

Contrasting impacts of heat stress on violent and nonviolent robbery in Beijing, China

Xiaofeng Hu¹ · Peng Chen¹ · Hong Huang² · Ting Sun³ ·
Dan Li⁴

Received: 2 December 2016 / Accepted: 10 March 2017
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2017

Abstract Previous studies investigating the relation between heat stress and crime incidents often focus on violent crimes. In this study, the impacts of heat stress on two types of robbery (violent and nonviolent) in China are compared using crime statistics collected in Beijing and heat stress indices that consider the combined effects of temperature and humidity. The results indicate that the abrupt change in the trend of robbery rates is affected by the 2008 Beijing Olympic Games. The nonviolent robbery rates have a more pronounced seasonality and are better correlated with heat stress at daily scales, especially during the period from 2009 to 2014 when no trend exists. The results also demonstrate that both violent and nonviolent robbery rates significantly increase with heat stress in spring. The nonviolent robbery rates also significantly increase with heat stress in summer. The influence of heat stress on violent robbery rate is more complicated and nonlinear.

Keywords Violent · Robbery · Temperature · Relative humidity · Heat stress

1 Introduction

The impact of heat stress on human conflicts has been widely acknowledged. Many studies argued that higher temperatures increased the risks of interpersonal violence and inter-group conflict (Barnett and Adger 2007; Bernauer et al. 2012; Burke et al. 2009; Field 1992; Gamble and Hess 2012; Hsiang et al. 2011, 2013; Mares 2013; O’Loughlin et al.

✉ Xiaofeng Hu
xiaofenghu1986@gmail.com

¹ School of Information Technology, People’s Public Security University of China, Beijing, China

² Institute of Public Safety Research, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

³ Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

⁴ Department of Earth and Environment, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA

2012; Scheffran et al. 2012; Tol and Wagner 2010; Zhang et al. 2011). In addition to human conflicts, studies also demonstrated a strong impact of heat stress on common crimes (Brunsdon et al. 2009; Chen et al. 2011; Horrocks and Menclova 2011; Ranson 2014).

Most previous studies (Field 1992; Horrocks and Menclova 2011; Jacob et al. 2006; Mares 2013; Ranson 2014) suggested that temperature had a significantly positive effect on violent crimes. For example, Jacob et al. (2006) pointed out that a 10 °F increase in average weekly temperature was associated with a 5% increase in violent crime. Mares (2013) showed an average 1% monthly increase in violent crimes for each degree increase in anomalous temperature. However, there seems no consensus regarding the impact of heat stress on property crimes. Field (1992) indicated that burglary, theft and robbery were all positively and significantly correlated with temperature, which was also supported by Chen et al. (2011). However, Cohn and Rotton (2000) showed that theft was negatively correlated with temperature while both burglary and robbery were positively correlated with temperature. Some studies suggested that property crimes may be affected by heat stress in more complicated ways. For example, Ranson (2014) demonstrated that the relationship between temperature and property crimes (such as burglary and larceny) was highly nonlinear.

More importantly, few studies directly compared impacts of heat stress on violent and nonviolent crimes, which motivates our study. In this study, we aim to investigate the contrasting impacts of heat stress on violent and nonviolent crimes in China using robbery as an example. Previous studies (Horrocks and Menclova 2011; Ranson 2014) have pointed out that robbery was affected by heat stress factors (e.g., temperature), but those studies did not separate violent and nonviolent robbery. The reason that robbery is selected is because China separates violent robbery from nonviolent robbery, which is not always the case in western countries, thereby providing a good test bed for studying the contrasting impacts of heat stress on violent and nonviolent crimes. The violent robbery refers to crimes to obtain properties by violence (Chen et al. 2011). The nonviolent robbery is to obtain properties when someone is unaware first but instantly becomes aware of the conduct. This is different from stealing in the sense that stealing is conducted secretly throughout the conduct. As an example, if someone steals a necklace from a lady when the lady is unaware throughout the whole incident, it is stealing; if someone takes the necklace away from the lady's neck when she is unaware, but at the instant the lady realizes that someone is taking her necklace away but could not stop it, this is nonviolent robbery; if someone obtains the necklace from the lady by violence, it is a violent robbery. Will both types of robbery be affected by heat stress in the same way? If not, what is the difference and why does the difference occur? These questions frame the scope of our study.

2 Data

The analysis is based on a 10-year (from 2005 to 2014) data set of daily robbery numbers provided by Beijing Municipal Public Safety Bureau. Beijing is the capital of China, which has a monsoon-influenced, humid continental climate. More than 21.1 million people live in Beijing now. Between 2005 and 2014, more than 40 thousands robbery incidents were reported in Beijing.

To examine and compare the relation between the two types of robbery and heat stress in Beijing, four heat stress indices that combine temperature and relative humidity are used

in this paper, including the discomfort index (DI), the simplified wet-bulb globe temperature (sWBGT), the Humidex (HUMIDEX) and the temperature–humidity index for comfort (THIC), as described in Table 2 of Appendix. Only the results with DI are discussed here since results with other heat stress indices are very similar (e.g., the results with sWBGT are shown in Appendix). The DI was developed as a calibration index for air conditioners (Thom 1959), which is defined based on the air temperature and the wet-bulb temperature:

$$DI = 0.5T_w + 0.5T_c \quad (1)$$

where T_c is the air temperature (°C) and T_w is the wet-bulb temperature (°C) whose definition is given in Appendix. The risk levels associated different heat stress values (Buzan et al. 2015) are shown in Table 3.

The heat stress indices are calculated based on the climate data downloaded from the Web site of rp5 (<http://rp5.ru/>), where the weather information comes from server of international data exchange, NOAA, the US and the automated data transfer system (ADT) of Roshydromet. We select the daily weather data covering the same period as the crime data. The robbery rate is defined as the robbery incidents per 10 million (10^7) people. Here we use “robbery rate” instead of “the number of robbery incidents” based on the assumption that a larger population lead to more crime incidents even under the same climatic conditions, thus normalizing the robbery incidents by the total population of Beijing avoids, to some extent, the influence of population increase in Beijing over the study period. To examine the relationship between the robbery rate and heat stress, we further divide the robbery rate (daily incidents/ 10^7 people) into 11 bins (i.e., 0–1, 1–2, ..., 9–10 and >10) and then calculate the average heat stress in each bin. Then we use simple linear regression analysis to evaluate the relations between the two types of robbery and heat stress.

Motivated by previous studies (Hsiang et al. 2013; Mehluma et al. 2006; Miguel 2005), we also examine the relation between rainfall amounts and crime rates. However, we do not find clear relations between rainfall and the two types of robbery in Beijing. Since the focus of our study is on heat stress, the results about rainfall are not presented.

3 Results and discussions

3.1 Long-term trends

To investigate the influence of heat stress on robbery, which occurs at daily scales and is influenced by weather variability, we need to first understand the long-term trends in robbery rates and their variations at longer, climate scales. Figure 1 shows the temporal variations of temperature (°C), relative humidity (%), violent and nonviolent robbery rates (daily incidents/ 10^7 people) from 2005 to 2014 in Beijing. Here we aggregate all the data to monthly scales. It is clear that temperature and relative humidity (RH) have distinct seasonal cycles, but there is no trend in temperature or RH or their diurnal ranges. The story about robbery rates is the opposite. Although seasonal variations seem to exist, they are not as strong as those of temperature or RH. But it is evident that before the summer of 2008, decreasing trends are associated with both violent and nonviolent robbery rates, while after 2008, these decreasing trends disappear. From 2005 to 2014, the gross domestic production (GDP) and population of Beijing increase continuously; thus, neither of these




Fig. 1 Temporal variations of temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), relative humidity (%), violent and nonviolent robbery rates (daily incidents/ 10^7 people day) from 2005 to 2014 in Beijing. The *green color* represents spring, the *red color* represents summer, the *blue color* represents autumn and the *black color* represents winter

two factors seems to be able to explain why the summer of 2008 is a turning point. The most likely reason is the 2008 Summer Beijing Olympic Games. After winning the bid to host the 2008 Summer Olympics in 2001, the Beijing government initiated anti-crime campaigns and increased law enforcement forces, and as a result, the crime incidents number decreased gradually. It is clear that both violent and nonviolent robbery rates were very low in the summer of 2008 and remained at a low level from 2008 to 2014. From Fig. 1, it can be concluded that the long-term trends of crime rates in Beijing are dominated by socioeconomic reforms and changes that occur at longer time scales.

Figure 2 shows the distribution of violent and nonviolent robbery rates (daily incidents/ 10^7 people) in different seasons from 2005 to 2014. As can be seen, the violent robbery rates in different seasons are very close across seasons (except in 2005 and 2008), and the average rates of the whole period are also similar in different seasons (with a slightly higher number in spring). The nonviolent robbery rate is in general the highest in summer and lowest in winter, which seems to suggest that nonviolent robbery has stronger seasonal variations than violent robbery. Close inspection of Fig. 1 reveals that this is indeed the case. It is also clear that both violent and nonviolent robbery rates in the summer of 2008 are much lower than their counterparts in other seasons of 2008 and other years. This is again because of the Beijing Olympic Games, which encouraged the Chinese government to improve the security level.

From the above analysis, it can be seen that the two types of robbery are both significantly affected by the Beijing Olympic Games, and nonviolent robbery shows a more




Fig. 2 Distribution of violent and nonviolent robbery rates (daily incidents/ 10^7 people) in different seasons from 2005 to 2014 in Beijing. The green bar represents spring, the red bar represents summer, the blue bar represents autumn and the yellow bar represents winter. “Average” means the average robbery rate of the whole period from 2005 to 2014

pronounced seasonality than violent robbery. Given these findings, we divide the data set into two periods: from 2005 to 2008 and from 2009 to 2014. For the first period, linear trends exist as described by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} R &= -0.0048t + 9.55, \quad \text{for violent robbery with } R^2 = 0.41* \\ R &= -0.0048t + 7.41, \quad \text{for non-violent robbery with } R^2 = 0.45* \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where t represents the t th day, R is the crime rate and $*$ donates a significance level lower than 0.05. For the second period (from 2009 to 2014), no significant trend is detected. The linear trends again suggest that crime rates are strongly affected by socioeconomic factors (beyond the total population) such as government regulations and increases in security level. More importantly, these trends suggest that socioeconomic factors affect crime rates at long-term scales (e.g., larger than seasonal scales), as compared to heat stress affecting human discomfort at daily scales. To avoid the influence of these trends, which we assume were induced by changes in socioeconomic factors, we remove the linear trends in both violent and nonviolent robbery rates when we investigate the relation between robbery rates and heat stress index (HSI) at daily scales. We acknowledge that this treatment is a simplification and further investigations with more data are recommended. However, this is a logical starting point given the lack of appropriate data sets to constrain the influence of socioeconomic factors.

3.2 The influence of heat stress at daily scales

In the following, we investigate the relation between robbery rates and heat stress index (HSI) at daily scales. To do so, we separate the results in different seasons. This is because the relations between heat stress and robbery can be induced by correlations with both daily (meteorological) variations and seasonal (climate) variations. For example, students have long summer/winter vacation that increases the opportunity of interpersonal contact in cities such as Beijing where the student population is large; people also tend to wear light cloths during summer, which could expose their valuable belongings and lead to robbery. For these reasons, crime incidence would be higher during summer. Our data indeed indicate that the relation between heat stress and crime rates is very strong when

different seasons are not separated (not shown). This is, however, not related to how hot the summer is. To examine the influence of heat stress, it would be necessary to show that hot days in summer have higher incidence than cool days in summer, or that heat stress indices are related to crime rates within each season. Thus, in this study the linear regression is conducted separately for four seasons.

Figure 3 shows the relation between violent robbery rates and DI values in different seasons before 2009. As shown in Fig. 3 (also shown in Figs. 4, 5, 6), most DI values in Beijing are in the risk level of “normal” in spring, autumn and winter, while all DI values are in the risk level of “<50% in discomfort,” “>50% in discomfort” or “most in discomfort” in summer. The violent robbery rate increases with DI value in spring and winter, but decreases with DI value in summer and autumn. Simple regression analysis is also conducted to quantify the relation between violent robbery and DI, and the results are shown in Table 1 (also shown in Fig. 3). The R^2 values are larger than 0.4 and significant in spring and autumn, but are lower than 0.3 and not significant in summer and winter.

Figure 4 shows the relation between nonviolent robbery rates and DI values in different seasons before 2009. From this figure, we can see that the nonviolent robbery rate increases with DI value in spring and summer, but decreases with DI value in autumn and winter. Simple regression analysis shows that relation between nonviolent robbery and DI is significant, and the R^2 value is larger than 0.7 in spring and is 0.4 in summer. However, the R^2 values in autumn and winter are lower than 0.2 and not significant.




Fig. 3 Relation between violent robbery rates and DI values in Beijing before 2009. The red lines are fitted linear relations




Fig. 4 Relation between nonviolent robbery rates and DI values in Beijing before 2009. The *red lines* are fitted linear relations

Figure 5 shows the relation between violent robbery rates and DI values in different seasons after 2009. The violent robbery rate increases significantly with DI only in spring. In winter, although a decreasing trend is observed and the R^2 value is larger than 0.4, the relation is not significant, which is also the case in summer and autumn.

Figure 6 shows the relation between nonviolent robbery rates and DI values in Beijing after 2009. It indicates that the nonviolent robbery rates increase with DI values in all four seasons. Simple regression analysis shows that all R^2 values in the four seasons are larger than 0.6, and for spring and summer, the R^2 values are even larger than or close to 0.9 ($p < 0.05$), suggesting that nonviolent robbery is strongly affected by heat stress across all four seasons after 2009 in Beijing.

In summary, the most consistent and robust results across Figs. 3, 4, 5 and 6 are: (1) both violent and nonviolent robbery rates significantly increase with heat stress in spring; (2) nonviolent robbery rates also significantly increase with heat stress in summer; (3) in the period of 2009–2014 when no trend exists, nonviolent robbery rates are more strongly affected by heat stress than violent robbery rates.

3.3 The contrasting impacts of heat stress on violent and nonviolent robbery

Here we explore the reasons behind such differences between violent and nonviolent robbery. Nonviolent robbery is essentially a property crime which happens without




Fig. 5 Relation between violent robbery rates and DI values in Beijing after 2009. The red lines are fitted linear relations

violence; thus, its relation with heat stress may be explained by the routine activity (RA) theory proposed by Cohen and Felson (1979). The RA theory points out that there are three necessary conditions for committing a crime: a likely offender, a suitable target and the absence of a capable guardian. Higher but not extreme heat stresses are more likely to increase mobility and social interaction, thereby increasing the likelihood of a suitable target occurring and thus generating more opportunities for property crimes. The original RA theory, however, did not provide a clear definition of “higher but not extreme heat stresses.” Our results here offer a more quantitative perspective over Beijing area. From Figs. 4 and 6, one can see that nonviolent robbery rates significantly increase with heat stress in the DI ranges from “normal” to “>50% discomfort.” Under such heat stress conditions, the nonviolent robbery rate generally increases with the HSI. This is particularly true in the post-2009 period when no long-term trend is observed.

On the other hand, the influence of heat stress on violent robbery is more complicated. In the literature, the general affect (GA) model and the negative affect escape (NAE) model were often used to explain the relation between temperature and violent crime. The GA model is similar to the RA model, which suggests that higher temperatures facilitate effective aggression (Cohn and Rotton 2000). The NAE model proposes that human aggression increases with temperature because of increases in discomfort, but only up to a certain point beyond which the relationship will be negative. As the discomfort increases over a threshold, the criminal’s motivation to escape uncomfortable situations will eventually outweigh the motivation to be aggressive (Bell 1992). Thus, an “inverse-U-shaped”




Fig. 6 Relation between nonviolent robbery rates and DI values in Beijing after 2009. The *red lines* are fitted linear relations

Table 1 Results of simple regression analysis between DI and robbery rates

Season	Before 2009		After 2009	
	Violent robbery	Nonviolent robbery	Violent robbery	Nonviolent robbery
Spring	0.51* (0–10)	0.74* (0–10)	0.63* (0–8)	0.96* (0–7)
Summer	0.31 (0–10)	0.40* (0–10)	0.30 (0–9)	0.89* (0–7)
Autumn	0.42* (0–10)	0.20 (0–10)	0.01 (0–8)	0.69* (0–6)
Winter	0.05 (0–10)	0.15 (0–10)	0.44 (0–7)	0.66 (0–4)

* Denotes a significance level lower than 0.05. Numbers in parentheses represent the ranges of the robbery rate data

relationship should be observed between temperature and aggression. In summary, both GA and NAE models suggest a positive correlation between temperature and crime rate, but the NAE model further suggests a negative correlation when temperature is beyond a threshold. Our results seem to be more consistent with the GA model in the sense that “inverse-U-shaped” relationship is not found. However, negative or no correlations are observed in Beijing, especially in the post-2009 period (see Fig. 5). As such, it is concluded that the relation between violent robbery and heat stress is more complex and less

robust than the relation between nonviolent robbery and heat stress, and neither GA nor NAE could fully explain such relations.

4 Conclusions

This study investigates the influence of heat stress on two different types of robbery (violent vs. nonviolent) using crime statistics and observed climate records in Beijing, China. Heat stress indices (DI, sWBGT, HUMIDEX and THIC), which combine the effects of temperature and humidity on human comfort, are used in this paper. The results show that the abrupt change in the trends of robbery rates seems to be caused by the 2008 Beijing Olympic Games. As a result, it is assumed that the social and economic influence is responsible for the linear trend in robbery rates. Correlations between both violent and nonviolent robbery rates and heat stress at daily scales and in different seasons are also examined. The most important conclusion is that both violent and nonviolent robbery rates significantly increase with heat stress in spring. The nonviolent robbery rates also significantly increase with heat stress in summer. In the period of 2009–2014 when no long-term trend can be detected, nonviolent robbery rates are more strongly affected by heat stress than violent robbery rates. The results for nonviolent robbery can be reasonably explained by the routine activity theory. However, the influence of heat stress on violent robbery is more complicated and cannot be fully explained by the general affect or negative affect escape theory.

One important assumption made in our study is that both socioeconomic factors and climatic factors such as heat stress have influences on crime rates, but they affect crime rates at different scales. In particular, socioeconomic factors are assumed to only affect crime rates at long-term scales while heat stresses are assumed to affect crime rates at daily scales. A further assumption is that the long-term change is represented by the linear trend. The results in the two periods (before 2009 and after 2009) are broadly consistent, suggesting that the treatment of the influence of socioeconomic factors is acceptable. However, it is also pointed out that the relation between heat stress and crime rates is generally more robust in the second period when no linear trend exists. Our study is a logical starting point given the data limitation, but further investigations on separating the influences of socioeconomic factors and climatic factors are recommended.

Acknowledgements The authors appreciate support for this paper by the Collaborative Innovation Center of Public Safety, the Basic scientific research project of People's Public Security University of China (No. 2016JKF01211) and the National Science and Technology Pillar Program during the 12th Five-year Plan Period (No. 2015BAK12B03). This work was also supported by China Clean Development Mechanism Foundation (No. 2013049). We are grateful to the Municipal Public Safety Bureau of Beijing in China for providing the crime data.

Appendix

The heat stress indices used in this paper are the discomfort index (DI), the simplified wet-bulb globe temperature (sWBGT), the Humidex (HUMIDEX) and the temperature–humidity index for comfort (THIC). Their definitions are given in Table 2. The risk levels associated with different heat stress values are shown in Table 3 (Buzan et al. 2015).

In order to estimate the heat stress indices sWBGT and HUMIDEX, the vapor pressure e_{RH} (Pa) is firstly calculated by:

Table 2 Heat stress indices

Indices	Descriptions	References
The discomfort index (DI)	$DI = 0.5T_w + 0.5T_c$	Thom (1959)
The simplified wet-bulb globe temperature (sWBGT)	$sWBGT = 0.56T_c + \frac{0.393e_{RH}}{100} + 3.94$	ACSM (1984); Fischer et al. (2012)
The Humidex (HUMIDEX)	$HUMIDEX = T_c + \frac{5}{9} \left(\frac{e_{RH}}{100} - 10 \right)$	Masterson and Richardson (1979)
The temperature–humidity index for comfort (THIC)	$THIC = 0.72T_w + 0.72T_c + 40.6$	Ingram (1965)

Table 3 Risk levels of different heat stress indices

sWBGT	HUMIDEX	THIC	DI
Alert: 26.7–29.3	Some discomfort: 30	Alert: 75	<50% of population in discomfort: 21–24
Caution: 29.4–31.0	Dangerous: 46	Dangerous: 79–83	>50% of population in discomfort: 24–27
Potentially dangerous: 31.1–32.1	Imminent heat stroke: 54	Very dangerous: ≥ 84	Most of the population in discomfort: 27–29
Dangerous: ≥ 32.2			Severe stress: 29–32 State of emergency: >32

$$e_{RH} = (RH/100)e_{sPa} \quad (3)$$

The saturated vapor pressure e_{sPa} is calculated using Magnus form approximation:

$$e_{sPa} = 6.1078 \exp \left[\frac{17.13(T - 273.16)}{T - 38} \right] \times 100 \quad (4)$$

where T is the temperature in Kelvins.

In this paper, to calculate heat stress indices THIC and DI, it is necessary to first calculate the wet-bulb temperature T_w (°C). Here, we use an approximation from (Oleson et al. (2015)) to calculate the wet-bulb temperature:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ws} = & T_c \arctan \left(0.151977 \sqrt{RH + 8.313659} \right) + \arctan(T_c + RH) \\ & - \arctan(RH - 1.676331) + 0.00391838RH^{3/2} \arctan(0.023101RH) - 4.68035 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

References

- ACSM (1984) Position stand on the prevention of thermal injuries during distance running. *Med J Aust* 141:876–879
- Barnett J, Adger WN (2007) Climate change, human security and violent conflict. *Polit Geogr* 26:639–655

- Bell PA (1992) In defense of the negative affect escape model of heat and aggression. *Psychol Bull* 111:342–346
- Bernauer T, Bohmelt T, Koubi V (2012) Environmental changes and violent conflict. *Environ Res Lett* 7:015601
- Brunsdon C, Corcoran J, Higgs G, Ware A (2009) The influence of weather on local geographical patterns of police calls for service. *Environ Plann B* 36:906–926
- Burke MB, Miguel E, Satyanath S, Dykema JA, Lobell DB (2009) Warming increases the risk of civil war in Africa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106:20670–20674
- Buzan JR, Oleson K, Huber M (2015) Implementation and comparison of a suite of heat stress metrics within the Community Land Model version 4.5. *Geosci Model Dev* 8:151–170
- Chen P, Shu XM, Yuan HY, Li DS (2011) Assessing temporal and weather influences on property crime in Beijing, China. *Crime Law Soc Ch* 55:1–13
- Cohen LE, Felson M (1979) Social change and crime rate trends: a routine activity approach. *Am Sociol Rev* 44:588–608
- Cohn EG, Rotton J (2000) Weather, seasonal trends and property crimes in MINNEAPOLIS 1987–1988: a moderator-variable time-series analysis of routine activities. *J Environ Psychol* 20:257–272
- Field S (1992) The effect of temperature on crime. *Br J Criminol* 32:340–351
- Fischer EM, Oleson KW, Lawrence DM (2012) Contrasting urban and rural heat stress responses to climate change. *Geophys Res Lett* 39:419–420
- Gamble JL, Hess JJ (2012) Temperature and violent crime in Dallas, Texas: relationships and implications of climate change. *West J Emerg Med* 8:239–246
- Horrocks J, Menclova A (2011) The effects of weather on crime. *N Z Econ Papers* 45:231–254
- Hsiang SM, Meng KC, Cane MA (2011) Civil conflicts are associated with the global climate. *Nature* 476:438–441
- Hsiang SM, Burke M, Miguel E (2013) Quantifying the influence of climate on human conflict. *Science* 341, 1212–+
- Ingram DL (1965) Evaporative cooling in the pig. *Nature* 207:415–416
- Jacob B, Lefgren L, Moretti E (2006) The dynamics of criminal behavior: evidence from Weather Shocks. *J Hum Res* 42:489–527
- Mares D (2013) Climate change and levels of violence in socially disadvantaged neighborhood groups. *J Urban Health* 90:768–783
- Masterson JM, Richardson FA (1979) Humidex, a method of quantifying human discomfort due to excessive heat and humidity. Environment Canada, Atmospheric Environment Service, Downsview, Ontario, CLI
- Mehluma H, Miguel E, Torvik R (2006) Poverty and crime in 19th century Germany. *J Urban Econ* 59:370–388
- Miguel E (2005) Poverty and witch killing. *Rev Econ Stud* 72:1153–1172
- Oleson KW, Monaghan A, Wilhelmi O, Barlage M, Brunsell N, Feddema J, Hu L, Steinhoff DF (2015) Interactions between urbanization, heat stress, and climate change. *Clim Change* 129:525–541
- O'Loughlin J, Witmer FDW, Linke AM, Laing A, Gettelman A, Dudhia J (2012) Climate variability and conflict risk in East Africa, 1990–2009. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109:18344–18349
- Ranson M (2014) Crime, weather, and climate change. *J Environ Econ Manag* 67:274–302
- Scheffran J, Brzoska M, Kominek J, Link PM, Schilling J (2012) Climate change and violent conflict. *Science* 336:869–871
- Thom EC (1959) The discomfort index. *Weatherwise* 12:57–61
- Tol RSJ, Wagner S (2010) Climate change and violent conflict in Europe over the last millennium. *Clim Change* 99:65–79
- Zhang DD, Lee HF, Wang C, Li BS, Pei Q, Zhang J, An YL (2011) The causality analysis of climate change and large-scale human crisis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:17296–17301