10g or more for some football players.(Crisco et al. 2010) Ivy League colleges have taken the lead and recently began implementing this policy and others will hopefully follow suit. Additionally, sport specific rule changes might help reduce the frequency of unnecessary and dangerous collisions, thereby decreasing athletic concussion burden.

In most instances, when concussions are properly treated, they are not believed to be associated with any long term sequelae. Ensuring that individuals receive proper medical care, and are given adequate physical and mental rest during recovery, should ensure that these injuries fully heal. Adopting uniform return to play guidelines, such as those discussed above, would help ensure athletes are not permitted to play too soon.

Along these lines, proper education of athletes, coaches, medical professionals, and the general public is necessary to identify and properly treat concussions. There are many organizations, such as the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), the Brain Injury Association (BIA) and the Sports Legacy Institute (SLI) working to improve concussion awareness and educational outreach.

CONCLUSION

While most concussions fully resolve within weeks of the injury, for some these concussions can have serious, long-term effects. Concussed individuals can sometimes experience prolonged PCS, lasting for months or even years, which can result in significant physical, emotional, and cognitive stress. Additionally, in children and young adults, months of PCS can adversely affect one's developmental trajectory by keeping students out of class and straining personal relationships. In adults, suffering from PCS for an extended period of time may delay one's ability to return to work, resulting in an additional financial and social burden on the concussed individual.

Once the symptoms of concussion subside, in some cases, a prior concussion may also have a lasting effect on behavior. These issues are more common in children as those who have been concussed are more likely to have symptoms of mood or conduct disorders reported by parents and teachers years after injury. Although these findings may in part be due to undiagnosed mood or conduct disorders in children, which resulted in original injury, the fact that the prefrontal cortex has not fully developed in these injured children provides an additional explanation of aberrant behavior.

Additionally, concussions and subconcussive impacts have been shown to increase risk of developing degenerative disease, sometimes even decades after the injury. There is a good deal of epidemiologic evidence linking a history of head injury with the development of AD, supported by evidence from animal models in response to acute head injury, but additional work is necessary to separate clinically diagnosed AD from other dementias. TBI has also been linked to PD through transient increases in alpha-synuclein resulting in an increase in the formation of Lewy bodies. However, the strongest evidence for a direct link between repetitive concussive and subconcussive injury and neurodegenerative disease later in life

comes from the study of CTE. Originally found in boxers, CTE has been diagnosed in a wide variety of individuals, all of whom had been exposed to repetitive head injury, be it through participation in athletics, military service, occupational hazards, or some other cause. While the tau diagnostic of CTE may begin to aggregate and form inclusions as early as in the second decade, the first clinical signs of CTE are not typically observed until one's 30s or 40s. CTE presents with cognitive deficits, depression, and behavioral disinhibition, and eventually progresses to full-blown dementia.

Although concussions were once considered relatively benign, mounting evidence indicates that concussions can have long-term consequences, sometimes for years or even decades after the injury. Improved understanding of the risks associated with concussions, and their potentially debilitating consequences, highlights the need for better concussion diagnosis, treatment and prevention.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Boston University Alzheimer's Disease Center NIA P30 AG13846, supplement 0572063345-5, the National Operating Committee on Standards for Athletic Equipment, the National Collegiate Athletic Association, the National Federation of State High School Associations, the American Football Coaches Association, and the Sports Legacy Institute.

REFERENCES

1. Faul, M.; Xu, L.; Wald, MM.; Coronado, VG. Traumatic Brain Injury in the United States: Emergency Department Visits, Hospitalizations and Deaths 2002-2006. US Department of Health and Human Services; March. 2010
2. CDC. Report to Congress on mild traumatic brain injury in the United States: steps to prevent a serious public health problem. National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; Atlanta, GA: 2003.
3. Langlois JA, Rutland-Brown W, Wald MM. The epidemiology and impact of traumatic brain injury: a brief overview. J Head Trauma Rehabil. Sep-Oct; 2006 21(5):375–378. [PubMed: 16983222]
4. CDC. Nonfatal traumatic brain injuries from sports and recreation activities—United States, 2001-2005. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2007.
5. Daneshvar DH, Nowinski CJ, McKee AC, Cantu RC. The epidemiology of sport-related concussion. Clin Sports Med. Jan; 2011 30(1):1–17. vii. [PubMed: 21074078]
6. Finkelstein, E.; Corso, P.; Miller, T. The Incidence and Economic Burden of Injuries in the United States. Oxford University Press; New York, NY: 2006.
7. Concussion (mild traumatic brain injury) and the team physician: a consensus statement. Med Sci Sports Exerc. Feb; 2006 38(2):395–399. [PubMed: 16531912]
8. Barkhoudarian G, Hovda DA, Giza CC. The molecular pathophysiology of concussive brain injury. Clin Sports Med. Jan; 2011 30(1):33–48. vii–iii. [PubMed: 21074080]
9. Cantu RC, Herring SA, Putukian M. Concussion. N Engl J Med. Apr 26.2007 356(17):1787. author reply 1789. [PubMed: 17460239]
10. Covassin T, Stearne D, Elbin R. Concussion history and postconcussion neurocognitive performance and symptoms in collegiate athletes. J Athl Train. Apr-Jun; 2008 43(2):119–124. [PubMed: 18345335]
11. Belanger HG, Vanderploeg RD. The neuropsychological impact of sports-related concussion: a meta-analysis. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. Jul; 2005 11(4):345–357. [PubMed: 16209414]
12. McCrea M, Guskiewicz KM, Marshall SW, et al. Acute effects and recovery time following concussion in collegiate football players: the NCAA Concussion Study. JAMA. Nov 19; 2003 290(19):2556–2563. [PubMed: 14625332]
13. Bailes JE, Cantu RC. Head injury in athletes. Neurosurgery. Jan; 2001 48(1):26–45. discussion 45-26. [PubMed: 11152359]

14. Nolin P. Executive memory dysfunctions following mild traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil. Jan-Feb; 2006 21(1):68–75. [PubMed: 16456393]
15. McCrory P, Meeuwisse W, Johnston K, et al. Consensus statement on concussion in sport--the 3rd International Conference on concussion in sport, held in Zurich, November 2008. J Clin Neurosci. Jun; 2009 16(6):755–763. [PubMed: 19410148]
16. Davis GA, Iverson GL, Guskiewicz KM, Ptito A, Johnston KM. Contributions of neuroimaging, balance testing, electrophysiology and blood markers to the assessment of sport-related concussion. Br J Sports Med. May; 2009 43(Suppl 1):i36–45. [PubMed: 19433424]
17. Hall RC, Chapman MJ. Definition, diagnosis, and forensic implications of postconcussional syndrome. Psychosomatics. May-Jun; 2005 46(3):195–202. [PubMed: 15883140]
18. Cantu RC. Return to play guidelines after a head injury. Clin Sports Med. Jan; 1998 17(1):45–60. [PubMed: 9475970]
19. Teasdale GM, Murray GD, Nicoll JA. The association between APOE epsilon4, age and outcome after head injury: a prospective cohort study. Brain. Nov; 2005 128(Pt 11):2556–2561. [PubMed: 16033781]
20. Shah SA, Prough DS, Garcia JM, DeWitt DS, Hellmich HL. Molecular correlates of age-specific responses to traumatic brain injury in mice. Exp Gerontol. Nov; 2006 41(11):1201–1205. [PubMed: 16978820]
21. Cantu RC, Guskiewicz K, Register-Mihalik JK. A retrospective clinical analysis of moderate to severe athletic concussions. PM R. Dec; 2010 2(12):1088–1093. [PubMed: 21145520]
22. Levin HS, Song J, Ewing-Cobbs L, Chapman SB, Mendelsohn D. Word fluency in relation to severity of closed head injury, associated frontal brain lesions, and age at injury in children. Neuropsychologia. 2001; 39(2):122–131. [PubMed: 11163370]
23. Temkin NR, Corrigan JD, Dikmen SS, Machamer J. Social functioning after traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil. Nov-Dec; 2009 24(6):460–467. [PubMed: 19940679]
24. Bombardier CH, Fann JR, Temkin NR, Esselman PC, Barber J, Dikmen SS. Rates of major depressive disorder and clinical outcomes following traumatic brain injury. JAMA. May 19; 2010 303(19):1938–1945. [PubMed: 20483970]
25. Taylor HG, Swartwout MD, Yeates KO, Walz NC, Stancin T, Wade SL. Traumatic brain injury in young children: postacute effects on cognitive and school readiness skills. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. Sep; 2008 14(5):734–745. [PubMed: 18764969]
26. Gerrard-Morris A, Taylor HG, Yeates KO, et al. Cognitive development after traumatic brain injury in young children. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. Jan; 2010 16(1):157–168. [PubMed: 19849883]
27. Anderson V, Catroppa C, Morse S, Haritou F, Rosenfeld J. Recovery of intellectual ability following traumatic brain injury in childhood: impact of injury severity and age at injury. Pediatr Neurosurg. Jun; 2000 32(6):282–290. [PubMed: 10971189]
28. Kirkwood MW, Yeates KO, Wilson PE. Pediatric sport-related concussion: a review of the clinical management of an oft-neglected population. Pediatrics. Apr; 2006 117(4):1359–1371. [PubMed: 16585334]
29. Prins ML, Hovda DA. Developing experimental models to address traumatic brain injury in children. J Neurotrauma. Feb; 2003 20(2):123–137. [PubMed: 12675967]
30. Cantu RC, Mueller FO. The prevention of catastrophic head and spine injuries in high school and college sports. Br J Sports Med. Dec; 2009 43(13):981–986. [PubMed: 19945980]
31. Sterr A, Herron KA, Hayward C, Montaldi D. Are mild head injuries as mild as we think? Neurobehavioral concomitants of chronic post-concussion syndrome. BMC Neurol. 2006; 6:7. [PubMed: 16460567]
32. Gosselin N, Tellier M. Patients with traumatic brain injury are at high risk of developing chronic sleep-wake disturbances. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. Dec.2010 81(12):1297. [PubMed: 21087923]
33. Sojka P, Stalnacke BM, Bjornstig U, Karlsson K. One-year follow-up of patients with mild traumatic brain injury: occurrence of post-traumatic stress-related symptoms at follow-up and serum levels of cortisol, S-100B and neuron-specific enolase in acute phase. Brain Inj. Jun; 2006 20(6):613–620. [PubMed: 16754286]

34. (WHO) WHO. The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders: Diagnostic Criteria for Research. Geneva, Switzerland: 1993.
35. (APA) APA. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Fourth Edition. Washington, DC: 1994.
36. Yeates KO, Luria J, Bartkowski H, Rusin J, Martin L, Bigler ED. Postconcussive symptoms in children with mild closed head injuries. J Head Trauma Rehabil. Aug; 1999 14(4):337–350. [PubMed: 10407207]
37. Carroll LJ, Cassidy JD, Peloso PM, et al. Prognosis for mild traumatic brain injury: results of the WHO Collaborating Centre Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. J Rehabil Med. Feb.2004 (43 Suppl):84–105. [PubMed: 15083873]
38. Rees PM. Contemporary issues in mild traumatic brain injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. Dec; 2003 84(12):1885–1894. [PubMed: 14669199]
39. Yang CC, Tu YK, Hua MS, Huang SJ. The association between the postconcussion symptoms and clinical outcomes for patients with mild traumatic brain injury. J Trauma. Mar; 2007 62(3):657–663. [PubMed: 17414343]
40. Milman A, Rosenberg A, Weizman R, Pick CG. Mild traumatic brain injury induces persistent cognitive deficits and behavioral disturbances in mice. J Neurotrauma. Sep; 2005 22(9):1003–1010. [PubMed: 16156715]
41. Tashlykov V, Katz Y, Volkov A, et al. Minimal traumatic brain injury induce apoptotic cell death in mice. J Mol Neurosci. Jan; 2009 37(1):16–24. [PubMed: 18651249]
42. Tweedie D, Milman A, Holloway HW, et al. Apoptotic and behavioral sequelae of mild brain trauma in mice. J Neurosci Res. Mar; 2007 85(4):805–815. [PubMed: 17243171]
43. Wall SE, Williams WH, Cartwright-Hatton S, et al. Neuropsychological dysfunction following repeat concussions in jockeys. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. Apr; 2006 77(4):518–520. [PubMed: 16543534]
44. Johansson B, Berglund P, Ronnback L. Mental fatigue and impaired information processing after mild and moderate traumatic brain injury. Brain Inj. Dec; 2009 23(13-14):1027–1040. [PubMed: 19909051]
45. Whittaker R, Kemp S, House A. Illness perceptions and outcome in mild head injury: a longitudinal study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. Jun; 2007 78(6):644–646. [PubMed: 17507448]
46. Panayiotou A, Jackson M, Crowe SF. A meta-analytic review of the emotional symptoms associated with mild traumatic brain injury. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. Jun; 2010 32(5):463–473. [PubMed: 20524220]
47. Farace E, Alves WM. Do women fare worse: a metaanalysis of gender differences in traumatic brain injury outcome. J Neurosurg. Oct; 2000 93(4):539–545. [PubMed: 11014529]
48. Pagulayan KF, Hoffman JM, Temkin NR, Machamer JE, Dikmen SS. Functional limitations and depression after traumatic brain injury: examination of the temporal relationship. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. Oct; 2008 89(10):1887–1892. [PubMed: 18929017]
49. Bell KR, Hoffman JM, Temkin NR, et al. The effect of telephone counselling on reducing post-traumatic symptoms after mild traumatic brain injury: a randomised trial. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. Nov; 2008 79(11):1275–1281. [PubMed: 18469027]
50. Heitger MH, Jones RD, Dalrymple-Alford JC, Frampton CM, Ardagh MW, Anderson TJ. Motor deficits and recovery during the first year following mild closed head injury. Brain Inj. Jul; 2006 20(8):807–824. [PubMed: 17060148]
51. Dikmen SS, Corrigan JD, Levin HS, Machamer J, Stiers W, Weisskopf MG. Cognitive outcome following traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil. Nov-Dec; 2009 24(6):430–438. [PubMed: 19940676]
52. Straume-Naesheim TM, Andersen TE, Dvorak J, Bahr R. Effects of heading exposure and previous concussions on neuropsychological performance among Norwegian elite footballers. Br J Sports Med. Aug; 2005 39(Suppl 1):i70–77. [PubMed: 16046359]
53. Broglio SP, Ferrara MS, Piland SG, Anderson RB, Collie A. Concussion history is not a predictor of computerised neurocognitive performance. Br J Sports Med. Sep; 2006 40(9):802–805. discussion 802-805. [PubMed: 16929049]

54. Collie A, McCrory P, Makdissi M. Does history of concussion affect current cognitive status? Br J Sports Med. Jun; 2006 40(6):550–551. [PubMed: 16720889]
55. Woodrome SE, Yeates KO, Taylor HG, et al. Coping Strategies as a Predictor of Post-concussive Symptoms in Children with Mild Traumatic Brain Injury versus Mild Orthopedic Injury. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. Jan.2011 18:1–10.
56. Killam C, Cautin RL, Santucci AC. Assessing the enduring residual neuropsychological effects of head trauma in college athletes who participate in contact sports. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. Jul; 2005 20(5):599–611. [PubMed: 15939185]
57. Sawchyn JM, Brulot MM, Strauss E. Note on the use of the Postconcussion Syndrome Checklist. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. Jan; 2000 15(1):1–8. [PubMed: 14590562]
58. Machulda MM, Bergquist TF, Ito V, Chew S. Relationship between stress, coping, and postconcussion symptoms in a healthy adult population. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. Jul; 1998 13(5): 415–424. [PubMed: 14590606]
59. De Beaumont L, Theoret H, Mongeon D, et al. Brain function decline in healthy retired athletes who sustained their last sports concussion in early adulthood. Brain. Mar; 2009 132(Pt 3):695–708. [PubMed: 19176544]
60. Mittenberg W, Wittner MS, Miller LJ. Postconcussion syndrome occurs in children. Neuropsychology. Jul; 1997 11(3):447–452. [PubMed: 9223149]
61. Field M, Collins MW, Lovell MR, Maroon J. Does age play a role in recovery from sports-related concussion? A comparison of high school and collegiate athletes. J Pediatr. May; 2003 142(5): 546–553. [PubMed: 12756388]
62. Bayly PV, Dikranian KT, Black EE, et al. Spatiotemporal evolution of apoptotic neurodegeneration following traumatic injury to the developing rat brain. Brain Res. Aug 30; 2006 1107(1):70–81. [PubMed: 16822489]
63. Bittigau P, Sifringer M, Pohl D, et al. Apoptotic neurodegeneration following trauma is markedly enhanced in the immature brain. Ann Neurol. Jun; 1999 45(6):724–735. [PubMed: 10360764]
64. Jacobs B, Beems T, Stulemeijer M, et al. Outcome prediction in mild traumatic brain injury: age and clinical variables are stronger predictors than CT abnormalities. J Neurotrauma. Apr; 2010 27(4):655–668. [PubMed: 20035619]
65. Santa Maria MP, Pinkston JB, Miller SR, Gouvier WD. Stability of postconcussion symptomatology differs between high and low responders and by gender but not by mild head injury status. Arch Clin Neuropsychol. Feb; 2001 16(2):133–140. [PubMed: 14590181]
66. Schneider GE. Is it really better to have your brain lesion early? A revision of the “Kennard principle”. Neuropsychologia. 1979; 17(6):557–583. [PubMed: 392335]
67. Pullela R, Raber J, Pfankuch T, et al. Traumatic injury to the immature brain results in progressive neuronal loss, hyperactivity and delayed cognitive impairments. Dev Neurosci. 2006; 28(4-5): 396–409. [PubMed: 16943663]
68. Huh JW, Widing AG, Raghupathi R. Midline brain injury in the immature rat induces sustained cognitive deficits, bihemispheric axonal injury and neurodegeneration. Exp Neurol. Sep; 2008 213(1):84–92. [PubMed: 18599043]
69. Satz P, Zaucha K, McCleary C, Light R, Asarnow R, Becker D. Mild head injury in children and adolescents: a review of studies (1970-1995). Psychol Bull. Sep; 1997 122(2):107–131. [PubMed: 9283296]
70. Satz P. Mild head injury in children and adolescents. Curr Dir Psychol Sci. 2001; 10:106–109.
71. Fay TB, Yeates KO, Taylor HG, et al. Cognitive reserve as a moderator of postconcussive symptoms in children with complicated and uncomplicated mild traumatic brain injury. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. Jan; 2010 16(1):94–105. [PubMed: 19835663]
72. Catale C, Marique P, Closset A, Meulemans T. Attentional and executive functioning following mild traumatic brain injury in children using the Test for Attentional Performance (TAP) battery. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. Apr; 2009 31(3):331–338. [PubMed: 18608644]
73. Ewing-Cobbs L, Fletcher JM, Levin HS, Francis DJ, Davidson K, Miner ME. Longitudinal neuropsychological outcome in infants and preschoolers with traumatic brain injury. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. Nov; 1997 3(6):581–591. [PubMed: 9448371]

74. Dawson KS, Batchelor J, Meares S, Chapman J, Marosszeky JE. Applicability of neural reserve theory in mild traumatic brain injury. Brain Inj. Aug; 2007 21(9):943–949. [PubMed: 17729047]
75. Stern Y. What is cognitive reserve? Theory and research application of the reserve concept. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. Mar; 2002 8(3):448–460. [PubMed: 11939702]
76. Dikmen SS, Levin HS. Methodological issues in the study of mild head injury. Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitaion. 1993; 8(3):30–37.
77. Iverson GL, Lange RT, Brooks BL, Rennison VL. “Good old days” bias following mild traumatic brain injury. Clin Neuropsychol. Jan; 2010 24(1):17–37. [PubMed: 19830628]
78. Yeates KO, Taylor HG. Neurobehavioural outcomes of mild head injury in children and adolescents. Pediatr Rehabil. Jan-Mar; 2005 8(1):5–16. [PubMed: 15799131]
79. Yeates KO. Mild traumatic brain injury and postconcussive symptoms in children and adolescents. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. Nov; 2010 16(6):953–960. [PubMed: 20735890]
80. McKinlay A, Grace RC, Horwood LJ, Fergusson DM, MacFarlane MR. Long-term behavioural outcomes of pre-school mild traumatic brain injury. Child Care Health Dev. Jan; 2010 36(1):22–30. [PubMed: 19250251]
81. Yeates KO, Taylor HG, Rusin J, et al. Longitudinal trajectories of postconcussive symptoms in children with mild traumatic brain injuries and their relationship to acute clinical status. Pediatrics. Mar; 2009 123(3):735–743. [PubMed: 19254996]
82. Timonen M, Miettunen J, Hakko H, et al. The association of preceding traumatic brain injury with mental disorders, alcoholism and criminality: the Northern Finland 1966 Birth Cohort Study. Psychiatry Res. Dec 30; 2002 113(3):217–226. [PubMed: 12559478]
83. Roncadin C, Guger S, Archibald J, Barnes M, Dennis M. Working memory after mild, moderate, or severe childhood closed head injury. Dev Neuropsychol. 2004; 25(1-2):21–36. [PubMed: 14984327]
84. Ewing-Cobbs L, Barnes M, Fletcher JM, Levin HS, Swank PR, Song J. Modeling of longitudinal academic achievement scores after pediatric traumatic brain injury. Dev Neuropsychol. 2004; 25(1-2):107–133. [PubMed: 14984331]
85. Anderson SW, Damasio H, Tranel D, Damasio AR. Long-term sequelae of prefrontal cortex damage acquired in early childhood. Dev Neuropsychol. 2000; 18(3):281–296. [PubMed: 11385828]
86. Jacobs R, Harvey AS, Anderson V. Executive function following focal frontal lobe lesions: impact of timing of lesion on outcome. Cortex. Aug; 2007 43(6):792–805. [PubMed: 17710830]
87. Catroppa C, Anderson VA, Morse SA, Haritou F, Rosenfeld JV. Children’s attentional skills 5 years post-TBI. J Pediatr Psychol. Apr; 2007 32(3):354–369. [PubMed: 16840790]
88. Anderson V, Catroppa C. Recovery of executive skills following paediatric traumatic brain injury (TBI): a 2 year follow-up. Brain Inj. Jun; 2005 19(6):459–470. [PubMed: 16101268]
89. McKinlay A, Dalrymple-Alford JC, Horwood LJ, Fergusson DM. Long term psychosocial outcomes after mild head injury in early childhood. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. Sep; 2002 73(3):281–288. [PubMed: 12185159]
90. Anderson V, Catroppa C, Morse S, Haritou F, Rosenfeld J. Functional plasticity or vulnerability after early brain injury? Pediatrics. Dec; 2005 116(6):1374–1382. [PubMed: 16322161]
91. Bonnier C, Marique P, Van Hout A, Potelle D. Neurodevelopmental outcome after severe traumatic brain injury in very young children: role for subcortical lesions. J Child Neurol. May; 2007 22(5):519–529. [PubMed: 17690056]
92. Massagli TL, Fann JR, Burington BE, Jaffe KM, Katon WJ, Thompson RS. Psychiatric illness after mild traumatic brain injury in children. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. Sep; 2004 85(9):1428–1434. [PubMed: 15375812]
93. McKinlay A, Grace R, Horwood J, Fergusson D, MacFarlane M. Adolescent psychiatric symptoms following preschool childhood mild traumatic brain injury: evidence from a birth cohort. J Head Trauma Rehabil. May-Jun; 2009 24(3):221–227. [PubMed: 19461369]
94. Hessen E, Nestvold K, Sundet K. Neuropsychological function in a group of patients 25 years after sustaining minor head injuries as children and adolescents. Scand J Psychol. Aug; 2006 47(4): 245–251. [PubMed: 16869857]

95. Hessen E, Nestvold K, Anderson V. Neuropsychological function 23 years after mild traumatic brain injury: a comparison of outcome after paediatric and adult head injuries. Brain Inj. Aug; 2007 21(9):963–979. [PubMed: 17729049]
96. Cantu RC. Recurrent athletic head injury: risks and when to retire. Clin Sports Med. Jul; 2003 22(3):593–603. x. [PubMed: 12852688]
97. Mortimer JA, van Duijn CM, Chandra V, et al. EURODEM Risk Factors Research Group. Head trauma as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease: a collaborative re-analysis of case-control studies. Int J Epidemiol. 1991; 20(Suppl 2):S28–35. [PubMed: 1833351]
98. Goldman SM, Tanner CM, Oakes D, Bhudhikanok GS, Gupta A, Langston JW. Head injury and Parkinson's disease risk in twins. Ann Neurol. Jul; 2006 60(1):65–72. [PubMed: 16718702]
99. Chen H, Richard M, Sandler DP, Umbach DM, Kamel F. Head injury and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Am J Epidemiol. Oct 1; 2007 166(7):810–816. [PubMed: 17641152]
100. McKee AC, Cantu RC, Nowinski CJ, et al. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy in athletes: progressive tauopathy after repetitive head injury. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. Jul; 2009 68(7): 709–735. [PubMed: 19535999]
101. McKee AC, Gavett BE, Stern RA, et al. TDP-43 Proteinopathy and Motor Neuron Disease in Chronic Traumatic Encephalopathy. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. Aug 11.2010
102. Fleminger S, Oliver DL, Lovestone S, Rabe-Hesketh S, Giora A. Head injury as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease: the evidence 10 years on; a partial replication. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. Jul; 2003 74(7):857–862. [PubMed: 12810767]
103. Mortimer JA, French LR, Hutton JT, Schuman LM. Head injury as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease. Neurology. Feb; 1985 35(2):264–267. [PubMed: 3969219]
104. O'Meara ES, Kukull WA, Sheppard L, et al. Head injury and risk of Alzheimer's disease by apolipoprotein E genotype. Am J Epidemiol. Sep 1; 1997 146(5):373–384. [PubMed: 9290497]
105. Mehta KM, Ott A, Kalmijn S, et al. Head trauma and risk of dementia and Alzheimer's disease: The Rotterdam Study. Neurology. Dec 10; 1999 53(9):1959–1962. [PubMed: 10599765]
106. Katzman R, Galasko DR, Saitoh T, et al. Apolipoprotein-epsilon4 and head trauma: Synergistic or additive risks? Neurology. Mar; 1996 46(3):889–891. [PubMed: 8618734]
107. Mayeux R, Ottman R, Maestre G, et al. Synergistic effects of traumatic head injury and apolipoprotein-epsilon 4 in patients with Alzheimer's disease. Neurology. Mar; 1995 45(3 Pt 1): 555–557. [PubMed: 7898715]
108. Plassman BL, Havlik RJ, Steffens DC, et al. Documented head injury in early adulthood and risk of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias. Neurology. Oct 24; 2000 55(8):1158–1166. [PubMed: 11071494]
109. Gavett BE, Stern RA, Cantu RC, Nowinski CJ, McKee AC. Mild traumatic brain injury: a risk factor for neurodegeneration. Alzheimers Res Ther. 2010; 2(3):18. [PubMed: 20587081]
110. Jellinger KA, Paulus W, Wrocklage C, Litvan I. Traumatic brain injury as a risk factor for Alzheimer disease. Comparison of two retrospective autopsy cohorts with evaluation of ApoE genotype. BMC Neurol. Dec 18.2001 1:3. [PubMed: 11504565]
111. Corrigan F, Pham CL, Vink R, et al. The neuroprotective domains of the amyloid precursor protein, in traumatic brain injury, are located in the two growth factor domains. Brain Res. Jan 6.2011
112. Smith DH, Uryu K, Saatman KE, Trojanowski JQ, McIntosh TK. Protein accumulation in traumatic brain injury. Neuromolecular Med. 2003; 4(1-2):59–72. [PubMed: 14528053]
113. Bower JH, Maraganore DM, Peterson BJ, McDonnell SK, Ahlskog JE, Rocca WA. Head trauma preceding PD: a case-control study. Neurology. May 27; 2003 60(10):1610–1615. [PubMed: 12771250]
114. Uryu K, Giasson BI, Longhi L, et al. Age-dependent synuclein pathology following traumatic brain injury in mice. Exp Neurol. Nov; 2003 184(1):214–224. [PubMed: 14637093]
115. Newell KL, Boyer P, Gomez-Tortosa E, et al. Alpha-synuclein immunoreactivity is present in axonal swellings in neuroaxonal dystrophy and acute traumatic brain injury. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. Dec; 1999 58(12):1263–1268. [PubMed: 10604751]

116. McKee AC, Gavett BE, Stern RA, et al. TDP-43 proteinopathy and motor neuron disease in chronic traumatic encephalopathy. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. Sep; 2010 69(9):918–929. [PubMed: 20720505]

117. Gavett BE, Stern RA, McKee AC. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy: a potential late effect of sport-related concussive and subconcussive head trauma. Clin Sports Med. Jan; 2011 30(1):179–188. xi. [PubMed: 21074091]

118. Belanger HG, Spiegel E, Vanderploeg RD. Neuropsychological performance following a history of multiple self-reported concussions: a meta-analysis. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. Mar; 2010 16(2): 262–267. [PubMed: 20003581]

119. Omalu BI, Bailes J, Hammers JL, Fitzsimmons RP. Chronic traumatic encephalopathy, suicides and parasuicides in professional American athletes: the role of the forensic pathologist. Am J Forensic Med Pathol. Jun; 2010 31(2):130–132. [PubMed: 20032774]

120. Guskiewicz KM, Marshall SW, Bailes J, et al. Recurrent concussion and risk of depression in retired professional football players. Med Sci Sports Exerc. Jun; 2007 39(6):903–909. [PubMed: 17545878]

121. Braak H, Braak E. Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. Acta Neuropathol. 1991; 82(4):239–259. [PubMed: 1759558]

122. Bruijn LI, Miller TM, Cleveland DW. Unraveling the mechanisms involved in motor neuron degeneration in ALS. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2004; 27:723–749. [PubMed: 15217349]

123. Mulder DW, Kurland LT, Offord KP, Beard CM. Familial adult motor neuron disease: amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Neurology. Apr; 1986 36(4):511–517. [PubMed: 3960325]

124. Schmidt S, Kwee LC, Allen KD, Oddone EZ. Association of ALS with head injury, cigarette smoking and APOE genotypes. J Neurol Sci. Apr 15; 2010 291(1-2):22–29. [PubMed: 20129626]

125. Binazzi A, Belli S, Uccelli R, et al. An exploratory case-control study on spinal and bulbar forms of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in the province of Rome. Amyotroph Lateral Scler. Oct-Dec; 2009 10(5-6):361–369. [PubMed: 19922125]

126. Armon C. Sports and trauma in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis revisited. J Neurol Sci. Nov 15; 2007 262(1-2):45–53. [PubMed: 17681549]

127. Dickson DW. Neuropathology of non-Alzheimer degenerative disorders. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2009; 3(1):1–23. [PubMed: 19918325]

128. Geser F, Martinez-Lage M, Kwong LK, Lee VM, Trojanowski JQ. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, frontotemporal dementia and beyond: the TDP-43 diseases. J Neurol. Aug; 2009 256(8):1205–1214. [PubMed: 19271105]

Table 1

History of head trauma with loss of consciousness precedes symptom onset by maximum of 4 weeks

Three or more symptom categories:
○ Headache, dizziness, malaise, fatigue, noise intolerance
○ Irritability, depression, anxiety, emotional lability
○ Subjective concentration, memory, or intellectual difficulties without neuropsychological evidence of marked impairment
○ Insomnia
○ Reduced alcohol intolerance
Pre-occupation with above symptoms and fear of brain damage with hypochondriacal concern and adoption of sick role

Data From: World Health Organization: The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders: Diagnostic Criteria for Research. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1993

Table 2

A history of head trauma that has caused significant cerebral concussion (e.g. with loss of consciousness, post-traumatic amnesia or seizures)

Neuropsychological evidence of difficulty in attention or memory			
Three or more symptoms that last at least 3 months and have an onset shortly after head trauma or represent substantial worsening of previous symptoms:			
□	Fatigue	□	Headache
□	Disordered sleep	□	Dizziness
□	Irritability or aggression with little or no provocation	□	Changes in personality
□	Anxiety, depression or affect lability	□	Apathy or lack of spontaneity
The symptoms result in significant impairment in daily functioning that reflects a decline from previous level			

Data from: American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition. Washington, DC, American Psychiatric Association, 1994