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## Statistics: no longer just for statisticians

Use the tools and methods of statistics is no longer restricted to statisticians. A number of factors have enabled this transformation.

- More emphasis on statistics in secondary education
- Rise in computer literacy
- Exponential increases in computing power
- User-friendly software for conducting data analyses
- Ubiquity of data
- Empirical applications vs. theory
- More emphasis on simulation and less on math


## Then: Sir Francis Galton



## Now: Hadley Wickham



Now: Increasing gender diversity
Local

## Women flocking to statistics, the newly hot, high-tech field of data science
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Erin Blankeship, left, statistics professor at University of Nebraska- Lincoln, and Aimee Schwab, graduate teaching assistant and PhD student in statistics, in a classroom at Hardin Hall. Statistics is leading all other STEM fields in in attracting, retaining and promoting women. (Jake Crandall/For The Washington Post)
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## Today

- Confidence Intervals
- Statistical Tests
- Correlation
- Linear Regression


## Type of outcome measure ${ }^{1}$

- Proportions (e.g. proportion of vaccinated subjects who develop a protective level of antibodies)
- Rates (e.g. incidence rate of relapse following treatment)
- Means (e.g. mean packed cell volume (PCV) at the end of malaria season)


## Sampling error

- Uncertainty arises when your data consist of a sample rather than say a census of the entire population
- This uncertainty is known as sampling error
- Differs from non-sampling errors (e.g. scale for weighing patients is biased)


## Precision

- Statistical inference allows us to draw conclusions about the true value of the outcome measure based on sample
- The observed value of the outcome measure generally gives the best estimate of the true value
- It is also useful to have an indication of precision of this estimate
- This is done by calculating a confidence interval


## Confidence intervals

- The Cl is a range of plausible values for the true value of the outcome measure.
- Usually use a $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$
- Calculated so that there is a $95 \%$ probability that the Cl includes the true value of the outcome measure


## Confidence intervals

- Suppose true value of the outcome measure is $\sigma$ and it is estimated from the sample data as $\hat{\sigma}$
- The $95 \%$ Cls will be of the form $\hat{\sigma} \pm 1.96 * S E(\hat{\sigma})$
- Here $S E(\hat{\sigma})$ denotes the standard error of the estimate
- Measure of the amount of sampling error
- The larger the sample size the smaller the standard error and thus the narrower the Cl


## The normal distribution

- The value 1.96 for calculating the $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ is derived from the normal distribution
- In this distribution, $95 \%$ of values are expected to fall within 1.96 SD of the mean
- For a $90 \% \mathrm{Cl}$, the multiplying factor is 1.64


## Statistical tests

- Used to test a specific hypothesis about an outcome measure
- The null hypothesis is often that there is true difference between the outcomes in the groups under comparison
- Did the observed difference arise just by chance, due to sampling error?


## Statistical tests

- Sample data are used to calculate a test statistic, which gives a measure of the difference between groups
- Once calculated, its value is used to determine the $p$-value or statistical significance of the results
- The p-value measures the probability of obtaining a value for the statistic as extreme as the one actually observed if the null hypothesis were true
- So a very low p-value indicates that the null hypothesis is likely to be false


## Example: Malaria Vaccine Trial

- Efficacy of vaccine was found to be $20 \%$, with an associated p-value of 0.03
- This means that under the null hypothesis (vaccine had a true efficacy of zero), there would be only a $3 \%$ chance of obtaining and observed efficacy of $20 \%$ or greater


## p-values

- Smaller the p-value the less plausible the null hypothesis
- A p-value of 0.001 implies that the null hypothesis is highly implausible
- In this case we have very strong evidence of a real difference between groups
- However, a p-value of 0.20 implies that a difference of the observed magnitude could have occurred by chance, even if there were no real difference between the groups


## p-values

- p-values of 0.05 and below are typically considered reasonable evidence against the null hypothesis
- Results below this threshold are referred to as indicating a statistically significant difference
- Always preferable to report actual p-values (as opposed to stars)


## p-values

- A small p-value is evidence for a real difference between groups
- BUT, a larger non-significant p-value does not indicate no difference
- Rather, it indicates that there is insufficient evidence to reject the null hypothesis
- It is never possible to prove the null hypothesis


## Confidence intervals vs. statistical tests

- Statistical tests aren't everything
- Usually more important to estimate the difference and to specify a Cl around it
- This provides an indication of the plausible range of differences
- This may include a zero difference


## Confidence interval for a single proportion

Use analysis of proportions when the outcome is binary variable and a proportion can be calculated across individuals in the sample. The standard error of a proportion p , calculated from a sample of n subjects is estimated as

$$
S E(p)=\sqrt{\left[\frac{p(1-p)}{n}\right]}
$$

The $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ for a proportion is then given by $p \pm 1.96 * S E(p)$

## Difference between two proportions

Now take a proportion that you would like to compare across two groups of individuals. The standard error of the difference between two proportions $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ based on $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ observations, is estimated as,

$$
S E\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\left[\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})\left[\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}\right]\right]}
$$

where $\bar{p}=\frac{\left(n_{1} p_{1}+n_{2} p_{2}\right)}{n_{1}+n_{2}}$. The $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ for the idfference between proportions is given by $\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right) \pm 1.96 * S E$.

## Difference between two proportions

To test the null hypothesis that there is no true difference between the two proportions, consider the following $2 \times 2$ table.

Table 21.2 Comparison of two proportions

| Group | Outcome |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Yes | No |  | Proportion with outcome |
|  | $a(90)$ | $b(210)$ | $n_{1}(300)$ | $p_{1}=a / n_{1}(0.30)$ |
| 2 | $c(135)$ | $d(165)$ | $n_{2}(300)$ | $p_{2}=c / n_{2}(0.45)$ |
| Total | $m_{1}(225)$ | $m_{2}(375)$ | $N(600)$ |  |

## Hypothesis test for diff in two proportions

In the table, a is the number in group 1 who experiences the outcome. The expected value of $a, E(a)$, and the variance of $a$, $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{a})$, are calculated under the hypothesis of no difference between the two groups:

$$
\begin{gathered}
E(a)=\frac{m_{1} n_{1}}{N} \\
V(a)=\frac{n_{1} n_{2} m_{1} m_{2}}{N^{2}(N-1)}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Hypothesis test for diff in two proportions

The chi-squared $\chi^{2}$ can then be calculated. This measures how much the observed data differ from those expected if the two proportions were truly equal.

$$
\chi^{2}=\frac{(|a-E(a)|-0.5)^{2}}{V(a)}
$$

## Hypothesis test for diff in two proportions

- The $\chi^{2}$ value is compared to a table of the chi-squared distribution with one degree of freedom (df).
- If it exceeds 3.84 , then $\mathrm{p}<0.05$, indicating some evidence of a real difference in the proportions.
- If any of the quantities ( $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{a}), \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{b})$, etc.) are less than 5.0 and N is less than 40, an alternative test should be used: 'Fisher's exact test'.


## Confidence interval for a mean

- For a mean $\bar{x}$ of a sample of $n$ observations, the standard error of the mean is given by $\bar{x}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$
- Here $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the variable measured.
- The $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ on the mean is given by $\bar{x} \pm 1.96\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$


## Confidence interval for a mean

- The standard deviation in the population is not known and thus must be estimated based on the sample data
- The estimate of $\sigma$ is also subject to sampling error and this must be taken into account
- This is done using a multiplying factor from in the Cl taken from the t-distribution, rather than using the Normal distribution.


## Confidence interval for a mean

- The value of the factor will depend on the size of the sample
- The value will also depend on degrees of freedom (here, $\mathrm{n}-1$ )
- If the sample size is 30 or more, little error is introduced by using 1.96
- The $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ on the mean is given by $\bar{x} \pm t\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$


## Difference between two means

Let's say we want to compare two groups with means $\overline{x_{1}}$ and $\overline{x_{2}}$ with corresponding standard deviations $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$. The standard error of the difference between the means is given by

$$
s=\sqrt{\frac{\left(n_{1}-1\right) s_{1}^{2}+\left(n_{2}-1\right) s_{2}^{2}}{n_{1}+n_{2}-2}}
$$

## Difference between two means

The $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ for the difference between the means is given by:

$$
\left(\overline{x_{1}}-\overline{x_{2}}\right) \pm t s \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}}
$$

Here t is taken from a table of the t -distribution with $\left(n_{1}+n_{2}-2\right)$ df.

## Test statistic

- To test the null hypothesis that there is no true difference in the means between the two groups, a t-test can be performed.
- A test statistic is calculated to assess the probability of the observed result (or a result even more extreme) if there really is no difference between the two groups.
- The difference in means divided by the standard error of the difference gives the value of a test statistic that can be looked up in tables of t-distribution with df as stated above.
- Easy to do in R!

