

COORDINATE DENSITY OF SETS OF VECTORS

M.G. KARPOVSKY and V.D. MILMAN

Tel-Aviv University and The Institute for Advanced Studies, Ramat Aviv, Israel

Received 1 November 1976

Let $n_0 = 0, n_1 \geq 1, \dots, n_s \geq 1$ be given natural numbers,

$$J_i = \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} n_t + 1, \dots, \sum_{t=0}^i n_t \right\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, s)$$

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i} = \left\{ (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}) : n = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i \text{ and if } r \in J_i, \text{ then } x^{(r)} \in \{0, \dots, q_i - 1\} \right\}.$$

A set $R \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$ is said to be (m_1, \dots, m_s) -dense ($1 \leq m_i \leq n_i$) if there exist $I_i \subseteq J_i$ such that $|I_i| = m_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$) and $|P^{(I)}(R)| = \prod_{i=1}^s q_i^{m_i}$, where $P^{(I)}(R)$ is the projection of R on the coordinate axes whose indices lie in $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^s I_i$.

In this paper we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for an arbitrary set $R \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$ with given $|R|$ to be (m_1, \dots, m_s) -dense.

1.

Given natural numbers $n_0 = 0, n_1 \geq 1, \dots, n_s \geq 1$, put

$$J_i = \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} n_t + 1, \dots, \sum_{t=0}^i n_t \right\}$$

and let $\prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$ denote the set of n -vectors $(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)})$, where $n = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i$, and if $r \in J_i$, then $x^{(r)} \in \{0, \dots, q_i - 1\}$. Let $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. For any $x \in \prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$ let $P^{(I)}(x)$ denote the projection of x on the coordinate axes whose indices lie in I . If $I = \emptyset$ is the empty set, then $P^{(\emptyset)}(x) = (0, \dots, 0)$ for all $x \in \prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$. If $R \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$, then $P^{(I)}(R) = \{P^{(I)}(x) : x \in R\}$.

A set $R \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$ is said to be (m_1, \dots, m_s) -dense ($1 \leq m_i \leq n_i, i = 1, \dots, s$) if there exist $I_i \subseteq J_i$ such that $|I_i| = m_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$) and $|P^{(I)}(R)| = \prod_{i=1}^s q_i^{m_i}$, where $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^s I_i$ (throughout, $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of the set A).

In this paper we shall establish necessary and sufficient conditions for an arbitrary set $R \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$ of prescribed cardinality to be (m_1, \dots, m_s) -dense. Note that when $s = 1$ and $q_1 = 2$ this problem is equivalent to a problem suggested by Erdős in the language of systems of subsets of a certain set and solved in [2]

and also in [3]. (In [2] the maximum m for which $R \subseteq E_2^n$ is m -dense is called the "density" of R .)

We shall present a solution of the problem for arbitrary s and q_1, \dots, q_s . It should also be noted that for the case $s = 1$, when E_q^n is linear n -space over $GF(q)$, a necessary and sufficient condition was proved in [1] for an arbitrary set $R \subseteq E_q^n$ of given cardinality to contain a subspace E_q^m ($1 \leq m \leq n$) (such that $|E_q^m| = q^m$).

2.

To clarify the exposition, we first consider the case $s = 1$. Thus, let $E_q^n = \{x : x = (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}), x^{(r)} \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}, r = 1, \dots, n\}$, $R \subseteq E_q^n$, $1 \leq m \leq n$. Our aim is to find necessary and sufficient conditions for an arbitrary set $R \subseteq E_q^n$ of given cardinality $|R|$ to be m -dense.

We shall say that M has property $A_q(n, m)$, writing $M \in A_q(n, m)$, iff for any $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|I| = m$ there exists $x \in P^{(I)}(M)$ such that, for any $y \in E_q^n$, if $P^{(I)}(y) = x$, then $y \in M$.

Lemma 2.1. A set $R \subseteq E_q^n$ is not m -dense iff

$$R^c = E_q^n - R \in A_q(n, m) \quad (1 \leq m \leq n).$$

Proof. A set $R \subseteq E_q^n$ is not m -dense iff for any $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|I| = m$ there exists $x \in P^{(I)}(\prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i})$ such that $P^{(I)}(y) \neq x$ for all $y \in R$. It follows that if $P^{(I)}(y) = x$ for some $y \in E_q^n$, then $y \in R^c$ and so $R^c \in A_q(n, m)$.

Now let $H_q(n, m)$ denote any minimal subset of E_q^n such that $H_q(n, m) \in A_q(n, m)$ and put $h_q(n, m) = |H_q(n, m)|$.

Lemma 2.2. For any n and $1 \leq m \leq n$,

$$h_q(n, m+1) \geq \sum_{r=1}^{n-m} (q-1)^{r-1} h_q(n-r, m). \quad (1)$$

Proof. We fix $H_q(n, m+1)$ and construct a set $D^{(r)} \subseteq E_q^{n-r}$ ($r = 1, \dots, n-m$) as follows: $x \in D^{(r)}$ iff there exists $\psi^{(r)}(x) \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$ such that for any $y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(r-1)} \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$,

$$(y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(r-1)}, \psi^{(r)}(x), x) \in H_q(n, m+1). \quad (2)$$

(Given x , there may be several $\psi^{(r)}(x)$ satisfying (2). In that case we fix one of them arbitrarily.)

We claim that $D^{(r)} \in A_q(n-r, m)$. Indeed, let us fix r, i_1, \dots, i_m ($r < i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n$) arbitrarily. Since $H_q(n, m+1) \in A_q(n, m+1)$, there exist

$$x^{(r)}, x^{(i_1)}, \dots, x^{(i_m)} \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$$

such that, for any $y = (y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(n)}) \in E_q^n$, if

$$y^{(r)} = x^{(r)}, y^{(i_1)} = x^{(i_1)}, \dots, y^{(i_m)} = x^{(i_m)},$$

then $y \in H_q(n, m+1)$. Consequently, by the definition of $D^{(r)}$, we have for any $z = (z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(n-r)})$ with $z^{(i_t)} = x^{(i_t)}$ ($t = 1, \dots, m$) that $z \in D^{(r)}$. Since $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m$ were chosen arbitrarily, we obtain $D^{(r)} \in A_q(n-r, m)$ and

$$|D^{(r)}| \geq h_q(n-r, m). \tag{3}$$

Now let $T^{(r)}$ ($r = 1, \dots, n-m$) denote the set of all vectors $(y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(r-1)}, \psi^{(r)}(x), x)$, where $x \in D^{(r)}$, and in addition

$$y^{(r-1)} \neq \psi^{(r-1)}(\psi^{(r)}(x), x), \tag{4a}$$

if $(\psi^{(r)}(x), x) \in D^{(r-1)}$, and

$$y^{(i)} \neq \psi^{(i)}(y^{(i+1)}, \dots, y^{(r-1)}, \psi^{(r)}(x), x) \quad (i = r-2, \dots, 1) \tag{4b}$$

if $(y^{(i+1)}, \dots, y^{(r-1)}, \psi^{(r)}(x), x) \in D^{(i)}$. Then, by the definition of $T^{(r)}$,

$$T^{(i)} \cap T^{(j)} = \emptyset \tag{5}$$

(where \emptyset is the empty set), and since $T^{(r)} \subseteq H_q(n, m+1)$ ($r = 1, \dots, n-m$),

$$\bigcup_{r=1}^{n-m} T^{(r)} \subseteq H_q(n, m+1). \tag{6}$$

By the definition of $T^{(r)}$ and $D^{(r)}$, it follows from (3), (4a and b) that

$$|T^{(r)}| \geq |D^{(r)}|(q-1)^{r-1} \geq (q-1)^{r-1} h_q(n-r, m). \tag{7}$$

In combination with (5) and (6), this inequality yields (1) and proves Lemma 2.2.

As will follow from Theorem 2.4(i) below, equality holds in (1) for any n and $1 \leq m \leq n$.

We shall need the following numerical identity:

Lemma 2.3. For any n and $2 \leq m < n$,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n-m+1} \sum_{t=0}^{m-2} (q-1)^{n-t-1} \binom{n-r}{t} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (q-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} - q^{m-1} (q-1)^{n-m+1}. \tag{8}$$

Proof. Setting $i = n-r, j = t+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^{n-m+1} \sum_{t=0}^{m-2} (q-1)^{n-t-1} \binom{n-r}{t} &= \sum_{t=0}^{m-2} (q-1)^{n-t-1} \sum_{i=m-1}^{n-1} \binom{i}{t} \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{m-2} (q-1)^{n-t-1} \left(\sum_{i=t}^{n-1} \binom{i}{t} - \sum_{i=t}^{m-2} \binom{i}{t} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (q-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} - (q-1)^{n-m+1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (q-1)^{m-j-1} \binom{m-1}{j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (q-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} - q^{m-1} (q-1)^{n-m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.4. (i) For any t such that

$$t \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (q-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} \quad (9)$$

there exists $R \subseteq E_q^n$ such that $|R| = t$ and R is not m -dense.

(ii) If $R \subseteq E_q^n$ and

$$|R| > \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (q-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j}, \quad (10)$$

then R is m -dense.

Proof. (i) We construct R as follows. Let $S_q(n, n-m)$ be the sphere in E_q^n of radius $n-m$ in the Hamming metric, i.e., the set of q -ary n -vectors with at most $n-m$ nonzero components. Then for any $y \in S_q^c(n, n-m) = E_q^n - S_q(n, n-m)$ the number of zero components in y is at most $m-1$, and so $S_q^c(n, n-m)$ is not m -dense. Since

$$|S_q^c(n, n-m)| = q^n - \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} (q-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j}$$

it follows that for any t satisfying (9) there exists $R \subseteq S_q^c(n, n-m)$ such that $|R| = t$ and R is not m -dense.

(ii) Suppose that (10) is true but R is not m -dense. Then by Lemma 2.1, $R^c \in A_q(n, m)$ and by Lemma 2.2

$$|R^c| \geq h_q(n, m) \geq \sum_{r=1}^{n-m+1} (q-1)^{r-1} h_q(n-r, m-1). \quad (11)$$

We now show by induction on m that (11) implies

$$h_q(n, m) \geq q^n - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (q-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j}. \quad (12)$$

Since $|R^c| \geq h_q(n, m)$, this will contradict (10), implying that R must be m -dense.

Indeed, for $m=1$, we have $h_q(n-r, 0) = q^{n-r}$ and from (11) we get

$$h_q(n, 1) \geq \sum_{r=1}^n (q-1)^{r-1} q^{n-r} = q^n - (q-1)^n.$$

Thus (12) holds for $m=1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let (12) holds for $m-1$ ($m \geq 2$) and all

$n \geq m$. Then by (11), (12), using Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_q(n, m) &\geq \sum_{r=1}^{n-m+1} \left(q^{n-r} (q-1)^{r-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} (q-1)^{n-i-1} \binom{n-r}{j} \right) \\ &= q^n - q^{m-1} (q-1)^{n-m+1} - \sum_{r=1}^{n-m+1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-2} (q-1)^{n-j-1} \binom{n-r}{j} \\ &= q^n - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (q-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{j}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (12) and completes the proof of part (ii).

Theorem 2.4 yields the following exact formula for the function $h_q(n, m)$:

$$h_q(n, m) = q^n - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (q-1)^{n-i} \binom{n}{j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} (q-1)^j \binom{n}{j}. \tag{13}$$

Remark 2.5. It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.4 that $S_q(n, n-m)$ (the sphere of radius $n-m$ in the Hamming metric) is a minimal set with property $A_q(n, m)$, and $S_q^c(n, m) \subseteq E_q^n$ is a maximal set such that $S_q^c(n, m)$ is not m -dense. However, $S_q(n, m)$ is not the only set with these extremal properties. For example, for $q=2, m=1$, the set $\{(0, \dots, 0), (0, \dots, 0, 1), (0, \dots, 0, 1, 1), \dots, (1, \dots, 1)\}$ has the same properties.

Theorem 2.6. Let R be the set of infinite-dimensional q -ary vectors. Then, either R is an m -dense set for any m or there exists M such that for any $m > M$ and any $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ ($i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m$)

$$|P^{(I)}(R)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^M (q-1)^{m-i} \binom{m}{j}. \tag{14}$$

Proof. If there exists m such that R is not m -dense, let M denote the largest m such that R is m -dense. Then if

$$|P^{(I)}(R)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^M (q-1)^{m-i} \binom{m}{j}$$

it follows from Theorem 2.4 that $P^{(I)}(R)$ is $(M+1)$ -dense, so that R is $(M+1)$ -dense, contradicting the choice of M .

3.

We now consider the case $s > 1$. In this section we shall establish necessary and sufficient conditions for an arbitrary $R \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$ of given cardinality to be (m_1, \dots, m_s) -dense ($1 \leq m_i \leq n_i, i = 1, \dots, s$).

As before, let

$$J_i = \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} n_t + 1, \dots, \sum_{t=0}^i n_t \right\} \quad (n_0 = 0, n_1 \geq 1, \dots, n_s \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, s).$$

Let $M \subseteq E$. We shall write $M \in A_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s)$ iff, for any I_1, \dots, I_s such that $I_i \subseteq J_i$ and $|I_i| = m_i (i = 1, \dots, s)$, there exists $x \in P^{(I)}(M) (I = \bigcup_{i=1}^s I_i)$ such that for any $y \in \prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$, if $P^{(I)}(y) = x$, then $y \in M$.

Let $H_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s)$ denote any minimal subset of $\prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$ such that

$$H_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s) \in A_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s),$$

and put

$$h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s) = |H_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s)|.$$

Lemma 3.1. For any $q_i, n_i, 1 < m_i \leq n_i (i = 1, \dots, s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1 + 1, m_2, \dots, m_s) \\ \geq \sum_{r=1}^{n_1 - m_1} (q_1 - 1)^{r-1} h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1 - r, n_2, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Proof. We fix $H_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1 + 1, m_2, \dots, m_s)$ and construct

$$D^{(r)} \subseteq E_{q_1}^{n_1 - r} \times \prod_{i=2}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i} \quad (r = 1, \dots, n_1 - m_1)$$

as follows: $x \in D^{(r)}$ iff there exists $\psi^{(r)}(x) \in \{0, \dots, q_1 - 1\}$ such that for any $y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(r-1)} \in \{0, \dots, q_1 - 1\}$

$$(y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(r-1)}; \psi^{(r)}(x), x) \in H_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1 + 1, \dots, m_s).$$

Then, as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we can easily see that $D^{(r)} \in A_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1 - r, n_2, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s)$. Therefore,

$$|D^{(r)}| \geq h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1 - r, n_2, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s).$$

Proceeding by analogy with (4), we introduce sets $T^{(r)} (r = 1, \dots, n_1 - m_1)$

$$\left(T^{(i)} \cap T^{(j)} = \emptyset, \bigcup_{r=1}^{n_1 - m_1} T^{(r)} \subseteq H_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1 + 1, m_2, \dots, m_s) \right).$$

Then

$$|T^{(r)}| \geq |D^{(r)}| (q_1 - 1)^{r-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1 + 1, m_2, \dots, m_s) &\geq \sum_{r=1}^{n_1 - m_1} (q_1 - 1)^{r-1} |D^{(r)}| \\ &\geq \sum_{r=1}^{n_1 - m_1} (q_1 - 1)^{r-1} h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1 - r, n_2, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2. (i) For any t such that

$$t \leq \prod_{i=1}^s q_i^{n_i} - \prod_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i - m_i} (q_i - 1)^j \binom{n_i}{j} \tag{16}$$

there exists $R \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$ such that $|R| = t$ and R is not (m_1, \dots, m_s) -dense ($1 \leq m_i \leq n_i; i = 1, \dots, s$).

(ii) If $R \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i}$ and

$$|R| > \prod_{i=1}^s q_i^{n_i} - \prod_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i - m_i} (q_i - 1)^j \binom{n_i}{j}, \tag{17}$$

then R is (m_1, \dots, m_s) -dense.

Proof. (i) Let $S_{q_i}(n_i, n_i - m_i)$ be the sphere of radius $n_i - m_i$ in $E_{q_i}^{n_i}$ in the Hamming metric and $S_q(n, n - m) = \prod_{i=1}^s S_{q_i}(n_i, n_i - m_i)$. Then if

$$S_q^c(n, n - m) = \prod_{i=1}^s E_{q_i}^{n_i} - \prod_{i=1}^s S_{q_i}(n_i, n_i - m_i)$$

we see, as in the proof of part (i) of Theorem 2.4, that $S_q^c(n, n - m)$ is not (m_1, \dots, m_s) -dense. But

$$|S_q^c(n, n - m)| = \prod_{i=1}^s q_i^{n_i} - \prod_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i - m_i} (q_i - 1)^j \binom{n_i}{j}.$$

Therefore, for any t satisfying (16), there exists $R \subseteq S_q^c(n, n - m)$ such that $|R| = t$ and R is not (m_1, \dots, m_s) -dense.

(ii) Suppose that R is not (m_1, \dots, m_s) -dense. Then by the definition of $A_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s)$, we see as in Lemma 2.1, that $R^c \in A_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s)$ and by Lemma 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned} |R^c| &\geq h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s) \\ &\geq \sum_{r=1}^{n_1 - m_1 + 1} (q_1 - 1)^{r-1} h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1 - r, n_2, \dots, n_s; m_1 - 1, m_2, \dots, m_s). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

We now show that (18) implies

$$h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s) \geq \prod_{i=1}^s h_{q_i}(n_i, m_i). \tag{19}$$

In view of (13), it will follow from (19) that

$$h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s) \geq \prod_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i - m_i} (q_i - 1)^j \binom{n_i}{j}. \tag{20}$$

Since $|R^c| \geq h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s)$, inequality (20) contradicts (17) and so R must be (m_1, \dots, m_s) -dense.

It remains only to prove inequality (19). We first observe that

$$h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; 0, \dots, 0) = \prod_{i=1}^s q_i^{n_i} = \prod_{i=1}^s h_{q_i}(n_i, 0). \quad (21)$$

Thus (19) is true for all n_1, \dots, n_s when $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_s = 0$.

Suppose now that (19) is true for all n_1, \dots, n_s and some $m_1 - 1, m_2, \dots, m_s$ ($m_1 \geq 1$). We claim that then (19) is true for all n_1, \dots, n_s and m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s . It follows from Theorem 2.4 that

$$h_{q_i}(n_i, m_i) = \sum_{r=1}^{n_i - m_i + 1} (q_i - 1)^{r-1} h_{q_i}(n_i - r, m_i - 1). \quad (22)$$

Then, by (18), (19) and (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s, m_1, \dots, m_s) \\ & \geq \sum_{r=1}^{n_1 - m_1 + 1} (q_1 - 1)^{r-1} h_{q_1}(n_1 - r, m_1 - 1) \prod_{i=2}^s h_{q_i}(n_i, m_i) = \prod_{i=1}^s h_{q_i}(n_i, m_i). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We have thus proved (19) for arbitrary m_1 when $m_2 = \dots = m_s = 0$. But it follows from the definition of $h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s) \\ & = h_{q_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, q_{\sigma(s)}}(n_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, n_{\sigma(s)}; m_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, m_{\sigma(s)}) \end{aligned}$$

where $(\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(s))$ is an arbitrary permutation of $1, \dots, s$. Therefore, interchanging the indices 1 and 2 and using (18), (22), we prove (19) for arbitrary m_1, m_2 when $m_3 = \dots = m_s = 0$, and so on. This completes the proof of part (ii) and of Theorem 3.2.

We note that Theorem 3.2 implies the following formula for $h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{q_1, \dots, q_s}(n_1, \dots, n_s; m_1, \dots, m_s) \\ & = |S_q(n, n - m)| = \prod_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=0}^{n_i - m_i} (q_i - 1)^j \binom{n_i}{j}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

References

- [1] M.G. Karpovsky and V.D. Milman, On subspaces contained in subsets of finite homogeneous spaces, *Discrete Math.* 22 (1978) 273–281.
- [2] N. Sauer, On the density of families of sets, *J. Combinatorial Theory* (13) (1972) 145–147.
- [3] S. Shelah, A combinatorial problem; Stability and order for models and theories in infinitary languages, *Pacific J. Math.* 41 (1) (1972).