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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Individuals with reading disability and individuals with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) are characterized, respectively, by their difficulties in reading and social communication, but both groups often have impaired phonological working memory (PWM). It is not known whether the impaired PWM reflects distinct or shared neuroanatomical abnormalities in these two diagnostic groups.

METHODS: White-matter structural connectivity via diffusion weighted imaging was examined in 64 children, age 5 to 17 years, with reading disability, ASD, or typical development, who were matched on age, gender, intelligence, and diffusion data quality.

RESULTS: Children with reading disability and children with ASD exhibited reduced PWM compared with children with typical development. The two diagnostic groups showed altered white matter microstructure in the temporoparietal portion of the left arcuate fasciculus and in the occipitotemporal portion of the right inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF), as indexed by reduced fractional anisotropy and increased radial diffusivity. Moreover, the structural integrity of the right ILF was positively correlated with PWM ability in the two diagnostic groups but not in the typically developing group.

CONCLUSIONS: These findings suggest that impaired PWM is transdiagnostically associated with shared neuroanatomical abnormalities in ASD and reading disability. Microstructural characteristics in left arcuate fasciculus and right ILF may play important roles in the development of PWM. The right ILF may support a compensatory mechanism for children with impaired PWM.
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matter (WM) microstructure in poor readers has also been observed in the inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF), which connects anterior temporal cortex with occipital cortex, constituting a ventral pathway for visual and auditory processing (24,25).

Many studies report WM differences in ASD as measured by diffusion tensor imaging, but specific findings vary widely (26,27). Some reported increased radial diffusivity (RD) in the left AF, accompanied by decreased left-lateralized mean diffusivity (MD) and FA in children with ASD (28,29), but others have reported more widespread WM changes (30). Abnormalities in the left AF have also been found in children with ASD (28–30), see review (31) and with altered left AF measures (streamline length and MD) correlated with expressive language ability (32). In one study, when head movements were carefully controlled, the only difference in ASD was decreased FA in the right ILF (33). No study has examined the specific relation of WM microstructure to PWM or reading ability in ASD, despite the multiple reports of impaired PWM in ASD.

Here, we asked whether a common weakness in PWM reflects shared or disparate WM microstructural anomalies in reading disability and ASD. If common WM microstructural anomalies are found in relation to impaired PWM in reading disability and ASD, the PWM deficits can be interpreted transdiagnostically at the behavioral and the neuroanatomical level. We hypothesized that common WM microstructural anomalies might occur in the left AF and right ILF. On the other hand, if distinct WM microstructural anomalies are found in reading disability and ASD, then the PWM deficits more likely reflect shared behavioral manifestations of two distinct pathophysiological mechanisms.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Participants

There were 29 children with reading disability (poor readers), 41 children with ASD, and 75 TD children recruited from the Boston area of the United States. After screening for data quality (see Image Data Acquisition and Image Data Analysis, below) and matching for demographic characteristics, 64 children (19 poor readers, 25 children with ASD, and 20 TD children) ages 5 to 17 years were included in this study (Table 1). All children were native speakers of American English, were right-handed, were born at 32 or more weeks gestational age, had normal hearing and nonverbal cognitive ability, and had no history of head injury or comorbid psychiatric or neurological conditions or any genetic disorders associated with autism (e.g., fragile X syndrome). The three groups of children did not differ significantly on age ($F_{2,61} = .91, p = .41$), nonverbal IQ (Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test, Second Edition, $F_{2,61} = 1.86, p = .16$) (34), or gender ratio (Kruskal–Wallis test, $\chi^2 = .20, df = 2, p = .90$). This study was approved by the Committee on the Use of Humans as Experimental Subjects at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Participant Groups

The three groups of children were defined by exclusionary and inclusionary criteria. Children in the poor reader group had standard scores below 90 (below 25th percentile) on at least two of the four subtests: word identification and word attack in the Woodcock Reading Mastery Test–Revised Normative Update (35) and sight word efficiency and phonemic decoding efficiency in the Test of Word Reading Efficiency (36). A composite reading score was derived by averaging the standard scores of the four subtests to provide an overall estimate of reading ability. In addition, sentence-level reading ability was assessed by administering the reading fluency subtest in the Woodcock-Johnson III Tests of Achievement (37). Children were included in the ASD group if they had a community-based clinical diagnosis of ASD that was confirmed by trained research staff using the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS/ADOS-2) Module 3/4. To quantify the severity of the autism symptomatology, we converted participants’ ADOS scores to autism severity scores by using the calibrated severity metrics (38,39). Participants in the TD group scored within normal limits on the above standardized assessments of reading and ADOS and had no first-degree relatives with reading disabilities or ASD (details in Supplement 1).

PWM Measures

Four subtests (elision, blending words, memory for digits, and nonword repetition) from the Comprehensive Test of Phono- logical Processing (40) and the Children’s Test of Nonword Repetition (41) were used to measure participants’ PWM (task details in Supplement 1). An intraclass correlation analysis showed high-level consistency among the five subtests (Intra-class correlation = .694, $p < .001$, Table S1 in Supplement 1). Thus, a composite score was calculated for each participant by averaging the Z-transformed scores of the five tests to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Group Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor Readers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonverbal IQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Ratio (F:M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism Severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentence Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers outside and inside the parentheses indicate mean and standard deviation, respectively. Nonverbal IQ was measured by Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test Matrix subtest (34). Autism severity was measured with the standardized calibrated severity score, which ranges from 1 to 10 (38,39). Word reading was measured with the average of the standard scores across four reading tests: word identification, word attack, sight word efficiency, and phonemic decoding proficiency. Sentence reading was measured with the standard score of the sentence reading fluency subtest of the Woodcock-Johnson III Tests of Achievement (37). Language was measured with the core language score from Clinical Evaluation of Language Fundamentals, Fourth Edition (81) based on the sum of the scale scores of age-appropriate subtests, including concepts and following directions, reciting sentences, formulating sentences, word structure, word classes, and word definitions.

$^a$Statistical significance compared with TD: $p < .001$. $^b$Statistical significance compared with TD: $p < .01$. 
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provide a more reliable measure of PWM ability than any individual test.

**Image Data Acquisition**

Participants were trained to lie still in a mock scanner 30 minutes before imaging. A person with expertise in image data analysis oversaw the scan sessions and inspected the raw diffusion-weighted image data for visible motion immediately after scanning. In cases of excessive motion (4.1% of the initial sample), the scan was repeated either in the same or a different session. This process ensured that all raw diffusion-weighted images were free of visible motion (details in Supplement 1).

**Anatomical Imaging.** A whole-head, high-resolution T1-weighted multiecho magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient-echo anatomical volume was acquired: repetition time = 2530 ms, echo time = 1640 ms, inversion time = 1400 ms, flip angle = 7°, field of view = 220 × 220, interleaved slice number = 176 slices, slice thickness = 1 mm, in-plane resolution = 1.0 mm².

**Diffusion Tensor Imaging.** Repetition time = 9300 ms, echo time = 84 ms, inversion time = 2500 ms, flip angle = 90°, field of view = 256 × 256, in-plane resolution = 2.0 mm², slice thickness = 2 mm, 10 baseline volumes (b = 0), and 30 diffusion-weighted volumes (b = 700 sec/mm²) with 74 slices per volume.

**Image Data Analysis**

Individual data quality was screened by DTIprep, a quality-control software that allows automatic evaluation of the quality of diffusion images, b-values, and gradient directions (42). Poor data quality resulted in removal of 14.5% of the initial sample from further analysis. Then, TRActs Constrained by UnderLying Anatomy (TRACULA) (43) was used to quantitatively assess data quality by calculating two motion (frame-to-frame translation and rotation parameters) and two intensity differences on these data quality measures (translation: \( F_{2,61} = .242, p = .786 \); rotation: \( F_{2,61} = .593, p = .556 \); signal-dropout score: \( F_{2,61} = .665, p = .518 \); percent of bad slices: \( F_{2,61} = .686, p = .507 \)).

Standard data processing was conducted in TRACULA. TRACULA performs automated global probabilistic tractography that estimates the posterior probability of each of 18 WM tracts. Segmented anatomical images of the same participants were used to facilitate the estimation. The default procedures can calculate either the posterior mean or maximum of a posteriori pathways for each participant. Here, the posterior means were used. FA, MD, RD, and axial diffusivity were calculated both over the whole pathway and along each measurement point over the arc of the pathway (43) (details in Supplement 1).

Figure 1. Group means of phonological working memory performance in poor reader group, autism spectrum disorder (ASD) group, and age-, IQ-, and gender-matched typically developing (TD) group. Phonological working memory composite scores were averaged across the Z-normed scores of five subtests. **p < .01.

**RESULTS**

**Shared PWM Deficits in Poor Readers and ASD**

Both the poor reader group (mean [M] = −.26, SD = .83) and ASD group (M = −.11, SD = .66) had lower composite PWM scores than the TD group (M = .44, SD = .47) (\( t_{37} = −3.26, p = .002 \) for poor reader vs. TD; \( t_{43} = −3.14, p = .003 \) for ASD vs. TD) (Figure 1). The poor reader and ASD groups did not differ significantly from one another (\( t_{42} = .43, p = .51 \)). These results were confirmed in a linear regression model controlling for age and using group as an independent variable (Table 2). Standard scores for each subtest are presented in Table S1 in Supplement 1.
Table 2. Group Comparison Statistics on PWM and White-Matter Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor Reader Vs. TD</th>
<th>ASD Vs. TD</th>
<th>ASD Vs. Poor Reader</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PWM</td>
<td>$F_{1,34} = 6.25, p = .017$</td>
<td>$F_{1,40} = 10.73, p = .002$</td>
<td>$F_{1,41} = .55, p = .463$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TP-AF (FA)</td>
<td>$F_{1,36} = 13.03, p &lt; .001$</td>
<td>$F_{1,42} = 12.87, p &lt; .001$</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TP-AF (RD)</td>
<td>$F_{1,36} = 26.85, p &lt; .001$</td>
<td>$F_{1,42} = 12.15, p &lt; .001$</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right OT-ILF (FA)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>$F_{1,42} = 10.53, p &lt; .002$</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right OT-ILF (RD)</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>$F_{1,42} = 15.86, p &lt; .001$</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age was included as a covariate in all analyses of covariance.

ASD, autism spectrum disorder; FA, fractional anisotropy; ns, not significant; OT-ILF, occipitotemporal portion of the inferior longitudinal fasciculus; PWM, phonological working memory; RD, radial diffusivity; TD, typically developing; TP-AF, temporoparietal portion of the arcuate fasciculus.

Shared WM Alterations in Poor Readers and ASD

Shared patterns of WM alterations were found in the left AF and right ILF for both the poor reader and ASD groups compared with the TD group (Figure 2A, uncorrected $p$). After correcting for multiple comparisons, the significant WM structural differences were found to share the same location for the poor reader and ASD groups (Figure 2A). Specifically, in the left temporoparietal portion of the AF (TP-AF; six points, peak position, $x$, $y$, $z = -37$, $-45$, 13; Figure 2A), the poor reader and ASD groups had significantly lower FA than the TD group (Figure 2B). In the same location (TP-AF, Figure 2A), the poor reader and ASD groups showed significantly higher RD than the TD group (Figure 2A, C). In the right occipitotemporal portion of the inferior longitudinal fasciculus (OT-ILF) (seven points, peak position, $x$, $y$, $z = 33$, $-59$, 0), the ASD group had significantly lower FA and higher RD (Figure 2A) than the TD group. Table 2 summarizes significant group differences. The diffusion measures of the right ILF in the poor reader group were between those found for TD and ASD but did not differ significantly from either group (Figure 2A–C). Direct comparisons between the poor reader and ASD groups did not reveal any significant differences on any diffusion measure in either tract after multiple comparison correction. There were no differences between any pairs of group in MD or axial diffusivity of either tract after correction. No group differences were found in any microstructural measures of either right AF or left ILF.

To validate the specificity of our a priori hypothesis, analyses of group differences across all 18 tracts were conducted on FA and RD. The left TP-AF and right OT-ILF were the only two areas that differentiated the disordered groups from the TD group ($p < .05$, corrected), with the

Figure 2. White-matter structural differences in poor reader group or autism spectrum disorder (ASD) group vs. age-, IQ-, and gender-matched typically developing (TD) group. (A) The poor reader (left panel) and ASD (right panel) groups exhibited decreased fractional anisotropy (FA) (top row) and increased radial diffusivity (RD) (bottom row) in the left (L) arcuate fasciculus (AF) (left column in each panel) and in the right (R) inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF) (right column in each panel). For visualization purposes, the diameter of each measurement point was increased to form a continuous fiber tract in both Figure 2 and Figure 3. Coloration along the tracts represents the uncorrected continuous $p$, from higher $p$ in red through lower $p$ in yellow. Portions of each tract that were significantly different between groups after correction for multiple comparisons are outlined in black; a black arrow next to the black outline indicates the position of peak group difference. The white arrow above the color bar indicates the color range for height threshold ($p < .005$). (B) Average FA and (C) RD extracted from the portion or tracts outlined in black in each group. Error bars represent standard deviation. The bar graphs were used to demonstrate the pattern of group difference, and no statistical tests were conducted.
clinical groups exhibiting decreased FA and increased RD relative to the TD group. We also compared groups on the tract averages of FA and RD for the left AF and right ILF. Largely consistent with the point-by-point analysis, the poor reader and ASD groups exhibited significantly decreased FA and increased RD in the left AF. The ASD group showed significantly decreased FA and increased RD in the right ILF, with the poor reader group falling in between the ASD and the TD groups (Supplement 1).

Association Between Structural Connectivity and PWM

We examined the relation of PWM ability to the left AF and the right ILF first by combining all three groups in a linear regression. There was a significant positive relation between the PWM scores and FA (Z-normed) in both the left TP-AF (6 points, peak position, x, y, z = −35, −45, 17, \( \beta = .392, R^2 = .154, p = .001 \)) and right OT-ILF (15 points, peak position, x, y, z = 32, −61, 6, \( \beta = .474, R^2 = .225, p < .001 \)) (Figure 3A). The relation remained significant while controlling for the effects of age (Table 3). The FA in the left TP-AF and right OT-ILF together explained 34% of the variance in PWM scores (\( F_{3,61} = 10.467, p < .001 \)). Patterns of association between PWM and FA were replicated in the analysis on RD (Supplement 1). No significant results or similar patterns were found in axial diffusivity or MD, and no significant results were found in other parts of either the left AF or the right ILF.

The relations between PWM scores and WM diffusion measures were further examined with linear regression models within each participant group. PWM scores and FA in the right OT-ILF were significantly correlated in both the poor reader group (\( \beta = .509, R^2 \text{ change } = .318, p = .007 \)) and the ASD group (\( \beta = .351, R^2 \text{ change } = .253, p = .006 \)) but not in the TD group (\( \beta = .040, R^2 \text{ change } = .048, p = .731 \), Figure 3B). The relation between PWM score and FA of the right OT-ILF for the poor reader and ASD groups remained significant after controlling for age (Table 3). No significant relationship was found between PWM scores and FA in the left TP-AF for any group (poor readers: \( \beta = .200, R^2 \text{ change } = .0008, p = .335 \); ASD: \( \beta = .191, R^2 \text{ change } = .044, p = .16 \); TD: \( \beta = 1.676, R^2 \text{ change } = .067, p = .560 \)). We observed similar patterns using RD as the dependent variable (Supplement 1). Because the right ILF was found previously to be specifically atypical in children with ASD (33), we examined the relations between FA of the right OT-ILF and autism severity defined by the standardized severity score on the ADOS (38,39). There was no significant association within any group (poor readers: \( \beta = .005, R^2 \text{ change } = .059, p = .813 \); ASD: \( \beta = −.013, R^2 \text{ change } = .015, p = .258 \); TD: \( \beta = .012, R^2 \text{ change } = .059, p = .815 \)).

DISCUSSION

In this study, both children who were poor readers and children with ASD exhibited impaired PWM and shared WM microstructure anomalies in left AF and right ILF relative to TD children. For both tracts, the poor reader and ASD groups exhibited decreased FA and increased RD, consistent with the idea that these tracts were less developed relative to the TD.
group. RD may be especially sensitive to myelination differences as opposed to axon fibers ([47,48] but see [49]). Further, increased FA and decreased RD in the right ILF correlated with better PWM among the poor reader and ASD groups, consistent with the hypothesis that the right hemisphere plays a more prominent role in language processing in these groups of children than in typically developing children. The striking similarities of altered WM organization in both clinical groups provide strong evidence for a transdiagnostic neuroanatomical basis of reduced PWM.

**PWM in Poor Readers and ASD**

In this study, PWM ability was measured with a composite score combining children’s performance on tests of phonological awareness (elision and blending words) and verbal short-term memory (nonword repetition and memory for digits). Performance on all these tasks reflects the ability to maintain and manipulate auditory verbal or phonological information in short-term memory [e.g., (50)]. Such PWM deficits have been well documented in separate studies of children with reading disability (6,51–53) or ASD (8,11,16). Our results show directly that a similar impairment of PWM is shared across poor readers and the age-, IQ-, and gender-matched children with ASD.

The present study included children with ASD with both intact and impaired language skills to avoid an arbitrarily categorical definition of language deficit. Thus, analyses were based on a continuous range of language performance within the ASD group. Although the poor reader and ASD groups were similarly impaired on PWM tasks, the ASD group performed significantly better than the poor reader group on reading tasks. The reading scores of children with ASD were near the standardized mean of 100 but significantly lower than the scores of the TD group. The different relation between PWM scores and reading scores in the ASD and poor reader groups is consistent with previous reports that difficulties in PWM and reading are variable despite the prominent role of phonological abilities in reading acquisition (51,54).

### Atypical White Matter of the Left AF

We found shared WM abnormalities in the left AF across the poor reader and ASD groups. This finding is consistent with prior studies examining either poor readers (55) or ASD (31). The shared WM anomaly for the two groups was striking in that it occurred at the same location of the left TP-AF. Anatomically, for a large pathway like the AF, different subgroups of fibers join the pathway for part of its trajectory, merging on or off at different points along the AF (43,47,56–59). Compared with other portions of the left AF, these fibers arch around the TP region and line up temporarily in parallel before fanning out toward dorsal parietal and frontal areas. It is unknown whether this anatomical feature of the TP region is related to pathological susceptibility and which subgroups of fibers are affected in poor readers and children with ASD.

The left AF connects critical nodes of the language and reading network, including the posterior superior temporal gyrus and the inferior frontal gyrus, by passing through the left TP region and line up temporarily in parallel before fanning out toward dorsal parietal and frontal areas. It is unknown whether this anatomical feature of the TP region is related to pathological susceptibility and which subgroups of fibers are affected in poor readers and children with ASD.

Despite evidence linking the left AF to PWM, we did not find a significant correlation between PWM and left AF properties within any single participant group. Thus, the significant correlation between PWM and FA of the left TP-AF across all groups was driven by group differences and not related to variability within any group. The lack of such a relation may reflect the large age range of the present study (5 to 17 years).

### Table 3. Correlation Between PWM and White-Matter Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Poor Reader</th>
<th>ASD</th>
<th>TD</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left TP-AF (FA)</td>
<td>( \beta = .194 )</td>
<td>( \beta = -.113 )</td>
<td>( \beta = .028 )</td>
<td>( \beta = .413 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 ) change</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.026</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td>.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>.353</td>
<td>.414</td>
<td>.801</td>
<td>.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left TP-AF (RD)</td>
<td>( \beta = -.209 )</td>
<td>( \beta = -.073 )</td>
<td>( \beta = -.002 )</td>
<td>( \beta = -.356 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 ) change</td>
<td>.063</td>
<td>.009</td>
<td>( 2 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>.310</td>
<td>.625</td>
<td>.985</td>
<td>.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right OT-ILF (FA)</td>
<td>( \beta = .499 )</td>
<td>( \beta = .303 )</td>
<td>( \beta = .157 )</td>
<td>( \beta = .511 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 ) change</td>
<td>.361</td>
<td>.198</td>
<td>.086</td>
<td>.262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>.008*</td>
<td>.016*</td>
<td>.192</td>
<td>.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right OT-ILF (RD)</td>
<td>( \beta = -.374 )</td>
<td>( \beta = -.294 )</td>
<td>( \beta = -.200 )</td>
<td>( \beta = -.446 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 ) change</td>
<td>.203</td>
<td>.165</td>
<td>.113</td>
<td>.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p )</td>
<td>.058*</td>
<td>.030*</td>
<td>.130</td>
<td>.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Age was controlled for in all correlation analyses.

ASD, autism spectrum disorder; FA, fractional anisotropy; OT-ILF, occipitotemporal portion of the inferior longitudinal fasciculus; PWM, phonological working memory; RD, radial diffusivity; TD, typically developing; TP-AF, temporoparietal portion of the arcuate fasciculus.

\( \cdot \) \( p < .01.\)

\( \cdot \) \( p < .05.\)

\( \cdot \) \( p < .001.\)

\( \cdot \) \( p < .06.\)
There is evidence that in children ages 7 to 11 years, lower FA in the left AF is associated with better phonological awareness (69) but that in older children and adults, the relationship reverses (64). Thus, our age range may have straddled this period of reversal. Other possibilities are that our sample is not powered adequately to observe the degree of association within each group or that the wide age range of participants obscured associations. Future studies may clarify this developmental variation by including a larger sample or using a longitudinal design.

**Atypical White Matter of the Right ILF**

The ASD group exhibited reduced FA and elevated RD of the right ILF compared with the TD group, and the poor reader group had FA and RD that were intermediate between the ASD and TD groups (albeit not significantly different from either group). Because there is evidence that people with congenital face recognition deficits have reduced FA in the right ILF (65) and ASD children show a selective deficit in face recognition (66), the reduced WM connectivity in the right ILF of ASD was interpreted in the context of impaired social communication skills, including face recognition (33). However, there is no reported relation between variation in right ILF microstructure and either ASD severity or face recognition ability among ASD participants.

In this study, the magnitude of FA and RD in the right ILF significantly correlated with PWM ability in both the poor reader and ASD groups. This is consistent with previous evidence that the right ILF, which carries information from right occipitotemporal cortex, is implicated in some aspects of language, including the perception of speech prosody (67) and atypical language development (23,24). Moreover, in this study, the FA of the right ILF did not differ significantly between the ASD and poor reader groups, even though the poor readers did not have impaired social communication scores as assessed by the ADOS. The lack of correlation between autism severity and the WM coherence in the right ILF further suggests variation in the right ILF microstructure was not related to a broad measure of social communication like the ADOS. Future studies using more sensitive or specific measures of social communication in ASD may find a relation with microstructural properties of the right ILF.

In general, phonological processes are most associated with the left hemisphere language network, so the relation between PWM and the right ILF observed within the poor reader and ASD groups (but not the TD group) may reflect atypical right lateralization of language processes in these groups (68–74). For example, both children (75) and adults (69) with reading disability showed reduced left lateralization of either brain function (75) or WM characteristics (69) around the TP region. Moreover, greater FA in the right superior longitudin al fasciculus/AF predicted greater reading improvement in children with dyslexia but not in TD children (76). Children with ASD have also shown greater right hemispheric activation than control subjects in language tasks ranging from passive speech perception to semantic processing (71,77). Interestingly, the increased rightward asymmetry has been associated with better language skills in both toddlers and school-age children with ASD (78,79). Taken together, these findings suggest that the atypical right hemispheric involvement might contribute to a compensatory mechanism of phonological processing in children with reading disability or those with ASD.

These findings have important implications for understanding neurodevelopmental disorders. The National Institute of Mental Health Research Domain Criteria approach to psychiatry (12) has emphasized a dimensional approach to relating behaviors to neural circuits across traditional diagnostic disease categories, including neurodevelopmental disorders [e.g., (80)]. Deficits in PWM cut across several diagnostic categories, including dyslexia, specific language impairment, and ASD, although the idea that the neurocognitive underpinnings of this impairment may be shared across disorders has been debated [e.g., (3,10)]. Here, we showed, for the first time, that the dimension of impaired PWM is related to shared neuro-anatomical abnormalities of WM microstructure in two different diagnostic groups, reading disability and autism spectrum disorder.
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