Aircraft observed diurnal variations of the planetary boundary layer under heat waves
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ABSTRACT
This study investigates the diurnal variations of the planetary boundary layer (PBL) properties during heat waves (HWs) based on a decade-long data record of hourly profiles from the Aircraft Meteorological Data Reports (AMDAR) at 54 major airports over the Continental United States. The results are also corroborated by surface observations from weather stations. HWs are defined as periods of at least two consecutive days with daily mean temperature higher than the 95th percentile of the warm season (May to September) climatology. Temperature differences between HW and non-HW periods show strong vertical variations throughout the PBL. Under HWs, the daytime convective PBL is deeper while the nocturnal residual layer is excessively hotter. The difference between HWs and non-HWs in the PBL wind and humidity are not uniform, with clear diurnal and vertical variations at some sites. HW-related changes in PBL wind components are strongly associated with changes in atmospheric circulation patterns, especially in the northeastern region. The specific humidity in the PBL shows increases in humid regions but decreases in arid regions under HWs. Moisture transport and surface evaporation are found to play an important role in modulating the changes in PBL humidity. This study highlights that HW-related changes in the PBL structure are not uniform and are affected by both synoptic and local factors.

1. Introduction
Heat waves (HWs) pose significant threats to the human society and natural ecosystems across the globe (Perkins, 2015). Studies reported that HWs have already become unusually frequent and severe in recent years (e.g., Meehl and Tebaldi, 2004; Peterson et al., 2013), and are projected to continue to increase in duration, intensity and frequency in the future under increased greenhouse gas concentrations (e.g., Lau and Nath, 2012, 2014; Meehl et al., 2007). One of the major concerns about HWs is their impacts on human health (Anderson and Bell, 2009; Anderson and Bell, 2011; Perkins, 2015; Zuo et al., 2015), especially in urban areas (e.g., Li and Bou-Zeid, 2013; Li et al., 2015, 2016; Ramamurthy et al., 2017; Liao et al., 2018; Ao et al., 2019). Mass mortality was caused by the 2003 Europe heat wave (Coumou and Rahmstorf, 2012) and Russian heat wave (McMichael and Lindgren, 2011). Thus, accurate and reliable predictions of such extreme events are necessary for early warning systems. However, operational forecasts still fail to capture the onset and evolution of HWs since our understanding of HWs is limited and their representation in climate models remains imperfect (Vautard et al., 2013; Weisheimer and Palmer, 2014).

In the last few decades, many studies have focused on the driving physical mechanisms of HWs (Perkins, 2015). It is now well understood that HWs are generally associated with quasi-stationary high-pressure systems that produce subsidence, clear skies, warm air advection, and prolonged hot conditions near the surface (Black et al., 2004; Meehl and Tebaldi, 2004; Xoplaki et al., 2003). Meanwhile, land-atmosphere feedbacks under these synoptic conditions, including the depletion of soil moisture and subsequent reduction in evaporative cooling, may further amplify HWs (Alexander, 2011; Black et al., 2004; Fischer et al., 2007a, 2007b; Miralles et al., 2012; Miralles et al., 2014, 2018; Teuling et al., 2010). Studies revealed that soil moisture-temperature interactions play an important role in modulating the intensity (Durre et al., 2000), frequency (Fischer et al., 2007a), and persistence (Lorenz et al., 2010) of HWs. While these previous studies have significantly improved our understanding of the synoptic-scale circulation patterns (Li et al.,...
Cassou et al., 2005; Meehl and Tebaldi, 2004; Nasrallah et al., 2004) and land-atmosphere feedback mechanisms associated with and contributing to HWs, the state and evolution of the planetary boundary layer (PBL), which is the key connecting the land surface with the synoptic systems aloft, during HWs received much less attention.

A few key exceptions include the pioneering study by Miralles et al. (2014), which revealed that in addition to the warm air advection and increasingly desiccated land surface, the enhanced entrainment of warm air into the PBL during daytime and the formation of a deep and warm nocturnal residual layer overnight, which stores heat from one day to the next, also intensifies warming during mega-heatwave events. A recent review study (Miralles et al., 2018) suggested that the land surface conditions and plant physiology at ecosystem scales can influence the dynamics of PBL during HWs, which will further affect the entrainment of warm air from the top and warm air advection. The identified key role of PBL dynamics and the lack of systematic investigations of PBL structures under HWs, especially using observational data, strongly motivate our study.

While HWs are inevitably associated with high air temperatures, it is also crucial to examine changes in the other meteorological factors, especially atmospheric humidity and wind, which are critical controls of human thermal comfort and heat stress (Barnett et al., 2010; Epstein and Moran, 2006; Matzarakis and Nastos, 2011; Zhang et al., 2014a). Atmospheric humidity and wind in the PBL can also strongly affect and be strongly affected by land surface fluxes and local circulations, thereby modulating land-atmosphere feedbacks (Karl and Knight, 1997; Santanello et al., 2018; Seneviratne et al., 2010). Few studies investigated the change of wind during HWs, although it is directly related to heat and moisture transport. HWs resulting from quasi-stationary anticyclones are often believed to be associated with low wind speed (Ackerman and Knox, 2006). However, HWs could strengthen secondary circulations (e.g., between cities and their surrounding rural areas or between land and sea) and thus possibly enhance the local wind speed (Lebassi et al., 2009; Lebassi et al., 2011; Li et al., 2016; Li and Bou-Zeid, 2013). A recent study compared observed near-surface wind speeds under HW and non-HW conditions and found that HW-related changes in the near-surface wind speed were not uniform, with some sites showing increases and some showing decreases (Sun et al., 2017). These conflicting notions and results further motivate us to examine HW-related changes in the wind components throughout the lower troposphere and across a large number of sites/regions.

The objectives of this paper are to (1) examine the differences in near-surface and PBL air temperature, wind, and humidity between HW and non-HW conditions, (2) compare HW-related changes in the PBL structure across different climatic regions, and (3) investigate the connection between HW-related changes in PBL structures, the large-scale circulation pattern and the land-atmosphere feedback.

2. Data and methods

2.1. Hourly PBL profiles

Traditional techniques such as radiosondes cannot fully characterize the diurnal variation of the PBL due to the limited temporal resolution (typically only available at 00 and 12 UTC). On the other hand, high-resolution lidar and sodar measurements are often only available for a short period. In this study, we employ a newly developed, decade-long (from 2007 to 2016) data record of hourly PBL profiles (Zhang et al., 2019) near 54 major US airports (see Fig. 1 and Table 1). This data record is based on the aircraft meteorological reports from commercial aircraft through the Aircraft Meteorological Data Relay (AMDar) program, established by the World Meteorological Organization. The AMDAR program has grown rapidly over the past few years with about 5000 aircraft worldwide contributing > 600,000 temperature and wind observations per day, 450,000 of which are over CONUS (Petersen, 2016). In addition, water vapor (humidity) measurements can also be derived from the aircraft platform through a single laser-diode sensor, namely the Water Vapor Sensing System 2nd Generation (WVSS-II), which is deployed operationally by 148 aircrafts from South West Airlines and United Parcel Service principally over CONUS. The humidity data are much less compared to temperature/wind data but still cover many parts of CONUS (Petersen et al., 2016). The AMDAR data are publicly available on the Meteorological Assimilation Data Ingest System web service portal (https://madis-data.cprk.ncep.noaa.gov/madisPublic1/data/archive/). In this study, the AMDAR data points failed in the MADIS quality control (https://madis.ncep.noaa.gov/).
As the raw AMDAR data are irregular in space and time and include ascent/descent phases near airports and the cruise phase, we developed a new data record by merging all ascent and descent soundings within one hour and by interpolating them onto a vertical grid in terms of regular and linear heights (Zhang et al., 2019). Note that here each hourly grid time (e.g., 1 pm) represents an hourly interval (1–2 pm) after the grid time. In our previous study (Zhang et al., 2019), we used hourly grid time (e.g., 1 pm) represents an hourly interval (1–2 pm). The actual number of measurements in the lower atmosphere within an hour varies across time and space, with some airports having on average over 100 data points below 1500 m. We also note that the interpolation can be done at other resolutions (e.g., 50 m or 100 m) and in terms of pressure levels (e.g., every 20 hPa) depending on the application and data availability. Here we choose 20 m because of our focus on the lower atmosphere (from 0 to 3000 m, including the PBL). The total numbers of hourly PBL profiles of temperature, wind and humidity in summer are shown in Table 1.

By interpolating the AMDAR data onto radiosonde levels, Zhang et al. (2019) also compared the new data record to the nearby
radiosonde data and found good agreement between the two datasets for air temperature, specific humidity, and wind components. It is worth mentioning that while previous studies often reported warm biases associated with AMDAR data (Ballish and Kumar, 2008), Zhang et al. (2019) found that such warm biases were typically large at high altitudes but insignificant at low altitudes.

Fig. 2 shows an example of hourly AMDAR PBL temperature profiles at McCarran International Airport (LAS, see Table 1) in 2013 during which a HW occurred from DOY 179 to 185 (the identification of HW event will be discussed in Section 2.4). Although there are missing data (typically occurring from the mid-night to early morning due to the lack of air traffic), especially for specific humidity, the diurnal variations are reasonably well captured.

2.2. Surface observations

To characterize the surface climatic conditions, we use weather station observations from the Integrated Surface Database (ISD) provided by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA, https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/isd/data-access). These data within or near the selected 54 airports are hourly averaged to match the AMDAR PBL profiles. A qualitative comparison between surface observations ISD and the lowest 5 points (from 20 to 100 m) of AMDAR profiles will be conducted to examine the reliability of AMDAR data for studying the diurnal variation of the PBL.

2.3. Reanalysis data

To investigate the synoptic-scale atmospheric circulation patterns and land-atmosphere feedbacks associated with HWs, the North America Regional Reanalysis (NARR), which has a spatial resolution of 32 km and 29 pressure levels, is used. First, the temperature, wind, and specific humidity data from NARR are compared with those from AMDAR in terms of summer averaged profiles (Fig. S1-S2) and HW signatures (Fig. S3). The results show good agreement between the two datasets, suggesting that it is reasonable to use the geopotential height field and surface latent heat flux from NARR to illustrate the synoptic-scale atmospheric circulation patterns and land-atmosphere feedbacks associated with HWs.

2.4. HW definition

There is no unified definition for HWs (Meehl and Tebaldi, 2004). Commonly used HW definitions in the literature vary in terms of the temperature metrics employed (maximum, mean, minimum), the thresholds for “extreme”, the length of consecutive days, and the period of the reference climate (Smith et al., 2013). HW indices are divided into two major groups according to the type of threshold used: relative thresholds (De Boeck et al., 2010; Meehl and Tebaldi, 2004) and absolute thresholds (Frich et al., 2002; Robinson, 2001). There are location-specific variations in the absolute threshold in defining HWs and using an absolute threshold does not take into account climatic variability (De Boeck et al., 2010). Radinović and Ćurić (2012) suggested using

---

Fig. 2. Temporal evolution of temperature, wind speed, specific humidity profiles derived from AMDAR data from 25 June to 6 July 2013 at LAS (see Table 1 for the airport’s full name). The blank areas indicate hours that have no data.
relative thresholds derived from the normal frequency distribution of daily temperature values rather than absolute thresholds, since HW definition should permit a comparison of results across climatic zones.

In this study, a HW are defined as a period in which the daily mean temperature of at least 2 consecutive days exceeds the 95th percentile of the warm season (1 May through 30 September) climatology defined based on the period 2007–2016 (Anderson and Bell, 2011). The daily mean temperature is taken from the surface observations. We note that different thresholds (90th, 95th, 98th, and 99th percentiles) have been used in previous studies for defining HWs (Smith et al., 2013). The proportions of HW days for different thresholds are shown in Table 1. The moderate threshold (95th percentile) is chosen as a compromise between ensuring that differences between HW periods and non-HW periods are significant to be detected and maintaining a reasonably large sample of HW events.

3. Results

The results are presented in terms of diurnal variations of PBL temperature, wind components, and humidity profiles averaged over HW and non-HW (typical summer) days during the period from 2007 to 2016. Hours in which the total number of profiles over the period of 2007–2016 is < 10 for temperature and wind and 5 for humidity are shown as blank areas (again typically from mid-night to early morning). A less stringent requirement is used for humidity due to the relatively small amount of AMDAR humidity samples. In this study, we do not focus on the onset and development of individual HWs. Instead, the overall features of diurnal temperature, wind and humidity profiles over HW and non-HW conditions are investigated. The findings generated from AMDAR data are corroborated by the surface observations from weather stations (i.e., from ISD).

Four airports, including the Louisville International Airport (SDF), Philadelphia International Airport (PHL), William P. Hobby Airport at Houston (HOU), and Denver International Airport (DEN) are chosen for case studies (see Fig. 1 for their locations). They are chosen mainly based on the availability of humidity observations since many airports have too few humidity data to construct a complete diurnal cycle. In addition, these four airports cover different climate regimes over...
CONUS. Results from the other airports will be also shown.

It is expected that the PBL profiles are strongly affected by the synoptic-scale atmospheric circulation patterns and land-atmosphere feedbacks, which will be also examined in this study to better understand changes in PBL wind and humidity during HW periods. In the meantime, it should be noted that most of the airports are near or in major cities. As a result, local factors, such as urban land use, might also play a role in modifying the PBL profiles, although it is difficult to separate such local effects from the synoptic controls.

3.1. Comparisons between AMDAR data and surface observations

To corroborate the findings from AMDAR data, surface observations and the lower part (20–100 m) of AMDAR profiles are first compared in Fig. 3. It can be also seen that the AMDAR temperature averaged over 20–100 m (triangles) is lower than the average surface air temperature during daytime, while slightly higher than or equal to the average surface air temperature at night (Fig. 3a–d). This is consistent with the expectation that the surface is typically warmer than the air during daytime but cooler during nighttime. The AMDAR wind components averaged within 20–100 m are smaller than the surface observations for zonal wind at PHL and meridional wind at SDF and HOU (Fig. 3e–l). This might be caused by two factors. First, more frequent aircraft maneuvers in the lower layer can cause large errors in the airspeed vector determination (Bisiaux et al., 1983). Second, there are stronger turbulent fluctuations and larger small-scale wind variations in the surface layer (Benjamin et al., 1999). However, the sign of the differences between HW and non-HW periods and the diurnal trends are basically the same for the lower part (20–100 m) of AMDAR profiles and surface data, which suggests that the AMDAR data should still capture the wind differences between HW and non-HW periods. For humidity, the lower part (20–100 m) of AMDAR data agree reasonably well with the surface observations (Fig. 3m-p), confirming the reliability of the AMDAR humidity data for examining the diurnal climatology.

3.2. HW-related changes in the PBL structure

3.2.1. Temperature

Figs. 4–5 present the vertical and diurnal variations of temperature averaged over HW and non-HW periods and their differences at SDF, PHL, HOU, and DEN. Note that local standard time is used throughout the paper. During both HW and non-HW periods, the PBL temperature shows strong diurnal variations at the four airports, with the maximum temperature around 14:00, and the diurnal variations weaken with height. Compared to non-HW periods, HW periods are associated with much higher surface air temperature (Fig. 3a–d) and PBL temperature (Figs. 4 and 5). The PBL temperature shows the formulation of “heat domes” under HWs, indicating that HWs are associated with temperature increases not only at the surface but also throughout the PBL.

In terms of surface air temperature, the differences between HW and non-HW periods have no clear diurnal variation at these airports (Fig. 3a–d), except for HOU where the surface air temperature difference reaches its maximum around 14:00 and minimum at night (this can be inferred from Fig. 3c). Similar to surface air temperature, there is no obvious diurnal variation in the PBL temperature differences.
between HW and non-HW periods at SDF, PHL and DEN, while the PBL temperature differences at HOU show a maximum in the afternoon (Fig. 4e-f and 5e-f).

The strong temporal and vertical variations of the PBL temperature highlight the advantage and the unique value of the AMDAR data. In particular, certain features identified by the AMDAR profiles are impossible with the conventional twice daily soundings or surface observations. For example, the diurnal variation of the temperature difference between HW and non-HW conditions at HOU can be only identified with the AMDAR profiles.

To further investigate the effect of HWs on the PBL height, Fig. 6 shows the vertical profiles of potential temperature ($\theta$) during HW and non-HW periods and their differences at the four airports. The PBL heights under HW and non-HW periods are shown as the dashed and solid lines, respectively. Here the PBL height is estimated by the bulk Richardson number method (Vogelezang and Holtslag, 1996) with a critical value of 0.25 (Hong, 2010; Zhang et al., 2014b). The bulk Richardson number method is chosen to compute the PBL height since it considers both potential temperature and wind profiles, and in theory should work under all atmospheric stratification conditions. It can be seen that the daytime PBL height is strongly increased under HWs but the nighttime PBL height is not.

At nighttime (04:00, local standard time), the strongest HW-related potential temperature increase is located near the PBL top at SDF, PHL, and DEN and above the PBL top at HOU. This vertical pattern of HW-related potential temperature increase, with weaker warming near the surface but stronger warming near or above the PBL top, plays an important role in enhancing the thermal inversion at night, which might explain why the nighttime PBL height is not strongly increased (and even slightly decreased for PHL and HOU) under HWs. On the other hand, the strong HW-related warming above the PBL contributes to the formulation of deep and warm nocturnal residual layers, especially at DEN (Fig. 6d), which serves as a positive feedback to intensify the following day’s PBL potential temperature by releasing the heat into the PBL through entrainment (Miralles et al., 2014).

At daytime (14:00, local standard time), a convective PBL is observed and the strongest HW-related warming occurs within the PBL, which intensifies dry convection and raises the height of the convective PBL. This can lead to entrainment of warm air from the previously mentioned nocturnal residual layer and upper layers, which further contributes to the escalation of PBL potential temperature (Miralles et al., 2014).

### 3.2.2. Wind components

Fig. 7 shows the diurnal variations of zonal and meridional wind averaged over HW and non-HW periods and their differences at PHL. The results indicate prevailing westerly (Fig. 7a) and southerly (Fig. 7b) winds in the PBL but northerly winds above the PBL up to 3000 m (Fig. 7b). Compared to non-HW periods, the vertical and diurnal variations of the wind components show similar patterns during HW periods. The HW-related differences in the zonal wind are positive at almost all levels below 3000 m throughout the day, with maxima in the PBL during the nighttime (Fig. 7e). However, the HW-related differences in the meridional wind show vertical and diurnal variations. Overall, the southerly wind in the PBL and the northerly wind above the PBL (up to 3000 m) are both enhanced under HW periods. Given that the zonal wind (westerly) is increased and the meridional wind is also enhanced (both in and above the PBL), this suggests that the wind speed...
in the low troposphere (below 3000 m) is increased under HWs. The strong vertical variation in the HW-related changes in the meridional wind further implies that the traditional analysis of HW-related wind changes at or near the surface cannot represent the characteristics of wind changes in the whole PBL.

The results at HOU are shown in Fig. 8. During typical summer conditions, the zonal wind changes from westerly to easterly around 12:00 below 2000 m and remains easterly above 2000 m, while the meridional wind generally shows as southerly wind throughout the day (Fig. 8c-d). The HW-related changes of the zonal wind are positive below 1000 m but negative above, which generally strengthen the lower westerly wind and upper easterly wind. Both the lower and the upper changes are stronger at night (Fig. 8e). The HW-related changes of the meridional wind are generally negative, implying a decrease in the southerly wind or even a switch to northerly wind (see the upper layer in Fig. 8b). However, the HW-related changes of the meridional wind are positive below 500 m between 16:00 and 24:00 (Fig. 8f), which seem to exhibit the feature of low-level jets. These findings demonstrate strong diurnal variations associated with HW-related changes in PBL wind components.

The results above indicate that HW-related changes in PBL wind components vary vertically and diurnally at a given airport and vary among airports. As mentioned in the introduction, HW events are generally caused by quasi-stationary high pressure systems (Meehl and Tebaldi, 2004; Perkins, 2015), which is expected to cause low wind speed. On the other hand, since most airports are located in or near cities and some are located in coastal areas, changes in PBL wind components may be also associated with the strengthened secondary circulations over urban-rural and/or land-sea gradients during HW periods (Hidalgo et al., 2010; Lebassi et al., 2011; Li et al., 2016; Li and Bou-Zeid, 2013; Ohashi and Kida, 2002). Thus, the large variability associated with HW-related changes in PBL wind components might be the outcome of a combination of changes in synoptic-scale atmospheric circulation patterns, which will be further discussed in Section 3.3, and changes in local- to regional-scale circulations.

3.2.3. Humidity

The diurnal variations of specific humidity averaged over HW and non-HW periods and their differences at SDF, PHL, HOU, and DEN are shown in Figs. 9 and 10. There are clear diurnal variations in the specific humidity near the surface at SDF and PHL during both HW and non-HW periods. The specific humidity in the PBL is generally higher at night and lower during the daytime, showing a bi-modal pattern with two maxima at early morning and late evening, respectively (Fig. 9a–d). The HW-related changes in specific humidity are generally positive below 3000 m throughout the day at SDF and PHL. The humidity change is relatively large in the PBL and decreases with height (Fig. 9e–f). Given that the airports SDF and PHL are located in humid zones according to the regional categorization in Findell and Eltahir (2003), the increase of humidity during HW periods is likely caused by the increased surface evapotranspiration. Under HWs, a high atmospheric demand for water vapor caused by the higher air temperature

Fig. 6. Vertical profiles of potential temperature (θ) during HW (blue dashed curves) and non-HW periods (blue solid curves) at 04:00 (upper panels) and 14:00 (bottom panels) at four airports SDF, PHL, HOU, and DEN. Also shown are their differences (red dashed curves). Dashed and solid horizontal lines indicate the diagnosed PBL heights for HW and non-HW periods, respectively. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
incentivizes soil evaporation and plant water consumption through transpiration (Liu et al., 2015; Teuling et al., 2013). For moist soils, there is enough moisture in the soil to meet the high atmospheric demand during HW and thus the specific humidity in the PBL is increased. In addition to surface evapotranspiration, changes in the humidity at SDF and PHL may also be affected by changes in wind conditions (see Fig. 7 for PHL and Figs. 11 and 12).

As the PBL height increases, especially for convective PBLs, dry air entrainment will reduce the PBL specific humidity (Santanello et al., 2007), which will in some extent offset the increase of specific humidity caused by surface evapotranspiration. As shown in Fig. 6, the increase of PBL height during HWs relative to non-HWs is negligible for stable PBLs (e.g., in the early morning), so the reduction of specific humidity due to the PBL growth and dry air entrainment is small. This may explain why the maximum increase of specific humidity generally occurs in the morning hours as shown in Fig. 9e-f.

A high evaporation demand during HW periods does not always lead to an increase in specific humidity, especially when the surface is dry (van Heerwaarden et al., 2010; Santanello et al., 2007). At DEN, the specific humidity at the surface and in the PBL is much lower than the other three airports, which can be seen in Figs. 3m-p, 10 and 11. The relatively dry conditions are associated with its location at a high elevation area adjacent to the Rocky Mountains, where the soil is typically dry (Findell and Eltahir, 2003; Santanello et al., 2018). The HW-related changes in specific humidity are generally negative in the PBL and the decreases of specific humidity during HW periods reduce with height (Fig. 10f). For dry soils, in spite of high evaporation demand during HWs, surface evaporation is suppressed by the low supply of soil water, which in turn enhances the sensible heat flux (a positive feedback on the HW intensity). On this basis, entrainment brings drier air into the PBL as the convective PBL deepens (Santanello et al., 2007). This may be an important cause of the decreases in specific humidity at DEN. In addition, the HW events in this area are caused by persistent high-pressure systems, as shall be seen later. The downward motions or large-scale subsidence caused by such systems bring dry air loft into the lower troposphere, which can further cause humidity decreases in the lower troposphere.

The humidity difference between HW and non-HW periods is also affected by the moisture transport. At HOU, the specific humidity decreases in the PBL while increases above the PBL under HWs compared to the non-HW periods (Fig. 10e). This is because the HOU airport is located near the coast (Fig. 1) and the moisture transport from the Gulf of Mexico is an important source of moisture at HOU. According to the differences in zonal and meridional winds between HW and non-HW periods at HOU shown in Fig. 8e-f, the wind difference is positive for zonal wind but negative for meridional wind in the PBL, so the wind difference vector is from land to sea, which reduces the moisture transport and hence reduces the specific humidity over HOU. Conversely, the wind difference between HW and non-HW periods is negative for zonal wind above the PBL, which enhances the moisture transport from sea to land although the meridional wind difference direction remains from land to sea (Fig. 8e-f). These changes in wind components and the associated moisture transport partly explain the HW-related changes in specific humidity at HOU.

It should be noted that while the findings on HW-related changes in specific humidity are broadly consistent with previous studies on land-

![Fig. 7. Diurnal variations of zonal (left) and meridional wind (right) during (a-b) HW and (c-d) non-HW periods in the lowest 3000 m above the ground averaged from 2007 to 2016, and (e-f) HW-related changes at PHL. Black lines indicate zero values. Units are m/s.](image-url)
atmosphere feedbacks as discussed above and changes in wind components, the AMDAR data alone do not provide direct quantification of land-atmosphere feedbacks and advection terms. Further investigations using NARR data are conducted in Section 3.3 to verify these findings. It should be also pointed out that the AMDAR data might be affected by local factors such as urban land use and human activities (e.g., irrigation of lawns in suburban areas). The role of these local factors in modulating the PBL specific humidity under HWs needs to be further examined.

3.3. Impacts of synoptic-scale atmospheric circulation patterns and land-atmosphere feedbacks on PBL wind and humidity during HWs

From the above case studies, it is clear that the characteristics of PBL during HW periods and the differences between HW and non-HW periods vary across climate regimes. To further investigate such spatial variability, Fig. 11 shows the differences in PBL temperature, wind components, and specific humidity between HW and non-HW periods averaged from the surface to the PBL top in the AMDAR data (left) and those in the surface observations from weather stations (right). The PBL height is estimated by the bulk Richardson number method with a critical value of 0.25, as shown in Fig. 6. The PBL and surface results in terms of temperature, zonal and meridional wind and specific humidity are broadly consistent, further confirming the reliability of AMDAR data.

It can be seen that the PBL experiences warming under HW conditions across all airports (Fig. 11a). This is expected given that HW is defined based on air temperature. For wind components, the differences of both zonal and meridional wind are mostly positive in the north and negative in the west. However, in the south, the differences are positive for zonal wind while mostly negative for meridional wind (Fig. 11c and e). For specific humidity, the results indicate increases over most parts of the CONUS but decreases in the high elevation areas and south (Fig. 11g). The largest increases in humidity are found in the central north and northeast with values larger than 2 g/kg. Note that the differences in the PBL humidity at several airports are not shown because of data limitation.

To explain these spatial variations (especially those of wind and humidity), we investigate the atmospheric circulation patterns and surface evaporation associated with HWs in three different regions highlighted by the black circles in Fig. 11c, e and g. These three regions are the northeastern region, Texas, and the high elevation region, which are referred as the Atlantic Seaboard, South, and Plateau regions hereinafter. Airports in the Atlantic Seaboard region include MHT, BOS, BDL, LGA, JFK, EWR, PHL, BWI, IAD, and DCA, while airports in the South region include DFW, BSM, SAT, IAH, and HOU. The airports DEN, ABQ, and SLC are within the Plateau region. We define regional HW periods as the sum of HW periods of all airports within the region.

The geopotential height fields averaged over non-HW and HW periods and their differences for these three different regions are shown in Fig. 12. HWs in the northeastern US are accompanied by the strengthening of the North Atlantic Subtropical High (NASH), which is a semi-permanent high-pressure system over the North Atlantic Ocean (Li et al., 2012). Following previous studies (Li et al., 2011), the 1560 gpm isoline at 850 hPa is selected to represent the boundary of the NASH, shown as the black thick solid lines in Fig. 12. It can be seen that compared to non-HW periods, the NASH is stronger and expands to the west and north during the regional HW periods for the Atlantic

**Fig. 8.** Similar to Fig. 7, but at HOU.
Seaboard region (c.f. Fig. 12b to a) to form an anomalous high pressure (Fig. 12c). The Atlantic Seaboard region is just located in the north of the anomalous high, where a wind vector anomaly from the west to the east is induced (see also in Fig. S3b). This explains the increase of zonal wind during HW periods relative to non-HW periods in this region (Fig. 11c). The northward movement of NASH also helps bring moisture from Northwest Atlantic and the Gulf of Mexico to the Atlantic Seaboard region, which contributes to the increase of specific humidity over the north and northeast (Fig. 11g).

The South region is affected by low-level jets in summer, which bring moist air from the Gulf of Mexico to the southern and central US (Higgins et al., 1997). But during the regional HW periods for the South region, the NASH retreats eastward (c.f. Fig. 12e to 14d), and anomalous high and low exist in the west and east of the South region, respectively (Fig. 12f). This anomalous pressure pattern leads to a southward wind vector anomaly (see also in Fig. S3e), which partly causes the reduction of the meridional wind in the South region (Fig. 11e). However, changes in the geopotential height fields cannot explain the increase of the zonal wind during HW periods in the South region. The southward wind vector anomaly also weakens the southwestern low-level jet and thus reduces moisture transport from the ocean to the land. This is consistent with the decreases in the PBL specific humidity in the South region (Fig. 11g).

The mean elevation of the three airports in the Plateau region is about 1500 m above the sea level. As a result, the geopotential height fields at 700 hPa, which approximately corresponds to the PBL height from the ground, are analyzed (Fig. 12g–i). Compared to non-HW periods, there is an anomalously high-pressure center over the Plateau region during HW periods (Fig. 12i). This anomalous high is usually a stationary system, which is often referred to as a blocking high (e.g., Coughlan, 1983). The anomalous high leads to a wind vector from the northeast to the southwest on its southern edge, which contributes to decreases of the zonal and meridional wind at ABQ (the southernmost airport within the Plateau region, Fig. 11c and e). The other two airports in the Plateau region (SLC and DEN) are located at the center of the anomalous high. Hence their changes in wind components are less affected by changes in the geopotential height field but may be more associated with changes in secondary-circulations.

Fig. 13 shows the differences of surface latent heat fluxes averaged over non-HW and HW periods for these three regions. In the Atlantic Seaboard region, surface evaporation is enhanced during HW periods (Fig. 13a), which contributes to the increases of specific humidity over this region as discussed in Section 3.2.3 (see also Fig. 11g). In the South region, surface latent heat flux decreases during HW periods (Fig. 13b). This is largely because surface evaporation is suppressed by the lack of soil water during HW periods. This contributes to the decreases of the specific humidity over this region under HWs (see Fig. 11g). In the Plateau region, surface latent heat flux has no significant HW-related changes (Fig. 13c), which is because surface evaporation is small during both HW and non-HW periods due to dry soil. The decrease of specific
humidity in the PBL (Fig. 11g) is possibly a result of the positive land-atmosphere feedbacks under dry conditions as already discussed in Section 3.2 (Santanello et al., 2007). That is, the sensible heat flux and the PBL height increase (see Fig. 6). In this process, the PBL specific humidity decreases as dry air is brought into the PBL by entrainment and the downward motion caused by the blocking high.

In summary, the HWs over the Atlantic Seaboard, South and Plateau regions are associated with the northward movement and strengthening of the NASH, the weakening of the southwestern LLJ and an anomalous high, respectively. These synoptic-scale circulation patterns have important implications for HW-related changes in the PBL. In addition, land-atmosphere feedbacks are expected to play an important role in controlling HW-related changes in the PBL, especially specific humidity. Table 2 summarizes the observed changes in PBL quantities and the possible causes. It can be seen that while many observed changes can be explained by the synoptic-scale circulation patterns and land-atmosphere feedbacks, the causes of some changes, such as increases of the meridional wind over the Atlantic Seaboard region and zonal wind over the South region, need to be further studied.

4. Conclusions

This study investigates the diurnal variations of the PBL characteristics under HWs at 54 major airports across CONUS based on a decade-long (from 2007 to 2016) data record of hourly profiles from commercial aircrafts (the AMDAR data). The HW periods at each airport are identified based on surface air temperature observations and defined as at least 2 consecutive days with daily mean temperature exceeding the 95th percentile of the warm season (May to September) climatology. The mean differences in the surface and PBL temperature, wind components, and specific humidity between HW and non-HW periods are analyzed. The AMDAR profiles have been extensively validated against collocated radiosonde data in our recent study (Zhang et al., 2019) but the consistency between the results using surface observations and AMDAR data averaged over 20–100 m provides further confidence to the quality of the AMDAR data.

Under HWs, heat domes are observed. During the daytime, the strong HW-related warming occurs throughout the PBL with the maximum near the surface, which contributes to the development of the convective PBL. At night, the strong HW-related warming above the PBL top is in favor of the formulation of deep and warm nocturnal residual layers. The heat stored in the nocturnal residual layer further contributes to the PBL warming in the following day.

There are clear vertical and diurnal variations in the PBL wind and humidity changes, which are also spatially non-uniform. Most (but not all) of the HW-related changes in wind components can be explained by changes in synoptic-scale atmospheric circulation patterns. In the northeastern US, HWs are usually accompanied by an anomalous high due to the enhancement and northward extension of NASH, which contributes to the increases in zonal wind. In Texas, the anomalous pressure field during HWs leads to a southward wind vector, which reduces the meridional wind.
The HW-related changes in the PBL specific humidity are associated with surface evaporation moisture transport, and dry air entrainment. In the northeast, the increases in PBL specific humidity are associated with enhanced moisture transport and surface evaporation under HWs. In contrary, the weakened moisture transport and surface evaporation contribute to the decreases in PBL specific humidity in Taxes during HWs. In the high elevation region, the specific humidity decreases likely due to the combined effects of land-atmosphere feedbacks, the large-scale subsidence under high pressure conditions, and dry air entrainment along with the PBL growth.

This study presents an important application of AMDAR data in meteorology and climatology, namely, examining the diurnal variations of PBL during HWs. While this study improves our scientific understanding of HW-related changes in the PBL structure and highlights their possible connections with the synoptic-scale atmospheric circulation patterns and land-atmosphere feedbacks, future research examining the role of PBL in the onset and demise of HWs and examining whether meteorological models can capture the observed response of the PBL to HWs is strongly needed.
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Fig. 12. Geopotential height fields during non-HW (left), HW periods (middle) and their differences (right) in (a-c) the Atlantic Seaboard region at 850 hPa, (d-f) the South region at 850 hPa, and (g-i) the Plateau region at 700 hPa. The thick solid line in (a-b) and (d-e) is the 1560 gpm contour line. Results have been averaged from 2007 to 2016 and units are m.

Fig. 13. Differences in surface latent heat flux (W/m²) from NARR daily data between HW and non-HW periods (HW minus non-HW) in (a) the Atlantic Seaboard region, (b) the South region, and (c) the Plateau region during 2007–2016.
Table 2
HW-related changes in the PBL and the possible causes over Atlantic Seaboard, South and Plateau regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>HW-related changes</th>
<th>Possible causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>All regions</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>HW definition is based on air temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonal wind</td>
<td>Atlantic Seaboard</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Synoptic wind vector anomaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>Not uniform</td>
<td>Synoptic wind vector anomaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meridional wind</td>
<td>Atlantic Seaboard</td>
<td>Mostly increase</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Mostly decrease</td>
<td>Synoptic wind vector anomaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>Not uniform</td>
<td>Synoptic wind vector anomaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific humidity</td>
<td>Atlantic Seaboard</td>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>Strengthened moisture transport and higher surface evaporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South</td>
<td>Mostly decrease</td>
<td>Weakened moisture transport and reduced surface evaporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>Decreases</td>
<td>Dry air subsidence and entainment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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