



Advancing Contraceptive Coverage and Economic Security (ACCESS) Bill

AN ACT RELATIVE TO WOMEN'S HEALTH AND ECONOMIC EQUITY

S.499 H.536 Lead Sponsors: Sen. Harriette Chandler, Rep. Patricia Haddad, & Rep. John Scibak

THE PROBLEM:

The Affordable Care Act is not meeting the needs of people in Massachusetts and is under the threat of repeal.

THE SOLUTION:

ACCESS clarifies and improves upon the provisions of the ACA to ensure contraceptive coverage for all.

ACCESS GUARANTEES THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS

Coverage without cost-sharing for all FDA-approved contraceptive drugs, devices, supplies, and voluntary sterilization.

Guaranteed approval of 12-month supply prescriptions of contraceptives without cost-sharing.

100% coverage of over-the-counter contraception without copay.

Allows insurance companies the ability to limit coverage when two or more products have the same active ingredients and safety profile that are FDA-approved.

Prohibits use of “reasonable medical management techniques” by insurers to delay or even prevent access to contraceptives.

Introduces/Creates gender equity in copay-free contraceptive access by prohibiting copays for vasectomies.

ACCESS DOES NOT ADD BURDENSOME REQUIREMENTS

Does not require insurance companies to cover every form of contraception on the market, only those that are FDA-approved and do not have a therapeutic equivalent with the same ingredients and safety profile.

Does not force exempted religious organizations or religiously affiliated non-profits to cover contraceptive methods and counseling.



ACCESS will make it easier for people across the Commonwealth to access contraceptives

AN ACT RELATIVE TO WOMEN'S HEALTH AND ECONOMIC EQUITY

S.499 H.536 Lead Sponsors: Sen. Harriette Chandler, Rep. Patricia Haddad, & Rep. John Scibak

You may have heard: The ACA already provides copay-free contraceptives.

The facts say: While the ACA mandated that contraceptives be available without cost-sharing, there are still gaps that make it difficult for many women across the Commonwealth to access the contraception that works best for them. Plus, the ACA and its contraceptive coverage mandate are under constant threat of federal repeal. ACCESS will ensure that the contraceptive coverage mandate of the ACA would remain Massachusetts law regardless of what happens at the federal level.

You may have heard: ACCESS would require every contraceptive “under the sun” to be covered by insurers.

The facts say: ACCESS will allow insurance companies to negotiate which contraceptives would go on their formularies, specifically for therapeutic equivalents. Contraceptives with therapeutic equivalents have same active ingredients and safety protocols.

You may have heard: There is already an existing appeals process for patients to obtain contraceptives not on their insurance company’s formulary.

The facts say: The existing appeals process requires a patient to appeal to a panel of doctors, which is a burdensome practice the ACA addressed by requiring insurance companies to have a simple waiver process for patients in need of a contraceptive not in their formulary. However, there has not been proper implementation of this requirement, so ACCESS will give more explicit instruction about the appeals process making it easier for a patient to get the type of contraceptive best suited for them.

You may have heard: Women would see their physicians less if they were to receive a 12-month supply of contraceptives at once.

The facts say: Currently, patients are not typically expected to see their physician more than once a year to receive and/or renew a prescription for a contraceptive method. Typically, prescriptions are written for 12-month supplies, although insurance companies will often only cover the cost for 1-3 months at a time. Research indicates that giving a 12-month supply of contraceptives at once decreases the risk of unintended pregnancies in comparison to 1-3 month supplies and is more cost-effective.

You may have heard: Massachusetts already has a contraceptive coverage law, the 2006 Act of Affordability, Quality, and Accountable Health Care.

The facts say: The 2006 law only requires partial coverage of contraceptives by insurers. Even after the passage of the 2006 law and the implementation of the ACA provisions, individuals routinely pay \$800-\$1200 annually on contraceptive copays and associated costs, adding to an estimated \$12,000 over their lifetime.

If you have any questions about this legislation, please contact Rebecca Hart Holder, Executive Director, at 617-556-8800x12 or rebecca@prochoicemass.org.
NARAL Pro-Choice Massachusetts, 15 Court Square, Suite 900, Boston, MA, 02108



ACCESS will make it easier for people across the Commonwealth to access contraceptives

AN ACT RELATIVE TO WOMEN'S HEALTH AND ECONOMIC EQUITY

S.499 H.536 Lead Sponsors: Sen. Harriette Chandler, Rep. Patricia Haddad, & Rep. John Scibak

The Affordable Care Act requires 100% coverage of all contraceptives approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Unfortunately, there exist gaps in coverage that are costing not only individuals in Massachusetts, but also the state and private insurers. The ACCESS bill (S. 499, H. 536) will ensure that all individuals will be able to obtain the best birth control for them and will create no additional costs to the state or private insurers, but will instead result in overall cost savings.

About half (47% or 54,000 pregnancies per year) of Massachusetts pregnancies are unintended.

Unintended pregnancy creates significant costs for private insurers and the state, which would be reduced by ACCESS. In 2010, unintended pregnancies accounted for more than \$357 million in public costs, including over \$138.3 million in costs to the state. ¹

When individuals have 100% coverage of contraceptive methods, they are more likely to use their contraceptive of choice effectively.

Individuals who feel unhappy with their contraceptive, due to side effects or other reasons, are less likely to correctly or consistently use contraception and more likely to experience an unintended pregnancy as a result. Individuals are also more likely to choose long-acting and highly effective contraceptive methods when they receive 100% coverage. ²

Public funding for contraceptive coverage has a proven return of \$5.68 in savings for every \$1.00 spent. ⁵

¹ <https://www.guttmacher.org/fact-sheet/state-facts-about-unintended-pregnancy-massachusetts#7>

² Huber L, Hogue C, Stein A, et al. Contraceptive use and discontinuation: findings from the contraceptive history, initiation and choice study. Am J ObstetGynecol 2006;194: 1290-5.

If you have any questions about this legislation, please contact Rebecca Hart Holder, Executive Director, at 617-556-8800x12 or rebecca@prochoicemass.org.
NARAL Pro-Choice Massachusetts, 15 Court Square, Suite 900, Boston, MA, 02108

Removing the risk of gaps between contraceptive protection periods reduces unintended pregnancies.

When individuals receive a 12 month supply of contraceptives, they are more likely to use it consistently than individuals who only receive 1-3 month supplies.³

Prior expansions of birth control coverage prove that it does not add cost.

The National Business Group on Health has estimated it costs employers, and therefore insurers, 15-17% more not to provide coverage for contraceptives, and recommends no cost-sharing.⁴ The study took into account the cost of contraceptives to employers and insurers in comparison to both the direct medical costs of pregnancy and the indirect costs of subsequent employee absence.

When cost barriers to birth control are removed, women choose long-lasting, cost-effective options.

Research shows that all birth control is cost-effective when taking into account the cost savings of avoiding unintended pregnancies. Long-acting options, like intrauterine devices (IUDs), lead to the most significant long-term cost savings. In a recent study, 67% of women selected long-acting, highly effective birth control when given the opportunity to choose from a range of free options. This resulted in reduced rates of unintended pregnancy.

NARAL Pro-Choice Massachusetts calls on the Massachusetts legislature to pass ACCESS this session.

¹ <https://www.guttmacher.org/fact-sheet/state-facts-about-unintended-pregnancy-massachusetts#7>

² Huber L, Hogue C, Stein A, et al. Contraceptive use and discontinuation: findings from the contraceptive history, initiation and choice study. *Am J ObstetGynecol* 2006;194: 1290-5.

³ Foster DG, Hulett D, Bradsberry M, Darney P, Policar M. Number of Oral Contraceptive Pill Packages Dispensed and Subsequent Unintended Pregnancies. *ObstetGynecol* 2011;117:566-72.

⁴ <https://www.guttmacher.org/gpr/2011/03/case-insurance-coverage-contraceptive-services-and-supplies-without-cost-sharing>

⁵ Frost JJ, Sonfield A, Zolna MR and Finer LB, Return on investment: a fuller assessment of the benefits and cost savings of the US publicly funded family planning program, *Milbank Quarterly*, 2014, 92(4):696-749, <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/enhanced/doi/10.1111/1468-0009.12080/>.

If you have any questions about this legislation, please contact Rebecca Hart Holder, Executive Director, at 617-556-8800x12 or rebecca@prochoicemass.org.
NARAL Pro-Choice Massachusetts, 15 Court Square, Suite 900, Boston, MA, 02108