PARiHS Implementation Framework

Promoting Action on Research Implementation in Health Services

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Evidence** | * Research Evidence   + Weak: Anecdotal evidence, descriptive   + Strong: RCTs, evidence-based guidelines * Clinical Experience   + Weak: Expert opinion divided   + Strong: Consensus * Patient Preferences and Experiences   + Weak: Patients not involved   + Strong: Partnership with patients |
| **Context** | * Culture   + Weak: Task driven, low morale   + Strong: Learning Organization, patient-centered * Leadership   + Weak: Poor organization, diffuse roles   + Strong: Clear roles, effective organization * Evaluation   + Weak: Absence of audit and feedback   + Strong: Routine audit and feedback |
| **Facilitation** | * Characteristics   + Weak: Low respect, credibility, empathy   + Strong: High respect, credibility, empathy * Role   + Weak: Lack of role clarity   + Strong: Clear roles * Style   + Weak: Inflexible, sporadic   + Strong: Flexible, consistent |

# Successful Implementation occurs when:

1. Scientific evidence is viewed as sound and fitting with professional and patient beliefs
2. The healthcare context is receptive to implementation in terms of supportive leadership, culture, and evaluative systems
3. There are appropriate mechanisms in place to facilitate implementation





Information from: [Ullrich, Philip. Promoting Action on Research Implementation in Health Services](http://www.hsrd.research.va.gov/mwg-internal/de5fs23hu73ds/progress?id=FPHobhpfPSLRAGD7LiB0W3Blvoj27lxkL2AnxSVL5BA,)