

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Mult Scler.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 October 09.

Published in final edited form as:

Mult Scler. 2019 July ; 25(8): 1162–1169. doi:10.1177/1352458518783343.

Lifetime Exposure to Ultraviolet Radiation and the Risk of Multiple Sclerosis in the U.S. Radiologic Technologists Cohort Study

Lisa G. Gallagher, DSc, MPH^{1,*}, Sindana Ilango, MPH², Annette Wundes, MD³, Gary A. Stobbe, MD³, Katherine W. Turk, MD³, Gary M. Franklin, MD, MPH⁴, Martha S. Linet, MD,MPH⁵, D. Michal Freedman, JD, PhD, MPH⁵, Bruce H. Alexander, PhD⁶, Harvey Checkoway, PhD, MPH^{2,7}

¹Department of Epidemiology, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA

²Department of Family Medicine and Public Health, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA

³Department of Neurology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

⁴Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

⁵National Cancer Institute, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, NIH, DHHS, Bethesda, MD

⁶Division of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota

⁷Department of Neurosciences, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA

Abstract

Background: Low exposure to ultraviolet radiation (UVR) from sunlight may be a risk factor for developing multiple sclerosis (MS). Possible pathways may be related to effects on immune system function or vitamin D insufficiency, as UVR plays a role in the production of the active form of vitamin D in the body.

Objective: This study examined whether lower levels of residential UVR exposure from sunlight were associated with increased MS risk in a cohort of radiologic technologists.

Methods: Participants in the third and fourth surveys of the U.S. Radiologic Technologists (USRT) Cohort study eligible (N=39,801) for analysis provided complete residential histories and reported MS diagnoses. MS-specialized neurologists conducted medical record reviews and confirmed 148 cases. Residential locations throughout life were matched to satellite data from NASA's Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer Project (TOMS) to estimate UVR dose.

^{*}Corresponding author: Lisa G. Gallagher, Boston University School of Public Health, Department of Epidemiology, 715 Albany Street, T3E, Boston, MA 02118, leg@bu.edu.

Results: Findings indicate that MS risk increased as average lifetime levels of UVR exposures in winter decreased. The effects were consistent across age groups <40 years. There was little indication that low exposures during summer or at older ages were related to MS risk.

Conclusions: Our findings are consistent with the hypothesis that UVR exposure reduces MS risk, and may ultimately suggest prevention strategies.

Introduction

The geographic distribution of multiple sclerosis (MS) prevalence and incidence suggests etiologic roles for environmental factors.¹ Studies conducted in the US, Australia, and New Zealand have found increasing MS prevalence with increasing latitude^{2,3}; a large metaanalysis of 650 MS prevalence estimates indicate a consistent association on a global scale.⁴ Latitude is strongly correlated with amount and intensity of sunlight, which may explain the inverse correlation frequently observed in epidemiologic studies.^{5,6}Additionally, MS risk declines among people who migrate from high-risk to low-risk areas.⁷ This decline is more evident when migration occurs during childhood, a possible indication of the importance of early sun exposure for the risk of MS.⁷ The mechanistic relationship between low exposure to ultraviolet radiation (UVR) and MS has not been fully elucidated, although it may be through immune system effects or through vitamin D insufficiency. UVR from sunlight is a major contributor to the synthesis of biologically active vitamin D. Vitamin D deficiency has been previously implicated in increased risk of MS.⁵

Several studies have examined risk of MS and UVR exposure, based on exposure assessment methods ranging from quantitative measures from satellite data^{8–12} to proxy measures for sun exposure.^{13–18} There is epidemiological evidence suggesting that low exposure to ambient UVR during early life may be associated with MS^{12,13} and earlier symptom onset.¹⁵ We conducted a study within a well-characterized U.S. nationwide prospective cohort to assess the quantitative relations between exposure to UVR over the lifetime, and subsequent risk of developing MS.

Methods

Study population

The study population was drawn from the U.S. Radiologic Technologists (USRT) study, a large prospective cohort composed of radiologic technologists residing throughout the U.S. and certified by the American Registry of Radiologic Technologists for at least 2 years between 1926 and 1982. Self-administered questionnaires were mailed to participants during four time periods (1983–1989, 1994–1998, 2003–2005, and 2012–2013). Previous publications describe the cohort in more detail^{19–21} and the study website (http:// radtechstudy.nci.nih.gov/) provides further information on the extensive health studies completed to date. Eligibility requirements for the current analysis include completion of the third and fourth questionnaires with complete data (N=39,801). The third questionnaire elicited information on lifetime residential history that was required for UVR dosimetry, time spent outdoors in summer on weekends and weekdays during age periods throughout life, history of sunburns, sun skin sensitivity characteristics, and demographic, health, and

lifestyle questions. Participants were asked about prior diagnoses of MS, including year of diagnosis, on both questionnaires. Participants were followed up from completion of the third survey until diagnosis of MS or completion of the fourth survey (2012-2013).

Human subjects review boards at the University of Minnesota (Minneapolis, MN), the National Cancer Institute (Bethesda, MD), Boston University, the University of Washington, and the University of California, San Diego approved the study.

Diagnostic Confirmation of MS

Self-reported diagnoses of MS were confirmed by retrieving medical records for review by study neurologists. Participants reporting a diagnosis were contacted to secure consent and appropriate Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) documentation to obtain relevant medical records. The request was sent initially as a letter and followed up by telephone in instances of non-response. Requests were forwarded to the physician or medical facility where the participant was diagnosed or treated, with follow-up telephone calls as needed to prompt a response or clarify the treating physician and facility and year of diagnosis. All medical records were reviewed to verify information pertaining to the diagnosis of MS, screened to ensure irrelevant records were not included, and de-identified prior to review.

A panel of study neurologists with clinical expertise in MS (G.A.S., A.W., G.M.F., and K.W.T.) conducted independent, blinded reviews of medical records for the McDonald MS diagnostic criteria^{22–24} including clinical and laboratory presentation, brain or spinal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), results from cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), visually evoked potential (VEP), and assessments using the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS).²⁵ The assessment included evaluating clinical presentation of the course of disease (relapse history, insidious or secondary progression) and symptoms (visual, gait, bladder/bowel, brain stem, cerebral, cerebella, sensory, other) by chart review to indicate possible diagnosis of MS. Medical records of each case were reviewed independently by two neurologists. If they did not reach the same diagnosis, the record was sent to a third tiebreaker neurologist to reach a consensus review. Final diagnoses were determined as consistent with definite MS (e.g. evidence of clinical and/or MRI progression), possible MS, not MS, or unknown. The year of MS diagnosis was abstracted from the record of confirmed cases.

There were 569 self-reported cases of MS in the USRT Cohort Study. Table 1 summarizes the outcome of locating, contacting and validating the medical records to confirm diagnoses of each self-reported case. Approximately 22% did not respond to requests to release medical records, 27% refused to release, 3% denied reporting MS and 1% were deceased, or otherwise unable to confirm (6%). Medical records were successfully obtained for 40% (n=228) of self-reported cases. Missing or incomplete address data required for UVR exposure assessment led us to exclude 25 MS cases. Among the remaining 203 records, study neurologists were able to reach consensus reviews of "definite MS" for 148 cases, "not MS" for 8 cases, "possible MS" for 24 cases and 5 cases were "unknown". In addition, there were 18 records where there was no consensus for the diagnosis; these participants were excluded from the analysis. All analyses therefore focused on the 148 definite MS cases (additional details are provided in Supplemental Table 1).

Assessment of UVR exposure

Estimates of UVR exposure at specific age intervals were derived by linking residential information with satellite data from NASA's Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) project.²⁶ For each participant, questionnaires ascertained the city, state and country of their longest residence during five age ranges: 0 to 12, 13 to 19, 20 to 39, 40 to 64 and >65 years old. In addition, they were asked the average number of hours spent in the sun from 9:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m. on a typical weekday and weekend day in the summer for each of the age ranges. Each residential address was located geographically to the primary post office serving that city using Google's geocoding service.²⁷ The data were geographically matched to the closet grid of TOMS data, which include estimates of daily erythemal exposure (J/m²) in 1.25° x 1.00° grids for most of the planet from 11/1978 to 8/2005. Pre-11/1978 and post-8/2005 doses were estimated by extrapolating data backward using the 1978 estimate and forward using the 2005 estimate.

During the winter months, there is reduced UVR in many areas of the country, while in summer UVR is higher, and also believed to be sufficient for vitamin D production.²⁸ Using TOMS data, we analyzed average UVR erythemal exposure data separately for winter (December through February), for summer (June through August), and as an annual measure (an average of all months) to characterize UVR exposure over a year. Exposure to ambient UVR was averaged annually for each ages 12, 13 to 19, 20 to 39, and 40 to 64 years, and was combined to estimate lifetime averages. We also analyzed time spent outdoors during summer, calculated as a weighted average from reported hours per day on weekdays and weekends (<1 hour, 1-2, 3-5, 5+ hours), and as a combined variable with ambient UVR exposure. Time spent outdoors was weighted as 0.1 hour if participants reported "0," since it is unlikely that individuals spent zero time outside, and midpoints for the remaining categories (1.5, 4, and 5.5 hours). Exposure categories were based on quartiles of the distribution of doses for the USRT study population during the age period 20 to 39 years, the time closest, and prior, to the age at diagnosis for MS.

Statistical Methods

We estimated risk of MS in relation to lifetime and age-specific UVR exposures, in which the cohort's follow-up for MS began at dates of birth since participants could report earlier diagnoses when completing the third survey. Follow-up ended at diagnosis dates for cases and at fourth survey completion for non-cases. Multivariable Cox regression models with attained age as the time variable were used to calculate the associations between MS and UVR exposures. Relative risk estimates, hazard ratios (HRs), and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated assessing UVR exposure in a time-dependent fashion while controlling for covariates, including birth cohort (<1945, 1945-1950, 1950-1955 and >1955), race (White, non-White), sex (male, female), smoking (never/ever) and baseline body mass index (BMI) (<18.5, 18.5-24.9, 25-29.9, and >30) as reported on the first or second survey. Categorical values were modeled as continuous to examine dose-response trends.

Page 4

Results

The study population was predominately (>90%) female, Caucasian and non-Hispanic (Table 2). The mean age at diagnosis for MS was 44 years old (standard deviation (SD)=10.4). Cases were more likely to have ever smoked compared to non-cases (56.8% vs. 48.6%). Cases were slightly more likely to have a healthy BMI compared to non-cases (70.3% vs. 65.2%) and somewhat less likely to be classified as obese (6.1% vs. 8.1%) (Table 2).

When ambient UVR exposure was examined as a lifetime average, there was a strong trend for increasing risk of MS with decreasing ambient UVR exposure during winter months but not in summer months (Table 3). The association for ambient winter UVR (but not summer) by age-specific period was consistent, particularly for the lowest exposure category (<22 J/m²) compared to the highest (>49 J/m²), for ages less than 40 years old (HR=1.59 (<12 yrs old), HR= 1.55 (13-19 ys old), HR=1.57 (20-39 yrs old)). When we adjusted for all other age-specific periods in each individual analysis, we found attenuation of the estimates (data not shown).

As expected, participants tended to report more time spent outdoors at younger ages and less time at older ages. Compared to 5+ hours/day, spending less than 1 hour/day at ages <12 years old (HR= 1.02, 95% CI 0.55-1.92) and ages 13 to 19 years old (HR= 1.31, 95% CI 0.65-2.62) did not show an association with risk of MS. Less than 1 hour/day spent outdoors in summer was shown to have a non-significant increased risk of MS at ages 20 to 39 years old (HR=1.92, 95% CI 0.59-6.20) and ages 40 to 64 (HR=1.71, 95% CI 0.42-7.05), as compared to 5+ hours/day. Results were similar when time outdoors was combined with ambient summer UVR into a weighted UVR measure. For <12 years old, 65% of non-cases and 68% of MS cases reported >3 hours per day spent outdoors in summer. In contrast, by ages 20 to 39 years old, 79% of non-cases and 68% of case reported <3 hours per day spent outdoors in summer. For sun susceptibility factors, we did not see any differences in risk of MS related to eye color, hair color, and complexion, skin reaction to first sun or skin reaction to repeated sun exposure (data not shown).

Discussion

Our findings add to the growing body of evidence that low exposure to UVR is a risk factor for MS. This association is especially prominent for very low UVR exposures, particularly when estimated as a lifetime average. Although UVR exposure in the summer months is believed to be sufficient for vitamin D production, in winter months some areas of the country have substantially reduced UVR exposure, thus increasing the risk of vitamin D deficiency.²⁸ Our findings supported this assessment by observing stronger inverse associations for ambient winter UVR than for ambient summer UVR.

A major strength of the current study was the ability to examine UVR exposure with quantitative measures, with wide variability across a large geographic area (United States), and at critical time points in life, particularly early in life. A summary of studies most pertinent to our study is available (Supplemental Table 2). Linking satellite data and

Gallagher et al.

residential history as in the current study and others¹⁴ has been described by Canadian investigators as a superior exposure measure to using latitude in studies of MS.²⁹ Unlike latitude and other geographic surrogates for UVR, the NASA TOMS data are collected on a daily basis with global coverage, providing actual estimates of seasonal and average annual UVR exposure.²⁶ The exposure estimate incorporates levels of atmospheric ozone, cloud cover, and the relationship (distance and angle) of the sun to the location, given the terrain (altitude) and time of year. Each of these factors affects the amount of UVR that reaches the surface of the earth and can result in differences between regions at the same latitude. For example, persistent cloud cover that occurs in some regions reduces UVR and atmospheric chemical processes can affect levels of ozone and protection it can provide in others.²⁶

Some studies using satellite sources of UVR data have been ecologic in nature ^{8,11} or had limits in sample size and geographic scope.¹⁰ Comparable studies examining UVR exposure across lifetime have found MS to be more strongly related to estimated UVR levels than to latitude.^{9–11} A study in Australia examined an early indicator of possible MS (first demyelinating event), used the NASA TOMS UVR data and other measures to estimate sun exposure starting at age 6, and found higher levels of past, recent, and accumulated exposure were each associated with reduced risk of a first event.¹⁴ Other studies of childhood and adolescent measures for UVR exposure found evidence that low exposure to ambient UVR may be associated with MS ^{12,13} and studies have consistently found month of birth to influence the risk of MS, particularly in areas with low sunlight exposure compared to areas with high sunlight exposure.¹⁶

The mechanistic pathway between UVR and MS has not been fully elucidated, although there are several possible explanations for the consistently observed association. While vitamin D serum levels were not measured in the current study, low UVR exposure can be considered a reasonable proxy for vitamin D deficiency. There is biological support for associations between vitamin D deficiency and increased risk of MS. Vitamin D targets nervous system tissues, regulating important neurotrophic factors in the brain, and also exerts effects on the differentiation and functioning of immune cells. ³⁰ An animal model of MS, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), can be strongly inhibited by the biologically active form of vitamin D (1,25(OH)₂D), whereas vitamin D deficiency results in increased susceptibility.^{31,32} UVR may have an effect on the immune system independent from its role in vitamin D production in the body. Experimental studies have demonstrated the suppression of EAE by UVR independent of vitamin D production^{33,34}, indicated that vitamin D deficiency suppresses EAE incidence and severity³⁵ and indicated that deletion of the VDR gene may actually protect against EAE.³⁶ The release of secondary mediators following absorption of UVR by photoreceptors can result in suppressed cell-mediated immunity.³³ Impairment of natural defense mechanisms could have a negative effect on certain health effects, such as skin cancer, but may be beneficial in preventing MS, an autoimmune disease.

We also acknowledge several study limitations. There may be some survival bias as the population had to survive through the fourth survey to be included in the analysis. MS is estimated to shorten life expectancy by 5 to 10 years.³⁷ Thus it is unlikely that many cases did not survive until their outcome was assessed. Although our ability to generate

Gallagher et al.

quantitative UVR exposure estimates is a strength of the study, some extrapolation of the UVR data was required because satellite data are not available for all years. However, variability of UVR exposure in a given location is primarily a function of season and is fairly stable across spans of several years. The data are still the most complete and accurate information available for the study period. There also may have been some error in reporting one address over a defined age period when a participant may have lived at more than one residence. In addition, we did not have data on serum vitamin D levels measured over time to corroborate the assumption that the association between UVR and MS may be a function of vitamin D levels. We also did not have data on sun protection behaviors. We mitigated misclassification confirming self-reported diagnoses of MS by conducting independent medical record reviews for a consensus diagnosis. We also did not have information on MS symptom onset dates. Initial symptoms may have limited mobility and time spent outdoors in the time periods leading up to diagnosis and may explain the observed results of that analysis. Personal time spent outdoors also relied on participant's recall, was restricted to summer, and reported by age-specific period as typical over many years.

This study provides supporting evidence that lower average lifetime exposure to low levels of UVR can increase subsequent risk of MS. These results are generalizable to adult women and men living and working across the United States. Future studies of UVR and MS should evaluate the reproducibility of the findings, incorporate multiple sources of vitamin D exposure and consider susceptibility factors, such as genetic markers, to elucidate pathogenesis mechanisms and identify susceptible subgroups.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

A.W. discloses that she has received research funding from Biogen Idec and Alkermes, but this support had no involvement in any study-related activities, interpretation of data, writing of the manuscript, or the decision to submit the report for publication.

This work was supported by a research grant from the National Multiple Sclerosis Society (RG4475A1). This work was also supported by funding from the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, and the U.S. Public Health Service of the Department of Health and Human Services.

References

- Simon KC, Munger KL, Ascherio A. Vitamin D and multiple sclerosis: epidemiology, immunology, and genetics. Curr Opin Neurol 2012;25:246–251. [PubMed: 22547098]
- 2. Kurtzke JF, Beebe GW, Norman JE Jr. Epidemiology of multiple sclerosis in U.S. veterans: 1. Race, sex, and geographic distribution. Neurology 1979;29:1228–1235 [PubMed: 573402]
- Miller DH, Hammond SR, McLeod JG, Purdie G, Skegg DC. Multiple sclerosis in Australia and New Zealand: are the determinants genetic or environmental? J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1990;53:903–905. [PubMed: 2266373]
- Simpson S Jr., Blizzard L, Otahal P, Van der Mei I, Taylor B. Latitude is significantly associated with the prevalence of multiple sclerosis: a meta-analysis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2011;82:1132–1141. [PubMed: 21478203]

- Ascherio A, Munger KL. Environmental risk factors for multiple sclerosis. Part II: Noninfectious factors. Annals of Neurology 2007;61:504–513. [PubMed: 17492755]
- Lucas RM, Byrne SN, Correale J, Ilschner S, Hart PH. Ultraviolet radiation, vitamin D and multiple sclerosis. Neurodegenerative disease management 2015;5:413–424. [PubMed: 26477548]
- Beretich BD, Beretich TM. Explaining multiple sclerosis prevalence by ultraviolet exposure: a geospatial analysis. Multiple Sclerosis 2009;15:891–898. [PubMed: 19667017]
- Orton SM, Wald L, Confavreux C, et al. Association of UV radiation with multiple sclerosis prevalence and sex ratio in France. Neurology 2011;76:425–431. [PubMed: 21282589]
- Ramagopalan SV, Handel AE, Giovannoni G, Rutherford Siegel S, Ebers GC, Chaplin G. Relationship of UV exposure to prevalence of multiple sclerosis in England. Neurology 2011;76:1410–1414. [PubMed: 21502600]
- Sloka JS, Pryse-Phillips WE, Stefanelli M. The relation of ultraviolet radiation and multiple sclerosis in Newfoundland. Can J Neurol Sci 2008;35:69–74. [PubMed: 18380280]
- Sloka S, Silva C, Pryse-Phillips W, Patten S, Metz L, Yong VW. A Quantitative Analysis of Suspected Environmental Causes of MS. Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences 2011;38:98– 105.
- 12. Tremlett H, Zhu F, Ascherio A, Munger KL. Sun exposure over the life-course and associations with multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2018: 90(14) e1191–e1199. [PubMed: 29514944]
- 13. Bjornevik K, Riise T, Casetta I, et al. Sun exposure and multiple sclerosis risk in Norway and Italy: The EnvIMS study. Milt Scler 2014;20:1042–1049.
- Lucas RM, Ponsonby AL, Dear K, et al. Sun exposure and vitamin D are independent risk factors for CNS demyelination. Neurology 2011;76:540–548. [PubMed: 21300969]
- 15. McDowell T-Y, Amr S, Culpepper WJ, et al. Sun exposure, vitamin D and age at disease onset in relapsing multiple sclerosis. Neuroepidemiology 2011;36:39–45. [PubMed: 21160231]
- Torkildsen O, Grytten N, Aarseth J, Myhr K-M, Kampman MT. Month of birth as a risk factor for multiple sclerosis: an update. Acta neurologica Scandinavica Supplementum 2012:58–62. [PubMed: 23278658]
- Kampman M, Wilsgaard T, Mellgren S. Outdoor activities and diet in childhood and adolescence relate to MS risk above the Arctic Circle. Journal of Neurology 2007;254:471–477. [PubMed: 17377831]
- van der Mei IAF, Ponsonby AL, Dwyer T, et al. Past exposure to sun, skin phenotype, and risk of multiple sclerosis: Case-control study. British Medical Journal 2003;327:316. [PubMed: 12907484]
- Boice JD Jr., Mandel JS, Doody MM, Yoder RC, McGowan R. A health survey of radiologic technologists. Cancer 1992;69:586–598. [PubMed: 1728391]
- Sigurdson AJ, Doody MM, Rao RS, et al. Cancer incidence in the US radiologic technologists health study, 1983-1998. Cancer 2003;97:3080–3089. [PubMed: 12784345]
- Zamoiski RD, Freedman DM, Linet MS, Kitahara CM, Liu W, Cahoon EK. Prospective study of ultraviolet radiation exposure and risk of breast cancer in the United States. Environ Res 2016;151:419–427. [PubMed: 27544330]
- 22. McDonald WI, Compston A, Edan G, et al. Recommended diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: guidelines from the International Panel on the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. Ann Neurol 2001;50:121–127. [PubMed: 11456302]
- 23. Polman CH, Reingold SC, Edan G, et al. Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2005 revisions to the "McDonald Criteria." Ann Neurol 2005;58:840–846. [PubMed: 16283615]
- 24. Polman CH, Reingold SC, Banwell B, et al. Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2010 revisions to the McDonald criteria. Ann Neurol 2011;69:292–302. [PubMed: 21387374]
- 25. Kurtzke JF. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: an expanded disability status scale (EDSS). Neurology 1983;33:1444–1452. [PubMed: 6685237]
- 26. Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS), Erythemal UV Exposure 2012. http:// toms.gsfc.nasa.gov/ery_uv/ (accessed 31 July 31, 2012)
- 27. Google Maps API. Google maps API geocoding, 2008. http://code.google.com/apis/maps/ documentation/services.html#Geocoding

- Holick MF. Vitamin D: A millenium perspective. J Cell Biochem 2003;88:296–307. [PubMed: 12520530]
- Schuurman N, Amram O, Saeedi J, Rieckmann P, Yee I, Tremlett H. A proposed methodology to estimate the cumulative life-time UVB exposure using geographic information systems: An application to multiple sclerosis. Multiple sclerosis and related disorders 2013;2:29–35. [PubMed: 25877452]
- Fernandes de Abreu DA, Eyles D, Féron F. Vitamin D, a neuro-immunomodulator: Implications for neurodegenerative and autoimmune diseases. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2009;34:S265–S277. [PubMed: 19545951]
- Cantorna MT, Hayes CE, DeLuca HF. 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3 reversibly blocks the progression of relapsing encephalomyelitis, a model of multiple sclerosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996;93:7861–7864. [PubMed: 8755567]
- 32. Spach KM, Nashold FE, Dittel BN, Hayes CE. IL-10 signaling is essential for 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin D3-mediated inhibition of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Journal of Immunology 2006;177:6030–6037.
- Becklund BR, Severson KS, Vang SV, DeLuca HF. UV radiation suppresses experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis independent of vitamin D production. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010;107:6418–6423. [PubMed: 20308557]
- Wang Y, Marling SJ, McKnight SM, Danielson AL, Severson KS, Deluca HF. Suppression of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by 300-315nm ultraviolet light. Arch Biochem Biophys 2013;536:81–86. [PubMed: 23747577]
- DeLuca HF, Plum LA. Vitamin D deficiency diminishes the severity and delays onset of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Arch Biochem Biophys 2011;513:140–3 [PubMed: 21784056]
- 36. Wang Y, Marling SJ, Zhu JG, Severson KS, DeLuca HF. Development of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) in mice requires vitamin D and the vitamin D receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012;109:8501–8504. [PubMed: 22592802]
- Bronnum-Hansen H, Koch-Henriksen N, Stenager E. Trends in survival and cause of death in Danish patients with multiple sclerosis. Brain 2004;127:844–850. [PubMed: 14960501]

-

_

Table 1.

Enrollment and ascertainment of cases.

Outcome for Cases Contacted for Confirmation in MS Study (N=569)	n	%
Medical records obtained	228	40%
Refused to release medical record	154	27%
No response to request for medical record	127	22%
Unable to validate self-reported MS	52	9%
Deceased	8	1%
Outcome of Medical Record Review (n=228)		
Diagnosis classified as 'definite MS'	148	65%
Medical Records Excluded		
Missing or incomplete data for UVR assessment*	25	11%
No consensus for diagnosis	18	8%
Diagnosis classified as 'not MS'	8	4%
Diagnosis classified as 'unknown'	5	2%
Diagnosis classified as 'possible MS'	24	11%

MS: multiple sclerosis; UVR: ultraviolet radiation

^{*a*}Participant medical records were reviewed for diagnostic confirmation concurrently to conducting UVR exposure assessments. As a result, some cases (n=25; 24 definite MS cases and 1 possible MS case) were excluded due to incomplete or missing residential information to spatially locate and match to satellite data for UVR exposure.

Table 2.

Selected demographic characteristics of study population, USRT Cohort Study (first and second questionnaires).

Characteristic	MS (n	Cases =148)	Non- (n=3	cases 9,653)
Gender				
Male	11	7.4%	7635	19.3%
Female	137	92.6%	32018	80.7%
Birth year				
<1930	0	0.0%	1067	2.7%
1930-1935	1	0.7%	1674	4.2%
1935-1940	4	2.7%	3212	8.1%
1940-1945	19	12.8%	5513	13.9%
1945-1950	31	20.9%	8635	21.8%
1950-1955	51	34.5%	10991	27.7%
>1955	42	28.4%	8561	21.6%
Race				
White	146	98.6%	38145	96.2%
Non-White	2	1.4%	1508	3.8%
Ethnicity				
Non-Hispanic	147	99.3%	38746	97.7%
Hispanic	1	0.7%	826	2.1%
Missing	0	0.0%	81	0.2%
Education				
High School or vocational school	5	3.4%	1521	3.8%
College or graduate school	55	37.2%	19073	48.1%
2-year hospital rad tech program	76	51.4%	19004	47.9%
Missing	12	8.1%	55	0.1%
Smoking				
Never	64	43.2%	20341	51.3%
Ever	84	56.8%	19262	48.6%
Missing	0	0.0%	50	0.1%
Baseline BMI				
Underweight (<18.5)	8	5.4%	1434	3.6%
Healthy (18.5-24.9)	104	70.3%	25868	65.2%
Overweight (25-29.9)	26	17.6%	8666	21.9%
Obese (>30)	9	6.1%	3218	8.1%
Missing	1	0.7%	467	1.2%

USRT: US Radiologic Technologists; MS: multiple sclerosis; BMI: body mass index

Lifetion thread2.24.42.001.216.36.156.156.156.161.91 <th1.91< th="">1.91</th1.91<>	Age	Ambient Winter UVR	No. cases	HR	95%CI			Ambient Summer UVR	No. cases	HR	95%C	_		Ambient Annual UVR	No. cases	HR	95%C	Ι	
Maretione 23:31 66 180 110 5 295 18-195 35 056 057 5 136 105-112 04 123 074 5 13 31-49 31 134 0.9 5 2.0 95-32 5 1.1 4 1.0 0.1	Lifetime	<22	44	2.00	1.21	'	3.30	<185	45	1.25	0.77	'	2.03	<103	39	1.50	0.91	'	2.49
	Average	22-31	46	1.80	1.10	ı	2.95	185-195	33	0.96	0.57	'	1.61	103-112	40	1.22	0.74	ľ	2.01
>49 24 Ref. >223 26 Ref. >101 25 Ref. prend 0.084 1.50 0.084 2.61 9.65 1.30 0.33 2.146 0.31 2.0 86 1.3 0.3 2.146 0.31 2.0 87.5 1.3 0.3 2.147 0.3 2.3 1.241 2.6 0.35 1.4 0.3 2.4 0.3 0.4 0.3 </td <td></td> <td>31-49</td> <td>34</td> <td>1.34</td> <td>0.79</td> <td>1</td> <td>2.26</td> <td>195-232</td> <td>44</td> <td>0.85</td> <td>0.52</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.38</td> <td>112-141</td> <td>44</td> <td>1.09</td> <td>0.67</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.78</td>		31-49	34	1.34	0.79	1	2.26	195-232	44	0.85	0.52	1	1.38	112-141	44	1.09	0.67	1	1.78
prend 004 015 prend 015 016 013 016 013 016 013 014 013 013 014 013 01		>49	24	Ref.				>232	26	Ref.				>141	25	Ref.			
1 <22 5 1 0 1 0		p-trend		0.004				p-trend		0.15				p-trend		0.03			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	<22	56	1.59	0.95		2.67	<185	56	1.18	0.73	'	1.90	<103	52	1.26	0.78	1	2.06
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		22-31	41	1.17	0.68	ı	2.00	185-195	40	0.88	0.53	'	1.46	103-112	46	0.93	0.57	1	1.53
>49 20 Ref. >141 24 Ref. >141 24 Ref. $ptend$ 002 1.53 $ptend$ 0.33 1.91 24 Ref. 003 $13-19$ <22 1.53 0.94 2.57 185.95 1.91 0.32 1.94 0.91 0.69 0.91 0.61 0.93 1.94 0.91 0.67 1.93 85.95 1.92 0.88 0.91 1.94 0.91 0.61 1.94 0.81 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94 0.61 1.94		31-49	31	1.10	0.63	I.	1.93	195-232	28	0.71	0.41	1	1.23	112-141	26	0.69	0.39	1	1.20
prend 002 prend 0.3 prend 0.9 0.9 1.4 0.9 0.9 1.4 0.1 0.9 1.4 1.4 0.4 0.4 1.4 0.4 0.4 1.4 0.4 1.4 0.4 0.4 1.4 0.4 1.4 0.4 1.4 0.4 0.4 1.4 0.4 1.4 0.4 1.4 0.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.4 <th1< td=""><td></td><td>>49</td><td>20</td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>>232</td><td>24</td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>>141</td><td>24</td><td>Ref.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th1<>		>49	20	Ref.				>232	24	Ref.				>141	24	Ref.			
13-19 < 23 < 1.53 < 0.64 < 2.57 < 185 < 2.57 < 185 < 1.36 < 1.36 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 1.46 < 0.86 < -1 < 0.66 < -1 < 0.66 < -1 < 0.66 < -1 < 0.66 < -1 < 0.66 < 0.66 < 0.66 < 0.66 < 0.66 < 0.66 < 0.66 < 0.66 < 0.66 < 0.66 < 0.66 < 0.66 < 0.66 < 0.66		p-trend		0.02				p-trend		0.30				p-trend		0.09			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13-19	<22	55	1.55	0.94	1	2.57	<185	52	1.19	0.73	1	1.96	<103	50	1.46	0.88	1	2.44
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		22-31	40	1.13	0.67	I.	1.93	185-195	41	0.98	0.59	1	1.64	103-112	44	1.07	0.64	1	1.81
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		31-49	32	1.12	0.64	ı.	1.94	195-232	32	0.88	0.51	ľ	1.50	112-141	33	1.04	0.6	'	1.80
p-trend 003 p-trend 0.45 p-trend 0.16 20-39 <22 44 1.57 0.97 <2.53 <185 <105 <1.32 0.14 <1.32 <0.14 <1.32 <0.14 <1.32 <0.14 <1.32 <0.14 <1.32 <0.14 <1.32 <0.14 <1.32 <0.14 <1.32 <0.14 <1.32 <0.14 <1.32 <0.14 <1.32 <0.14 <0.12 <0.14 <0.14 <0.12 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 <0.14 $<$		>49	21	Ref.				>232	23	Ref.				>141	21	Ref.			
20-39 < 22 < 157 < 0.97 < 2.53 $< 185 \cdot 195$ < 1.05 < 1.05 < 1.05 < 1.03 < 1.32 < 0.84 < 1.2 $22-31$ < 0.97 < 1.03 < 0.65 < 1.63 $< 103 \cdot 112$ < 38 < 1.02 < 0.64 < 1.24 $22-31$ < 1.24 < 0.75 < 2.20 $< 195 \cdot 232$ < 30 < 0.79 < 0.49 < 1.24 < 31 < 0.89 < 0.64 < 1.24 > 49 < 27 $< 8et$ < 1.29 < 0.82 < 2.20 < 35 $< 8et$ < 1.24 < 0.89 < 0.54 < 1.24 > 496 < 1.31 0.79 < 2.15 < 1.56 < 1.56 < 1.24 < 0.89 0.54 < 1.24 $40-64$ < 27 < 1.31 0.79 < 1.24 < 1.24 < 1.24 < 0.84 < 0.84 < 0.84 < 0.84 < 0.84 < 0.84 < 0.84 < 0.84 < 0.84 < 0.84		p-trend		0.03				p-trend		0.45				p-trend		0.16			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20-39	<22	44	1.57	0.97	1	2.53	<185	43	1.05	0.67	1	1.65	<103	43	1.32	0.84	1	2.08
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		22-31	40	1.35	0.83	ı	2.21	185-195	37	1.03	0.65	ı	1.63	103-112	38	1.02	0.64	ľ	1.62
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		31-49	34	1.24	0.75	1	2.05	195-232	30	0.79	0.49	1	1.29	112-141	31	0.89	0.54	1	1.45
p-trend 0.05 p-trend 0.65 p-trend 0.24 $40-64$ <22 35 1.31 0.79 <215 <185 <152 <152 <153 <153 <151 <152 <152 <151 $152 <151 152 12 12 12 12 22 12 13 128 0.81 <22 22-31 23 0.81 <22 12 12 12 12 12 22 12 $		>49	27	Ref.				>232	35	Ref.				>141	33	Ref.			
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		p-trend		0.05				p-trend		0.65				p-trend		0.24			
22-31 23 0.87 0.50 - 1.51 185-195 19 0.85 0.47 - 1.55 103-112 25 1.03 0.59 - 1 31-49 17 0.68 0.37 - 1.24 195-232 27 1.01 0.58 - 1.74 112-141 23 0.94 0.53 - 1 >49 28 Ref. >232 25 Ref. >141 24 Ref. p-trend 0.61 p-trend 0.87 0.87 p-trend 0.74	40-64	<22	35	1.31	0.79	'	2.15	<185	32	1.15	0.68	'	1.94	<103	31	1.38	0.81	1	2.35
31-49 17 0.68 0.37 - 1.24 195-232 27 1.01 0.58 - 1.74 112-141 23 0.94 0.53 - 1 >49 28 Ref. >232 25 Ref. >141 24 Ref. 141 24 Ref. p-trend 0.61 p-trend 0.87 p-trend 0.74 0.74 0.74		22-31	23	0.87	0.50	1	1.51	185-195	19	0.85	0.47	1	1.55	103-112	25	1.03	0.59	1	1.81
>49 28 Ref. >232 25 Ref. 241 24 Ref. p-trend 0.61 p-trend 0.87 p-trend 0.74		31-49	17	0.68	0.37	,	1.24	195-232	27	1.01	0.58	'	1.74	112-141	23	0.94	0.53	1	1.67
p-trend 0.61 p-trend 0.87 p-trend 0.74		>49	28	Ref.				>232	25	Ref.				>141	24	Ref.			
		p-trend		0.61				p-trend		0.87				p-trend		0.74			

Mult Scler. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 October 09.

Gallagher et al.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 3.

Risk of MS and ambient UVR exposure by age-specific period and season.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Exposure categories based on the distribution of doses for the USRT study population during the age period 20-39 years, the time closest, and prior, to the age at diagnosis for multiple sclerosis. Participants missing UVR data for one or more addresses are excluded. Categorical values modeled as continuous for trend tests. Results not shown for ages 65+ because only 1 MS cases diagnosed during that period. All analyses adjusted for birth cohort (<1945, 1945-1950, 1950-1955, and >1955), race (White, non-White) and sex (male, female). Cox proportional hazards analysis using attained age as time scale. UVR in units of J/m².