Lecture 16: Profit Maximization and Long-Run Competition

Clicker Question
The equilibrium price $P^*$ is determined by the entire market.

Suppose all firms except one charge $P^*$ and produce total output $Q_0$.

For the last small firm, the remaining demand around the market price is stretched out and seems very large and very elastic.

The last firm’s supply determines its own equilibrium quantity: it will also charge the market price (and be a price-taker).

When any firm is deciding how much to produce in equilibrium, it thinks of itself as the last small firm.

Suppose Farmer Jones discovers that hip-hop music increases his hens’ output of eggs.

Then his supply curve would shift to the right.

But his price (the market price) wouldn’t change. Why not?
How does the shift of his supply curve affect Farmer Jones?

Farmer Jones’ supply shifts to the right, but his equilibrium price remains the same.

Doesn’t the market supply shift? **No. Why not?**

Would Farmer Jones sell more or less?

NOW suppose that all farmers find out that hip-hop music increases their hens’ output of eggs.

All the farmers blast hip-hop at their chickens.

And the chicken’s start laying eggs like crazy.
Hip-hop causes the supply curves of Farmer Jones \textit{and the other farmers} to shift to the right,…

which causes market supply to shift.

The new market-equilibrium price would fall to $P'$, so Farmer Jones’ demand shifts down.

Farmer Jones’ supply has shifted to the right, but he must sell at a lower price.
Cost, Revenue and Profits

- **Total Cost** (\(TC\)):
  \[ TC = FC + VC \]

- **Average Cost** (\(AC\)):
  \[ AC = \frac{TC}{Q} \]
  Sometimes called **Average Total Cost** (\(ATC\))

- **Profits**:
  \[ \pi = Total\ Revenue - Total\ Cost \]
  \[ = (P \times Q) - TC \]
  \[ = (P \times Q) - AC \times Q \]
  \[ = (P - AC) Q \]

- Producer surplus is the same as profits before fixed costs are deducted.
  \[ \pi = (P \times Q) - VC - FC \]
  \[ = PS - FC \]

Marginal and Average Costs

- **MC** is the cost of producing a given unit.
- **AC** is the *average cost* of producing *all* the units up to the given unit.
- **MC** rises eventually – Why?
  - If \(FC > 0\), **AC** starts high,…
    - but it falls as fixed cost is divided over more output,…
    - and rises again as **MC** becomes more important.
  - If **MC** crosses **AC**, it must cross at the bottom of the **AC** curve.
    - If **MC** is under **AC**, then **MC** is pulling the average down.
    - But if **MC** is above **AC**, then **MC** is pulling the average up.
Using AC to Measure Profits

- If \( P = 120 \) then profits would be maximized when the firm produces 8 units. Why?

- If 8 units are produced, \( AC = 90 \),…

- so average profits per unit are 30.

- Total profits are \( 8 \times 30 = 240 \).

Using AC to Measure Losses

- If \( P = 70 \) then profits would be maximized when the firm produces 4 units. Why?

- If 4 units are produced, \( AC = 80 \),…

- so on average the firm loses 10 per unit (even though profits were maximized).

- Total losses are \( 4 \times 10 = 40 \).

- The firm cannot be profitable at this price, and it should shut down.
The Shut-Down Condition

- If a firm is producing at the profit-maximizing level of output,
- yet still cannot earn a positive profit,…
- then it should be shut down.
- This happens when price is less than the lowest possible average cost. Why?
- So, if \( P < \min AC \), the firm should stop producing.

But \textit{in the short run}, fixed costs \textit{that are already paid} should \textit{not} be treated as a costs in making the shut-down decision.

- Why not?
- Because they are \textit{sunk costs} (not avoidable).
- So maybe the firm can stay open for a while.
- Often, in the short run, only variable costs are avoidable, so we should use \textit{AVC (average variable cost)} as the value of \textit{AC}.
- In the long run, all costs are avoidable, so we should use \textit{ATC (average total cost)} as the value of \textit{AC}. 
In the long run, $AC$ is the same as $ATC$.

Can you tell how much the firm will want to sell if the price is

- $120 per unit?
- $81?
- $60?
- $40?

If $P < \text{min } ATC$, then net profits must be negative at any output level, and the firm will shut down.

See the supply curve.

In the short run, $AC$ is often the same as $AVC$ instead of $ATC$.

The short-run MC could be higher, but we ignore that possibility.
Do real-world firms maximize profits?

- In the competitive model, *maximizing profits* also maximizes *social surplus*.
- But firms have some of the same problems maximizing profits that consumers have maximizing utility.
  - The maximization problem is very difficult.
    - Firms may not know their own marginal costs.
    - They may not be acquainted with all feasible production methods.
  - The psychology of entrepreneurs may create problems.
    - Entrepreneurs tend to be biased by optimism.
    - Or they may suffer from hubris (overconfidence).
- The owners of firms face problems that individuals do not.
  - Controlling their employees
    - How to get workers to work hard?
    - How to get managers to pursue the interests of the owner (instead of their own)?
  - Fear of risk
    - Maximizing profits may be risky,…
    - so managers may choose very safe bets that are less profitable .
    - Very safe business ventures are often not in the social interest, because new technologies and economic growth require a reasonable amount of risk.
- Is profit maximization is a good approximation of what real firms do in a free market?
The Search for Profits

- In the competitive model, firms maximize profits.
- Moreover, a large number of (greedy) entrepreneurs are searching for profitable business opportunities.
- If the market price of a good and its production costs can generate economic profits,…
- these entrepreneurs will start new firms and enter the industry.
- But if the market price is too low, firms will face losses…
- …and some will close down.

Entry and Exit in the Long Run

- When an entrepreneur considers starting a firm, she has **no sunk costs**. [Why not?]
  - (Therefore, **ATC** should be used as **AC**.)
- So she enters only if long-run economic profits can be found.
- Firms will continue to enter as long as these profits are available.
- But as they enter,
  - the market supply shifts out,
  - the market price falls,
  - and further entry becomes less profitable.
Entry stops when new firms would no longer be able to obtain economic profits.

At that point, the economic profits of existing firms are zero.

To illustrate this process, we use a fictional example of the market for corn.

At $P = 2.00$, $Q = 130$ and $AC = 1.20$, which is greater than the minimum $AC$. 

[Graph showing the market and a single firm's cost and revenue curves.]
The Effect of Entry on Price and Economic Profit

- However, economic profits will attract new firms, and **entry** will reduce both prices and profits.

### The Market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Price ($/bushel)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### One Firm

- Economic profit after some entry = $50.4

### Equilibrium when Entry Stops

- Entry of firms continues until all firms earn zero long-run economic profits.

- At this point, there are more firms, and each firm is producing at lower cost.
Economic Losses

- A market price below the minimum $AC$ will result in economic losses.
- In the presence of long-run losses,
- firms will exit from the industry, and the market price will increase.
- Exit will stop when losses disappear, and economic profits reach zero.
- Again production will occur at minimum $AC$.

In the long run, $AC$ is $ATC$ and $ATC = AVC + FC/Q$

- $FC/Q$ is large when firms are small (low output), but $AVC$ is small.
- $AVC$ is large when firms are large, but $FC/Q$ is small.
- At either extreme, $ATC$ will be large.

- If we start with firms that are too large, entry leads to a result between these two extremes and minimizes $TC = AVC + FC/Q$.
- If we start with firms that are too small, exit minimizes $TC = AVC + FC/Q$.

- This means that competition trades off the number of firms and the size of each firm in a perfectly efficient way.
Imperfect Competition

- In the long run, perfect competition balances the number and size of firms perfectly.
- But imperfect competition does not.
- Later in the course, we show that some kinds of imperfect competition yield too many small firms.
- But perfect competition cannot create the iPhone.

Clicker Question
End of File